INTERVIEW OF:

KARL HAMRE

TAKEN NOVEMBER 18, 1997 AT 1:00 P.M.

MILO BALLINGRUD EAGLE REPORTING SERVICES 2104 Glenhurst Road Minneapolis, Minnesota 55416 (612) 920-3109 INTERVIEW OF KARL HAMRE, taken pursuant to agreement of and between parties at, Koch Industries, Inc., P.O. Box 64596, St. Paul, Minnesota, at approximately 1:00 p.m. on Tuesday, November 18, 1997 before Milo Ballingrud, Notary Public, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota.

APPEARANCES:

Present from the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency: DON L. KRIENS, P.E.

MARY L. HAYES

GREGORY BERGER

Present from Koch Industries:

JAMES K. VOYLES, Attorney at Law

Present from the law firm Green Espel: SUSAN K. WIENS, Attorney at Law

INDEX

EXAMINATIONS:

BY MR. KRIENS: page 12, 24, 32

BY MS. HAYES: page 19, 26

BY MR. BERGER: page 13

KOCH JOB HISTORY: page 5

MERCURY SPILLS/RELEASES: page 6

SIGNING OF MANIFESTS: page 16

B5 OVERFLOWS: page 19

OWS INTO NOWS: page 22

FIVE GALLON SPILL LIMIT: page 27

HYDRANT FLUSHING: page 28

FACILITY SEWERS: page 30

SINKHOLES: page 32

1 BY MR. BERGER:

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Karl, I have just a little introduction piece I need to read first. As you are probably aware, the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency is conducting a civil investigation that is focusing on Koch Refinery operations and on a number of environmental related issues that came to the attention of the MPCA back in April of this year.

We are seeking your cooperation at this time in obtaining some information through these interviews regarding the situation, and we would like your cooperation at this time to answer these questions. We want you to know that this is totally voluntary on your part, you are not required to answer these questions if you choose not to. The information we obtain from this investigation may be used in an administrative, civil or criminal enforcement action against Koch Refining Company in the future. We want to emphasize that this investigation at this time is not directly or singling out any particular employee at Koch Refinery, it's looking at the total operations at Koch Refinery operations. Do you have any questions about that?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Karl, would you please state your full name and
- 3 then give us a brief history of your work
- 4 experience here at Koch? And towards the end
- 5 kind of be real specific with your current
- 6 duties.
- 7 A. Okay. My name is Karl Hamre. I've been working
- 8 in the waste water treatment plant the last two
- 9 years and also in the boiler house. I would
- 10 rotate back and forth between one and the other.
- Ten years before that I was working in the
- 12 platformer as a gas oil hydro treater in the 37
- 13 and 38 unit. They made -- refined crude oil
- 14 before that FCC so they could make gasoline.
- 15 The 37 unit would actually make the high octane
- 16 gas with it out of the naphtha, hydro treat it.
- 17 Q. And again, when did you first start here?
- 18 A. I started here in 1985, August of '85.
- 19 Q. And the last two years at the waste water
- 20 treatment plant and the boiler house, rotating
- 21 between them?
- 22 A. Yes. September of '95 I went to the boiler
- 23 house, water plant.
- Q. Okay. I think the first issue we'll talk about
- 25 is the boiler house. We have received some

1		information that indicates there has been some
2		spills and releases of some mercury at the
3		boiler house. Are you aware of any spills or
4		releases of mercury at the boiler house in your
5		two years there?
6	λ.	No, I haven't seen anything. I've seen them
7		collect it in the panel there, but that's all
8		I've seen. Hazmat came up and put it in a
9.	\	bottle.
10	Q.	Could you describe that a little bit more in
11		detail, what's that's about?
12	A.	I saw them coming in there and picking it up and
13		putting it in a little bottle, container and
14		leave after they cleaned it up. It was just at
15	•	the very end.
16	Q.	Would you describe that again? Was this a
17		control panel and there was a leak?
18	λ.	It wasn't a leak, it was after a repair there
19		was some mercury there and they cleaned it up.
20	Q.	There was mercury on the floor?
21	λ.	On the cement floor, yeah.
22	Q.	And they came in with a clean up kit and cleaned
23		it up?
24	A.	Yes.
26		MC Wave that in the hoiler

1		house, Karl?
2		THE WITNESS: Yes. Otherwise that's
3		the only time I remember seeing any I heard
4		about, you know, it has been leaking and they
5		cleaned them up after a turnaround or something
6	•	down there.
7		MR. BERGER: Okay. Anymore
8		questions on boiler house, the mercury?
9	BY I	er. Kriens:
LO	Q.	Are these leaks by a sump or drains or anything
11		like that?
L2	λ.	No. Right inside the panel itself, it's all
L3		enclosed.
14	Q.	In a cabinet panel?
. 5	· A.	Yes.
L 6	•	MR. KRIENS: Okay.
17	BY R	R. BERGER:
18	Q.	The next issue we want to talk about is one that
9		was asked of a number of staff here, and this is
20		in regards to the oily water sewer system.
21		Could you explain to me drawing on your two
22		years in the waste water treatment plant and
23		also your experience as an operator in the 37,
24		38 units what you believe the purpose of the
) K		nily water gewer gystem here at Koch is for?

- A. It's for everything except for, you know, clean
 water and everything. Dumped everything down
 there, naphtha, gas oils.
- Q. When you talk about naphtha and gas oil and things like that, are you talking about waste water that is contaminated with this material or are you talking about --
- 8 A. No, no, just the gas oil and the product from
 9 the hydro treater and the naphtha unit. We have
 10 shutdowns, we dump everything down to the sewer
 11 system, oily water sewer.
- 12 Q. Is that what you were -- how did you know to do
 13 that? Who directed you to do that or why
 14 weren't there other procedures used to contain
 15 this material?
- 16 A. Normally it was just down the oily water sewers
 17 because they were -- we had to make sure it
 18 didn't go into the clean water sewer. They were
 19 specific about that, keep it out of the clean
 20 water sewer if at all possible, which we tried,
 21 and put it right in the oily water sewer.
- Q. And this in general had to do with maintenance stuff?
- A. Yeah, it's usually like a pump, you have to shut down a pump and drain it to the oily water sewer

- or like a turnaround where you drain everything
- 2 out and steam it out, clean out preparation for
- 3 maintenance.
- 4 Q. How is it done? Was a valve just opened with a
- 5 hose connected and the hose was put into the
- oily water sewer? Could you explain to me the
- 7 actual physical way this was done when these
- 8 materials were drained?
- A. Some of the pumps, most of the pumps in fact,
- 10 had a lot of -- had pumping going right to the
- oily water sewer themselves. Some of the lines
- 12 at low points and that we would have to hook up
- steam hoses -- hydrocarbon hoses rather, and
- 14 drain them from there into the sewer.
- 15 Q. This also includes vessels, too?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. We're talking here again about pure naphtha
- 18 methanol, methanol?
- 19 A. Yeah, and, you know, a lot of benzene and
- 20 naphtha, too.
- 21 Q. Could this also include gasoline or fractions of
- 22 gasoline?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. That's what naphtha is.
- 25 A. Right.

- Q. How about things like fuel oil and straight oil, are you familiar those types of material?
- 3 A. Yeah. We would dump them down the oily water
 4 sewer, too.
- 5 Q. And again, this is straight oil?
- 6 A. Yeah, like lubricating oil, you know, where the
- 7 barrel was almost empty or just about. We just
- 8 finished it off, tried to get as much as you can
- in a container and then tip it over that oily
- 10 water sewer and get it all out of there so they
- could take it. It had to be completely drained
- 12 before they could take it.
- Q. Can you give me a range of the amounts that were
- dumped or released? Was it from 2 gallons to a
- 15 hundred gallons or --
- 16 A. Of the oil you mean?
- 17 Q. Well, of anything.
- 18 A. Eventually several hundred gallons of the gas
- oil and everything else, you know. The vessels
- 20 would have a lot of room in there and you would
- 21 have to drain it out the bottom. I would say
- 22 several hundred gallons some days, yes.
- 23 Q. As a waste water treatment plant operator, are
- you notified that this is happening? Do you
- 25 want to be notified?

1.	A.	Yeah, we want to be notified. Sometimes it
2		isn't, but who is going to know about it, you
3		know. Normally they know now to call us. It
4		makes it a lot easier for us to treat what's
5		coming down if we know what's coming.
6	Q.	What's your feeling about this? Did you think
7		it was okay to do? Did you have any second
8		thoughts when this was happening, releasing this
9		stuff to the sewer? Did you think it was a
10		normal part of how you were
11	A.	Yes, that's what I was taught how to do it and
12		that's how we did it.
L3	Q.	Could these materials have easily been pumped to
14		drums or rerouted to a tank or say have some
15	•	type of vac truck or some truck come in and have
16		these hooked up and taken and containerized that
L7		way?
L 8		MR. KRIENS: In the slop oil?
L 9		MR. BERGER: Right, and put back
20		into the system somewhere.
21		THE WITNESS: Some of it probably
22		could have, yeah, but like I said, you opened it
23		up and steamed it out. We tried to get as low
24		as possible with it.
	516 4	

- Q. What do you mean you tried to get at low as
- possible?
- 3 A. As low as possible in the vessel. Drain out as
- 4 much as you can.
- 5 BY MR. KRIENS:
- 6 Q. Where would you drain it out to? Does that go
- 7 to the slop oil?
- 8 A. No, oily water sewer. Which would eventually --
- yeah, I suppose a lot of it goes to slop oil,
- 10 yeah.
- 11 Q. So you drain it out into the oily water sewer
- 12 and then after that steam clean or whatever,
- 13 continuing into the oily water sewer?
- 14 A. Yes. I suppose sometimes a vac truck could be
- 15 used, but we never used one.
- 16 Q. We were wondering not necessarily about -- well,
- 17 all of it, but not necessarily the steam
- cleaning, but if it would be feasible or any
- 19 attempts would be made to drain it out into some
- 20 truck or vessel and then take it to the slop oil
- 21 recovery?
- 22 A. No, we never even talked about it. We thought
- 23 it was normal procedure.
- Q. When you mention naphtha, was the naphtha
- 25 something that was commonly put down there or

1		was that an unusual case, the naphtha, benzene
2		or
3	A.	That was common because they would ship us
4		naphtha and we refine it to make the high grade
5	-	gasoline.
6	Q.	Right, that's what I would think. Wouldn't it
7		be a good practice to try and retain that, I
8		would assume, and recover it and put it back in
9		so you can refine it? But in some cases is it
LO		correct that was just dumped down the sewer
.1		because it was the practice apparently?
.2	λ.	Uh-huh (nods head).
.3	BY N	IR. BERGER:
.4	Q.	Could you tells us about high pH materials, hot
.5	•	caustics, and low pH materials, acids, being
.6		released to the oily water sewer? Could you
.7		tell me first how this material is generated,
8		you know, why what process was used and why
.9		was it there I guess?
0	λ.	I don't really know about why a lot of it was
1		there, but it came from other units. They tell
12		us we've got a bunch of 2pH coming down, a
3		couple hundred gallons of 2pH coming or a couple
24		hundred gallons of high pH or something like

that. They might have cleaned something out or

1		something, I don't know what it was, but they
2		would tell us something was coming down the
3		sewer here. He would tell them to take it easy,
4		go nice and slow if it was a lot. It depends on
5		how our flow was through the plant.
6	'Q.	There are, I believe, what's called
7		neutralization pits in the area, somewhere close
8		to the operating units or vessels. Is the
9		purpose of those to neutralize this material
10		before it's released to the oily water sewer,
11		are you aware?
12	λ.	No, I'm not really aware of that part of it. I
13		never used it when I was up in the other unit,
14		they just send us the material, it comes down to
15	•	the API and we try to treat it as we can.
16		MR. BERGER: I guess that's all I
17		have on that, unless you guys have anything
18		else.
19		MS. HAYES: I don't.
20		MR. BERGER: Well, let's stop for a
21		second.
22		(Brief break was taken.)
23	BY M	R. BERGER:
24	Q.	Karl, I have a waste water treatment plant daily
25		log or audit from 4/21 and 22 of '96. The

1		operators were Stevens and Aalto and the number
2		of the log is 330. It reads in the second
3		sentence caustic and then dash tank 304 to B5,
4		dumping poly dumping 500 gallons per minute
5		to MOWS. Then a little further down it states
6		pumping is done with tank dumps, now sending H2O
7		from tank (indicating). It's number 330.
8		MS. WIENS: Is that something you've
9		seen, Karl?
10		THE WITNESS: No.
11	BY N	IR. BERGER:
12	Q.	Can you tell me what you think is going on
13		there?
14	A.	Apparently cleaning out a tanker maybe or
15	•	whatever is in that 304 tank. Should have been
16		to the non-oily water sewer.
17	Q.	Do you know what tank 304 is used for, what it
18		stores?
19	A. ,	I'm not real sure, no.
20	Q.	Do you know what it's near, what units it's near
21		or anything about it?
22	λ.	Well, if they say a poly unit it's probably a
23		small tank in the poly unit, that's what I would
24		guess. I don't know specifically where that
25		tank is or what it's used for.

- 1 BY MS. HAYES:
- Q. What are some of the responsibilities in terms
- of stuff in the poly unit, do you know, Karl?
- 4 A. Not too much. In that area is acid and
- 5 everything else. I stay away from it. I don't
- 6 know much about it. I've been there a couple
- 7 times on turnaround, pulling blinds, that's
- 8 about it.
- 9 BY MR. BERGER:
- 10 Q. Another issue that I wanted to touch on briefly,
- 11 Karl, is in regards to shipments from off site,
- and I mean from outside of the Koch Refining
- facility here in Rosemount, to Koch here. There
- is a memo here with regards to Otto Avenue site
- or facility in St. Paul. Well, it just says
- 16 Otto Avenue. Do you know where Otto Avenue is
- 17 and what that's about.
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. This memo is dated March 11, 1996, it's from
- 20 Heather Faragher to Eric Askeland and it's
- 21 number 2326. The subject is hazardous waste
- 22 stuff. The fourth item on the memo reads like
- 23 this: What is the operator's liability,
- 24 responsibility concerning the signing of
- 25 hazardous waste manifests. The ones from Otto

1		Avenue were from state of Minnesota? That's a
2		question, the ones from Otto Avenue were from
3		the state of Minnesota? This brought up
4		specific questions from operators with regard to
5		signing these forms and their liability
6		(indicating). Did you have any concerns about
7		signing hazardous waste manifests described in
8		that memo?
9		MS. WIENS: Do you know anything
LO		about what that memo is talking about before you
11		answer that question?
12		THE WITNESS: Not really. I don't
13		remember any hazardous waste, anything I've had
4	•	to sign with that.
.5	' BY 1	MR. BERGER:
.6	Q.	It has not been an issue that has
17	λ.	No. In fact, I might not have been there at the
18		time. I might have been in the boiler house. I
L9		was doing a lot of training at that time, I was
0		back and forth.
21	Q.	Okay. Related to that is a waste water
22		treatment daily audit log from 9/8/95, there's
23		no number on this one. The log states and
4		you're not on this log, Karl.
		•

1	Q.	It states environmental contacted us to sign
2		manifest for pipeline truck to unload high
3		benzene materials to tank 63. There's a second
4		sentence there but it doesn't really pertain
5		that much.
6 .	λ.	Uh-huh.
7	Q.	Again, do you know anything about that, what
8		that may be about?
9	A.	No, not what this is about. I know where they
10		send it, tank 63, which was where they would
11		send anything like that. Anything with any
12		vapor in it has to go to tank 63 because it can
13		hold high toxic or anything with benzene in it.
14	Q.	I see, okay.
15	λ.	But otherwise I don't this might have been
16		about the same day I started down there. That
17		was about the 5th of September, so I I think
18		that was basically training anyway.
19	Q.	So tank 63, what kind of tank is it? Is there a
20		name for it or what
21	λ.	Slop tank.
22	Q.	It's a slop tank, okay. With a lot of materials
23		like this that goes

That's all.

The slop system dumps into tank 63.

MR. BERGER:

Yeah.

_		
2	Q.	Karl, when you mention slop, if you have excess
3		naphtha or something like that, benzene, could
4		that go into that tank, too? Is it designed to
5		accommodate that, do you know?
6	A. (Yes.
7	۵.	Because it takes all types of slop?
8	A.	Uh-huh. Sometimes you don't know what's going
9		in there. It's sent from the other unit.
LO	1	Basically it's a holding tank.
11 :		MR. KRIENS: All right.
1.2	BY I	MS. HAYES:
L3	Q.	Karl, I wanted to talk to you a little bit about
14		the B5 pond overflowing.
15	λ.	Okay.
L 6	Q.	Your initials are on two waste water logs from
L7		January 15, *97 and February 12, 197. The
L8		numbers are 997 and 1272.
L9		It happens that you it looks like you
20		were on shift for events such as the B5
21		overflowing on the north end it appears. That's
22		what it says on the first one, B5 is overflowing
23		north end, called Heather. We should increase
24		flow enough to stop overflow and divert NOWS

into API flow. Polishing pond is 2.6 NGD. Take

1.		a look at those, if you would (indicating).
2 .	A.	Uh-huh (views document).
. 3	Q-	Those happened now almost going on a year ago.
4		Do you recall those incidents, Karl?
5	λ÷	I remember a couple times when it has overflowed
6		when I've been on, yeah.
7	Q.	And how did you discover those?
. 8	λ.	Usually we have to go by the north end or we
9		would see it. You go around and check the north
10		end and make sure it's okay, there's no
11		overflows.
12	Q.	So that was part of the routine that you would
13		do when you went out on your rounds?
14	A.	Wh-huh (nodding).
15	. Q •	So did you do that once per shift or more often
16		then that?
17	A.	A lot of times on the day shift you really
18		didn't have to go way back there because you
19		could see, but on night shift we had to.
20	Q.	So you could kind of see it from where you were
21		operating?
22	A.	Day shift, yeah. Night shift you would have to
23		go back there and turn the spotlight on to see
24		if you just look and make sure you could see
25		the cement or the wall and if you could see them

1		And know it. a not overlioning.
2	Q.	Oh, okay. So are these day or night? I didn't
3		pay attention to the times on those.
4	λ.	The day shift, 0700. It's the day shift on
5		these.
6	Q.	Okay. Do you recall walking out and actually
7		seeing them or seeing them from the the
8		overflow from the building?
9	A. .	Yeah, you could look right over the cement wall.
10		Have you been down there? You can see it from
11	7.	there.
12	Q.	Uh-huh. Did it ever happen that it was
13		overflowing and you were there, though, right at
14		the time?
15	· A.	Yes.
16	Q.	Do you recall in those cases whether the what
17		the quality of the water was like that was going
18		over the side?
19	λ.	From what I saw
20	Q.	It was oily?
21	λ.	Not really, no, it was pretty clear.
22	Q.	Okay. You didn't witness anything like that?
23	A.	No, I haven't. Not on that particular time
24		anyway. I have seen oil on there, but that
25	:	wasn't overflowing and then the pond itself.

1	Q.	How many times did you see an overflow of B5?
2	A. ,	Overflow?
3	Q.	Uh-huh.
4	A.	Maybe twice.
5	Q.	Okay. You must have been aware of the problem
6	.*	where the oily water sewer was overflowing into
7		the non-oily water sever, especially by tank
8		500, and those problems?
•	λ.	Yeah.
10	Q.	I guess it's our feeling that it's possible that
11		that causes then the oil to end up on the B5.
12		Does that seem reasonable to you?
13	λ.	Yeah, I suppose it could. It all depends on how
14.	٠.	much overflowed up on top there.
15	· Q.	How often did you see oil on B5 on the north
16		pond?
17	λ.	I don't know, maybe two, three times.
18	Q.	I have a log here from I think the date on
19		this is July 3, '96, but it's hard to tell
20		whether it's April, July or September. I don't
21		know if that's a four, seven or nine. The
22	•	number on this is 539.
23		You were on shift again on this
24		particular date. And on this one there's a note
25		that the polishing pond was overflowing and then

1	- 13	a dash and Graus. I assume that means call
2		Graus probably. That's my assumption, but maybe
3		you can interpret that for me (indicating).
4	A.	Is this Graus? It could be, or grass. I don't
5		know.
6	Q.	Up above there's a reference to Graus, so
7	A.	Oh, okay. I don't know what the other ones are.
8 .	Q.	Do you recall this incident where the polishing
9	71	pond is overflowing and that was documented in
10		the log when you were on shift?
11	A.	Not this one, no, I don't. I remember I have
12		come in to work and the pond has overflowed
13		because of the rain, but this particular one,
14	3. ₁₁	no, I don't.
15	Q.	All right. So you said you come in to work and
16		the pond has overflowed, and does that mean you
17		would drive by and notice it's overflowing?
18	λ.	Uh-huh.
19	Q.	You talk about it when you get in to work or
20		whatever?
21	A.	Yeah. Or on the shift change, if the pond
22		overflows, you know, high water or a lot of rain
23		that evening. That's only happened a couple
24		times.

1		MR. KRIENS: You're talking
2		specifically about the polishing ponds when you
3		say that, that it's overflowed?
4		THE WITHESS: Oh, I'm sorry, I was
5		thinking about another pend.
6	BY I	MS. HAYES:
7	Q.	What pond were you thinking of.
8	A.	I was thinking about the coker pond. On the
9		polishing pond, no, I don't remember that one
LO		overflowing.
11	Q.	Not at all.
12	λ.	I remember it going from one tank to the other,
.3		through the piping, but that's about it.
.4	Q.	You don't remember this one though?
15	· λ.	No, not this one.
16 .	Q.	All right. Any other overflows that you're
17		concerned about throughout the facility?
t. 8	A.	No. I was just thinking that one in the coker
19		pond once in a while, that's the only one.
20		MS. HAYES: Thank you very much.
21		That's all I have.
12	BY I	MR. KRIENS:
23	Q.	When you have those when you observed the B5
24	·	pond overflows, it is the normal procedure that
16		the environmental department would be notified

	of that?
Ä.	It is now. When I first started there it
	wasn't.
Q.	It wasn't part of it?
A. ,	It was always kind of normal procedure.
Q.	Pardon me?
A.	What I heard is it used to overflow and nobody
	said nothing, nobody worried about it.
	MS. HAYES: That was before you came
	to waste water?
	THE WITNESS: No, that was right
	after I got there. Then Heather said that we
	can't have that.
BY M	IR. KRIENS:
` Q.	And prior to that it
A.	That what's I was told, you know, they said it
	was an overflow and we cut back and go from
	there.
	MS. HAYES: Once it was discovered?
	THE WITNESS: Right.
BY P	or. Kriens:
Q.	Prior to you starting there in September of '95?
A.	Right.
Q.	Did anybody, do you know, attempt to sample the
	area of water that had over if it ponded up
	Q. A. BY P Q. A.

1		on the north side of that pond did they sample
2		the soil or any ponded water?
3	A.	Not that I know of, no. I didn't see anybody do
4		it.
5	Q.	All right.
6 .	BY I	MS. HAYES:
7	Q.	Marl, you said it was normal procedure to do,
8		and does that mean it was happening frequently?
9	λ.	Not frequently, but I heard about it happening.
.0		You know, nobody said nothing about it because
1.1		usually it was just clean water.
.2	Q.	Oh, okay. So this was before the events where
.3		you were on these logs?
L 4	A.	Yes.
5	`Q.	So probably was that that the fall of '96 or the
16		fall of '95?
17		MR. KRIENS: '95.
1.8	BY 1	MS. HAYRS:
L 9	Q.	Okay. And you heard about it when you started
20		in the fall of '95, it would go over in about
21		that time and Heather said no, we can't just let
22		it go over? Is that what you said?
23	A.	Yeah, it's my understanding she said we can't
24		have that happen. That was the first I heard
) K		about it and some of the other guys, too.

MS. HAYES: Okay.

2 BY MR. KRIENS:

Q.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- I want to follow up, Karl, on an allegation the 3 Q. MPCA received concerning spills and the reporting of spills. The question is did anyhody at Koch, including the Koch management, advise you or anybody else that you know of to 7 call a spill less than five gallons in order -even though it may have been greater than five 9 gallons, which is the reporting limit requiring 10 us to be notified, to call it less than five 11 gallons? Do you know of any policy like that? 12 I heard of it, that they don't like it over five 13 gallons, because like you said, it is 14 reportable. 15
 - When you say you've heard of that, you've heard it from other people that it was sort of an unwritten policy? Obviously not a written policy, I would assume, but sort of an unwritten type thing that people were supposed to follow?

 No. I would say if it was over five gallons we would report it, but some people would say they would like to have less. Nobody has actually told me, you know, if it's less than five gallons it's not reportable.

1	Q.	But what I was getting at was if there is an
2		effort that you know of for people to call it
3.		less than five gallons even though it was more
4		than five?
5	λ.	Not to me, no. I've heard some mention it, some
6		people maybe thought it was, but firsthand
7	:	information, no, not from me. Nobody has ever
8		told me nothing like that.
9	Q.	Okay. Do you know about the use of the fire
10		hydrant system to dispose of water from B5 or
11		any other source, water that was backed up
1.2		basically from the waste water plant, from S7,
L3 .		and disposed of from the hydrants onto land
L 4		areas?
15	· A.	I heard about it, but I wasn't there when it
16		happened.
L7	Q.	Do you know when these might have happened?
L#	A. .	I think it was in the late spring.
19	Q.	Of this year?
20	λ.	This year or last year. I think it might have
21		been this year, yeah.
2 2	Q.	Are these this just didn't occur while you

were on duty at the time or at work at the time?

Do you know if they occurred at night, during

No, it didn't occur while I was there.

23

1		the nighttime by any chance?
2	Ά,	No. The only thing I remember is it had
3		happened and I was gone. I think it was my long
4		change and maybe I was gone for a while from my
5		shift.
6	Q.	You work different shifts, too, night and day
7		and so on?
8	A.	Yes.
9 ·	Q.	Was that the way they kind of worked, where you
10		alternate?
11	λ.	Yes.
L 2	Q.	Different people alternate different shifts?
L3	λ.	Right. You worked like three day shifts in a
14		row then you get a couple off, you work three
L 5	•	nights and back and forth, 12 hour shifts.
16	Q.	One other area. Do you know of any spills that
17		occurred at the barge dock area from tanks and
LB		what was done regarding the cleanup on those
L9		spills?
20	A.	The barge dock, no.
21	_ Q.	Karl, do you know anything about this spring a
22		centrifuge overflow out of the centrifuge area
23		and a lot of material was drummed up. We did
24		receive a report of part of that, we received a

1		was reported or if there was an effort to
2	.,4	diminish or report less than the amount that was
3		actually spilled out on that one? Do you know
4		anything about that spill?
. 5	λ.	I think I know about that one. It was a couple
6		that happened over there in fact.
7	Q.	Yeah, there was a couple. Can you tell us what
8	•	you know about those?
9	λ.	About the amount, no. It was a spill. In fact,
10		I think one of them guys got written up for
11	e . 25	improper for walking around the bank of it by
12		that slop pond. But no, what the amount of it
13		was, no.
14	Q.	Okay. Does Schlomka, Donny Schlomka, usually
15	•	clean that type of material up? That's what I
16		have in notes on it.
17	A.	Yeah, normally he is called to do it.
18	BY I	CS. HAYES:
19	Q.	Karl, I'll interject. Do you know anything
20		about the sever problem here, deterioration of
21		the severs? Have you heard anything about that
22		or are you involved in anything on that at all?
23 .	A.	Well, the ones over by tank 205 and 206, yeah.
24	Q.	What about them?
25	A.	The bottoms had well, it was gone basically,

- 1 down to dirt. Uh-huh. 2 Q.
- And they fixed them, put cement in them. 3 A.
- Okay. Did you do any -- did they look into it Q. other than just the bottom part, do you know? 5
- As far as I know just when they cemented it up. A.
- Both the sever drains that were down there, they
- cemented around the side and inside the sever
- itself. You couldn't use it for a couple days. 9
- When did they do that? 10 Q.

- I think it was last year maybe. Well, maybe it 11 A. was this summer here.
- And that's the extent of your knowledge about 13 Q.
- 14 the sever issues or anything else?
- Well, I would have to remember the one by the 15 A. API that had that broken pipe. 16
- What about that one? 17 Q.
- The one by the API where they had to go dig in 18 A.
- 19 there and repair that pipe on the east side of
- 20 the API. That's the only other one I remember
- 21 on the sever system.
- What all do you know about that incident? 22 Q.
- 23 Not too much. I was doing a lot of training at A.
- 24 the time, and the one day I was down there I was
- working inside, so I didn't really go over there 25

1	that much to see what they were doing in it.
2	Just a repair of that pipe and replacing it.
3	MS. HAYES: Okay.
4	BY MR. BERGER:
5 ,	Q. Karl, are you aware of sinkholes forming in tha
6	area where that broken pipe east of the API was
7	eventually found?
8	A. Yeah, one time I remember seeing a sinkhole
9	there, where it caved in where that pipe had
10	broke. I think that's how we noticed it.
11	Q. Were you aware of sinkholes forming in that are
12	before that break was actually found?
13	A. No, to my knowledge, no.
14	Q. Okay.
15	BY MR. KRIENS:
16 -	Q. Generally, Karl, are there any environmental
17	practices or activities at the treatment plant
18	or in the refinery that you have encountered or
19	aware of that you would object to or find is
20	improper?
21	MS. WIENS: Do you know what he
22	means by improper or objectionable?
23	THE WITNESS: By what I think is
24	improper or what?
26	MD VDTPMC. Diehe

1		MS. WIENS: Are you asking for his
2		personal opinion?
3		MR. KRIENS: Yeah.
4		THE WITNESS: One thing that
5		happened in December of last year, a spill in
6		that S8 sump, the centrifuge area. We cleaned
7		it up, I think it was about 50 to a hundred
8		gallons reported as far as I know, and it was
9		cleaned up. They put it into some barrels, and
10		it was marked nonhazardous waste, and we asked
11		them why was that, they said because it checked
12		out not hazardous waste. It was kind of funny
13		to me because it was the centrifuge area, it was
14		hazardous waste. But they had checked the soil
15	· -	they said and said it was fine, so okay.
16	BY I	MR. KRIENS:
17	Q.	This is the oil that was in the barrels?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	When you say was there a spill there from the
20	,	centrifuge there then?
21	A.	Yes, it was from the
22	Q.	What caused that?
23	A.	The level controller in the S8 sump didn't turn
24.		on both pumps like it was supposed to and it
25		overflowed a little hit into this area there.

1	Some of it had gone out of the contained area.
~ 2	Q. When you say they said it was nonhazardous, who
3	were they, I guess, in this case?
.4	A. Eric askeland. We had questions about it, so he
5	sent us a letter and said it was okay. I had
6 -	questions about it at the time.
7	Q. He told you it was tested to determine if it was
8	hazardous or not, and he said it was okay?
9	A. Uh-huh.
10	MS. HAYES: Do you know who cleaned
11	that one up, Karl?
12	THE WITNESS: Our hazmat people.
13	MS. HAYES: Who asked the questions
14	about that? You and some other operator?
15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, me and another
16	operator. We just were kind of wondering
17	because it was labeled nonhazardous.
18	BY MR. KRIENS:
19	Q. Any other incidents that you recall or think of?
20	A. No.
21	MR. KRIENS: Thank you. Anything
22	else?
23	MR. BERGER: No.
24	(Whereupon, the interview concluded at
25	1:40 p.m.)

STATE OF MINNESOTA)

Ss:

COUNTY OF HENNEPIN)

BE IT ENOWN, that I, MILO BALLINGRUD, Court Reporter, a Motary Public in and for the County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota, certify that the foregoing is a true record of the interview of MARL MAMRE, and reduced to writing in accordance with my stenographic notes made at said time and place.

I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel;

That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my impartiality;

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand on this 21st day of November, 1997.

NILO BALLINGRUD.

Notary Public, Hennepin County, Minnesota My Commission Expires January 31, 2000.