

---

**SECOND INTERVIEW OF:**

**TODD AALTO**

**TAKEN DECEMBER 19, 1997 AT 10:40 A.M.**

---

**MILO BALLINGRUD  
EAGLE REPORTING SERVICES  
2104 Glenhurst Road  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55416  
(612) 920-3109**

SECOND INTERVIEW OF TODD AALTO, taken pursuant to agreement of and between parties at, Koch Industries, Inc., P.O. Box 64596, St. Paul, Minnesota, at approximately 10:40 a.m. on Friday, December 19, 1997 before Milo Ballingrud, Notary Public, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota.

**APPEARANCES:**

Present from the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency:

DON L. BRIENS, P.E.

MARY L. HAYES

GREGORY BERGER

ALAN MITCHELL, Attorney at Law

RICK COOLEY, Attorney at Law

Present from the law firm Green Espel:

SUSAN K. WINNS, Attorney at Law

**I N D E X****EXAMINATIONS:****BY MR. KRIENS: page 11****BY MS. HAYES: page 18****BY MR. BERGER: page 4, 20****KOCH JOB HISTORY: page 4****NOWS: page 4****MANHOLE OVERFLOWS: page 8****LOGGING OF EVENTS: page 20**

1 BY MR. BERGER:

2 Q. Todd, I'll start out this morning. My name is  
3 Greg Berger, as you remember. I won't repeat my  
4 introduction, but to give you a quick version of  
5 it, this is totally voluntary on your part to  
6 answer our questions and the information we  
7 receive here may be used in a criminal, civil or  
8 administrative action against Koch, the Refining  
9 company. This investigation is of Koch Refining  
10 and not any individuals.

11 Todd, I want to talk to you about an area  
12 that we didn't cover when we talked to you the  
13 first time, and that's in regards to the  
14 non-oily water sewer system. Would you tell me  
15 your understanding of that non-oily water sewer  
16 system, the purpose, what it's used for?

17 A. It's supposed to be used for exactly that,  
18 non-oily water, mainly from the RO skids in the  
19 boiler house, the water that's too hard to use,  
20 just clean well water that we can't use. It  
21 goes down and doesn't need processing, it comes  
22 out of the ground clean.

23 Q. And storm water?

24 A. Well, yeah.

25 Q. Are you say RO skids, is that reverse osmosis?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. Are you aware of other materials being released  
3 to the non-oily water sewer system like acids  
4 and caustics and other waste materials?

5 A. Occasionally, yeah. We get pH swings on our  
6 board all the time, so there's got to be  
7 swinging in there that's swinging the pH meter,  
8 anywhere from 2 to 12 roughly daily.

9 Q. Do you know what is causing those pH swings?

10 A. Not specifically. I haven't worked in the  
11 boiler house enough to know, you know. It has  
12 to be something operationally because, you know,  
13 it's a regular occurrence where the pH is  
14 swinging, but I couldn't tell you specifically  
15 where it's coming from. I don't have that  
16 knowledge.

17 Q. You see this on a daily basis, or you saw it on  
18 a daily basis?

19 A. I still see it. I mean, there is still pH  
20 swings. You look on a strip chart, there's a  
21 lot of times they sit in the 6.6 to 7 range.  
22 But there are times routinely when they bounce  
23 around.

24 Q. So you have a strip chart recorder right in the  
25 boiler house that you --

1 A. No, in the waste water.

2 Q. Oh, okay.

3 A. So when it comes down to our end we can see it  
4 moving.

5 Q. Where does it pick up the reading?

6 A. What is technically called the NOWS basin just  
7 to the south side of the sand filter building.  
8 It used to be a caustic addition building with  
9 sand filters in there and some pH adjustment  
10 years and years ago before my time. Now all it  
11 is is a maintenance shop. There's four bays  
12 that the water goes through with some mixtures  
13 in it and two pH probes. There's nothing added  
14 there anymore, it's just a wide spot in the line  
15 with some pH probes in it.

16 Q. All right. I'm going to show you a few logs  
17 quickly, and this is one we talked about before,  
18 it's dated 3/30/95 and it's number 5037.

19 It reads boiler house sending 200 gallons  
20 high pH to NOWS (indicating). The second one  
21 here is dated 7/17/96 and is number 573. It  
22 states boiler house called, bleed open sending  
23 acid to NOWS for approximately four hours  
24 (indicating).

25 A. There's acid injection on the skids. You know,

1 if you spring a leak it's going to the floor,  
2 which -- we had an open floor and that's where  
3 all the water comes from. I'm sure it happens,  
4 you know.

5 Q. And then the third one here is dated 3/16/95,  
6 boiler house draining acids to NOWS to make  
7 repair on V65. Then it states in parentheses  
8 pit had to be drained.

9 A. I believe that's the acid tank up there, V65 is.

10 Q. So in your estimation are these situations that  
11 would cause that swing in pH?

12 A. It would be more abnormal, but, you know,  
13 they're not the daily reason. These are  
14 maintenance occurrences most likely, you know,  
15 maybe they have to make a repair on a tank. So  
16 that's an out of the ordinary occurrence.

17 A bleed -- they most likely found a bleed  
18 that was left open, which is not standard  
19 operating procedure, you know. There are  
20 occasions when -- like I said, the pH swings all  
21 the time, but if it stays in one position for a  
22 long period of time then we'll make some phone  
23 calls and try to find out why. If there's  
24 something like a tank leaking, a line broken  
25 somewhere, just get people up and walking around

1 and looking at their things. This four hour one  
2 may have been one of those occurrences where we  
3 saw, you know, the 2 pH for a long period and  
4 started making some phone calls to find out why.  
5 I don't know why because it doesn't state it,  
6 but this shouldn't stay down that long, that's  
7 not normal. I don't know, high pH, other than  
8 to do with their caustic system up there,  
9 there's not enough here for me to judge.

10 Q. Okay. Could these releases have gone to the  
11 oily water sewer?

12 A. With my limited knowledge of the boiler house,  
13 some might have been able to, but in the RO area  
14 all that floor drain system, that's all clean  
15 water sewer to my knowledge. I don't believe  
16 they have like a bypass to swing that flow into  
17 an oily water area. If you wanted to track it I  
18 suppose everything could go to the oily water  
19 sewer. You know, it's hard to say.

20 Q. All right. I want to get to another source of  
21 releases to the NWS, and that's from tanks,  
22 more specific tanks. I have a couple of logs  
23 here, and the first one is dated 4/21/96 and is  
24 number 330. It states caustic, then a dash,  
25 tank 304 and then there's an arrow to B5 and it



1 states poly dumping 500 gallons per minute to  
2 NOWS.

3 And then the second one is dated 7/12/96  
4 and it's number 560. This states 27 units  
5 sending to storm sewer soda ash mix from vessel  
6 for approximately two hours. Your name is on  
7 the first one, Todd, as an operator  
8 (indicating).

9 A. (Views document.)

10 Q. Could you describe for me what is going on  
11 there?

12 A. I'm trying to think where tank 304 is.

13 MS. WIENS: Is that your writing?

14 THE WITNESS: No, that's Mark's  
15 writing. Mark apparently took the phone call,  
16 being he wrote it down and judging from this. I  
17 know there are several areas in the plant where  
18 a spill or whatever can make its way to the  
19 storm sewer and down the oily water sewer. I  
20 don't know if -- I can't remember if I ever knew  
21 where 304 is, but it's not coming to me right  
22 now. As far as the 500 gallons a minute, their  
23 area is up in the poly and the old crude that  
24 goes to the NOWS. We know that from previous  
25 problems, but this specific one I'm not sure on.

1 BY MR. BERGER:

2 Q. Does that appear to be a tank 304 dump to you, a  
3 caustic tank dump?

4 A. That's what it appears to me. It would be easy  
5 enough to find out what's in 304. Apparently  
6 it's caustic. 500 gallons a minute seems to be  
7 fairly excessive. I don't recall the specific  
8 incident though.

9 Q. Look at the second one there, Todd. Is that a  
10 pretty common thing to have happen?

11 A. Well, we found -- specifically this last summer  
12 we found areas of the newer part of the plant,  
13 25 unit platformer, 27 unit area, flows that end  
14 up in the storm water sewer that we didn't  
15 really think went to the storm water sewer.

16 Q. What flows are these?

17 A. We've noticed when we've had fire monitors on  
18 vessels to keep them cool we have seen excess  
19 flows into the coker pond. After hunting it  
20 down and shutting off these monitors and seeing  
21 the flows drop off we figured these are going to  
22 the coker ponds. So, you know, I guess I don't  
23 dispute the fact that -- this isn't a common  
24 occurrence, but, you know, I don't dispute it  
25 happened.

1 Q. You're talking about these flows, and what type  
2 of flows are you talking about? Is it water,  
3 oily water or some other hydrocarbon waste?

4 A. In my experience going from manhole to manhole  
5 water and rich gas smell, and I don't know what  
6 it was, green in color and gasoline in vapor  
7 smell. We really couldn't pinpoint where it was  
8 coming from.

9 Q. But it was getting into the NOWS?

10 A. Well, it was getting into the storm water  
11 system.

12 Q. But not the NOWS?

13 A. Well, the 27 unit, that end of it would go to  
14 the coker pond. Everything from that end, on  
15 that side of the plant would end up at the coker  
16 ponds. That's what kind of surprises me, that  
17 some of those flows were going there. But like  
18 the previous one, the poly and old crude area,  
19 that goes toward the NOWS. So you've got kind  
20 of a line there where it splits and goes two  
21 ways.

22 Q. All right. I don't have anymore.

23 EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. KRIENS:

25 Q. Todd, you can probably help clarify some things

1           for us. I made some charts here which chart out  
2           the waste water treatment plant flow, polishing  
3           pond flow and production levels and that type of  
4           thing, and weekend flows versus weekday flows.

5                     This particular chart plots out -- it's a  
6           chart which plots out production levels of  
7           barrels per day, production at the refinery, and  
8           also plots out OSWTP flow (indicating). The  
9           production rates are confidential, but I'm  
10          showing this for illustration here.

11       A.    We see them daily anyway as operators.

12       Q.    All right. I wanted to point it out because we  
13           have agreed to keep that confidential in our  
14           files.

15                     The dark one is the production level, the  
16          lighter gray one is the OSWTP flow. Correct me  
17          if I'm wrong, but my understanding is the OSWTP  
18          flow would be the flow that is measured after  
19          the treatment plant or the clarifiers, it would  
20          be the flow going to the polishing ponds from  
21          the waste water plant?

22       A.    I believe that's what they're measuring, yes.

23       Q.    Also my understanding is, and correct me if I'm  
24           wrong, if this flow is lower then it would  
25           mean -- lower than expected, it would mean it

1 would be diverted to the B3 pond. Is that how  
2 you would understand that?

3 A. Well, in certain circumstances it could be, or  
4 our plant flow could just be down. Our plant  
5 flow swings wildly for no apparent reason  
6 sometimes.

7 Q. Yeah, I understand that could be the case, too.  
8 Looking at February of '97 specifically, you can  
9 see in that month it has an inverse relationship  
10 here between production and flow (indicating).  
11 The other months the production level is lower  
12 than the OSWTP flow. They have almost the same  
13 flow, linear relationship, which we calculated.

14 In February it shows that the OSWTP flow  
15 is lower, the inverse of the other months.  
16 However, in that month the influent flow to the  
17 plant was, if anything, high. Meaning  
18 production was up and the waste water flow to it  
19 was not lower, it was actually as expected or  
20 even to some extent higher. The average flow,  
21 based on data at the rapid mix area that Barr  
22 provided, was three million gallons per day. Do  
23 you know what went on to have caused what seems  
24 to me to be an atypical relationship here?

25 A. I don't know. February of '97, so it's a few

1 months ago.

2 Q. In that month we know that -- we have documented  
3 at least three instances where the waste water  
4 was discharged via the hydrant system because it  
5 was backed up to B5. My question is do you know  
6 of any other incidents in February of '97 where  
7 that was done that would tend to clarify this  
8 and explain why that flow was recorded so much  
9 less?

10 A. I can't think of anything specifically right at  
11 this moment that we haven't covered in logs.

12 Q. All right.

13 MS. WIENS: Don, can we get copies  
14 of your charts?

15 MR. KRIENS: I don't know.

16 MS. WIENS: If we don't get copies  
17 of them then maybe you shouldn't show them to  
18 him and have him testify about them. I would  
19 like everything to be part of the record, and if  
20 we can't have them --

21 MR. KRIENS: Yeah. We'll have to  
22 discuss that.

23 MR. MITCHELL: The files have been  
24 closed, they're not open.

25 MS. WIENS: Then I would like him to

1 testify about what he knows and not looking at  
2 your charts if you're not going to let us have  
3 them.

4 MR. KRIENS: Well, this is data  
5 that's based on information Koch has provided to  
6 us that they are clearly capable of producing  
7 themselves. So this is data that's in the Barr  
8 Engineering report and other information, in  
9 discharge monitoring reports, that is submitted  
10 by the waste water plant and Koch.

11 MS. WIENS: I understand that. You  
12 can talk to him about the data you have, but if  
13 you're not going to let us have the charts then  
14 he is not going to be able to look at the  
15 charts.

16 MR. KRIENS: I just don't agree with  
17 that at all.

18 MR. MITCHELL: Do you want him to  
19 orally tell him the information rather than the  
20 aid of looking at the thing?

21 MS. WIENS: I would like our record  
22 to show what it is that he is looking at, and I  
23 would like to be able some day to go back and  
24 see what he's talking about. I can't do that if  
25 I don't have that documented.

1 MR. MITCHELL: You can listen and  
2 read the record.

3 MS. WIENS: If we were in any other  
4 kind of setting you would have to make this a  
5 part of the record.

6 MR. MITCHELL: Not in this  
7 environment.

8 MS. WIENS: Then he's not going to  
9 look at it.

10 MR. MITCHELL: Are you going to tell  
11 him not to answer, not to look at the chart?

12 MS. WIENS: I'm going to tell him to  
13 answer the question and he's not going to look  
14 at the chart.

15 MR. MITCHELL: Ask your question,  
16 Don, and if Todd doesn't look at the chart he  
17 doesn't look at the chart.

18 BY MR. KRIENS:

19 Q. The polishing pond flow in February of '97,  
20 based on the chart that I'm holding, shows that  
21 the polishing pond monthly average flow was 3.33  
22 million gallons a day, much lower than any other  
23 month during this period we studied, this year  
24 and a half beginning January of '96. It has a  
25 daily maximum flow, however, that is about



1 average with the other daily maximum flows, and  
2 it also has a weekend flow that is much higher  
3 than the 3.33. We've found that -- in fact, the  
4 weekend flow is 18 percent high than the weekday  
5 flow in February of '97.

6 The first question I have is do you know  
7 why the monthly average flow would be so low and  
8 where this extra water went? Some of the water  
9 went to the river on the weekends at a higher  
10 rate, but on the average for the month there  
11 still seems to be a lot of water, to me at  
12 least, that is unaccounted for.

13 A. Well, we're talking the same month as previous?

14 Q. Right, February of '97.

15 A. The only explanation is it didn't get there,  
16 obviously it was backed up into other areas of  
17 the plant. It's not going to evaporate, so --  
18 you know.

19 Q. Koch has previously told us there was so much  
20 water they had to deal with to get rid of, but  
21 it's not reflected here in the water that's  
22 monitored out to the river. So do you think it  
23 was disposed of by other means via the hydrant?

24 A. Well, the hydrants were used at certain times.  
25 Obviously I can't tell you exactly when, but to

1 my knowledge, yes, the hydrants were used.

2 MR. KRIENS: Okay. That's all.

3 BY MS. HAYES:

4 Q. I just have one quick question about a log that  
5 I believe you authored from September 24, 1996.  
6 It's number 722, the same one that was discussed  
7 in the previous interview.

8 It starts with the manhole overflow, and  
9 I assume that's probably tank 500.

10 A. Yeah, the coker ponds.

11 Q. The EPA audit of water to the polishing ponds  
12 and then safety will be flushing fire mains  
13 tonight. Would you take a look at that  
14 (indicating)?

15 A. Yeah, that's the coker pond flow, manhole going  
16 to the clean water sewer or non-oily water  
17 sewer.

18 Q. Do you remember that audit? Do you remember  
19 what you're referring to there from last fall?

20 A. Yeah, I vaguely remember that, you know, the  
21 people, your department or someone was -- the  
22 department in the plant. I didn't talk to them  
23 per se, but yes, they were in there.

24 Q. Was there anything in particular you did to  
25 prepare for that kind of an audit, do you

1 recall?

2 A. Different occurrences. If audits are announced  
3 per se there's certain things we do, you know,  
4 like clean the place up a little bit more, if  
5 things are laying around you make it look a  
6 little more tidy. Just the things that need to  
7 be cleaned up basically, a little more push on  
8 them. Is this a federal audit or your  
9 department?

10 Q. That was the EPA.

11 A. Okay. I know some of the guys write down --

12 Q. Yeah. I didn't know when I first read it  
13 either, and then I realized they actually did  
14 one in September and we did not.

15 A. That's a normal occurrence when somebody  
16 announces they're going be in the plant.

17 Q. To clean it up?

18 A. Right.

19 Q. The previous interview said that sometimes you  
20 might cut the hydraulics in the plant?

21 A. Depending on where we're at, you know, and  
22 depending on what's going on specifically.  
23 Obviously you want things to look as good at  
24 first glance as possible.

25 Q. Sure, that's makes a lot of sense to me, uh-huh.

1 But no other kinds of instructions or -- nothing  
2 that seemed out of the ordinary beyond regular  
3 routine sort of things?

4 A. Nothing that comes to mind right now.

5 Q. What about in terms of your documentation on the  
6 logs, you are real thorough about documentation?  
7 Did you ever hear anything or get any  
8 instructions about how to do the documentation  
9 on the waste water logs?

10 A. Yeah, there have been occasions where we've been  
11 told -- how was it put to us? Don't put  
12 opinions in was one of the things, put only  
13 operational facts, don't put your opinions or  
14 how you perceived things. Like say if somebody  
15 thought that something we were doing was -- it  
16 may have been maybe a legal loophole, but was it  
17 ethically okay. They might write their opinion  
18 in there. Well, they don't want that stuff in  
19 there, they told us that. You know, try to  
20 stick to the point more or less, write down only  
21 pertinent facts of the operation.

22 MS. HAYES: Okay. Thanks, that's  
23 all I have.

24 FURTHER EXAMINATION

25 BY MR. BERGER:

1 Q. A follow up on the issue that I was talking  
2 about, Todd. I have a documentation here in the  
3 logs of hydro water being drained or pumped to  
4 the NOWS. I'll just read these quickly. This  
5 one states tank 23 being pumped about  
6 500 gallons per minute to NOWS and there is a  
7 question mark there. The log before that one  
8 states pumpers drain tank 23 hydro H2 to NOWS.  
9 I believe in that second one they're talking  
10 about hydro water, too.

11 MS. HAYES: That's May 22 and 23 of  
12 '95.

13 BY MR. BERGER:

14 Q. Right. Then one from 2/14/95 states -- it's got  
15 Schlomka and then an arrow, line up tank 12, H2O  
16 and to area to NOWS via neutralization basin at  
17 1100. It's apparently that hydro water goes  
18 to --

19 A. Yeah, NOWS or lower lagoon.

20 Q. Are you aware of any testing of that water for  
21 hazardous constituents?

22 A. We're not in charge for that. You know, they  
23 would just tell us it's coming. As far as  
24 testing, I assume you would have to ask someone  
25 in the pumping department.

1 Q. You're not aware of any?

2 A. They never say, you know, it's tested okay we  
3 are sending it to you, they basically say here  
4 it comes.

5 Q. The west storm pond, are you aware of the west  
6 storm pond going down by itself without being  
7 pumped, it would just go down? Have you ever  
8 heard of that problem or seen that problem?

9 A. Well, yeah, I've seen the level decrease in it,  
10 but I don't know if it was evaporation or what.  
11 You can tell kind of by the rings around it.  
12 You get a build up of calcium or whatever it is.  
13 But I don't know why, if it was all evaporation  
14 or what.

15 Q. Did you think the reason might be a leak in that  
16 basin?

17 A. Personally it's nothing I would think of.

18 Q. You more or less attributed it to evaporation?

19 A. Yeah. I figured it's a fairly new basin and  
20 should be secure. If there were a leak in it  
21 there's so much dirt in the bottom you can't see  
22 the liner anyway from the dirt washed into the  
23 basin from the hydrant flushing.

24 Q. We might have asked you this question when we  
25 first interviewed you, but I wanted to be sure

1 we covered it. There was a process of taking a  
2 hose and moving coker pond water to the sump in  
3 the west storm pond and from that sump it went  
4 up to the waste water treatment plant.

5 A. Right.

6 Q. There was documented a number of times this sump  
7 overflowing. Are you aware of that problem  
8 happening?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. How many times are you aware of that problem  
11 happening?

12 A. More than I could -- frequent.

13 Q. It was a frequent problem?

14 A. Yeah.

15 Q. What was the fix to that?

16 A. Well, there's no permanent fix per se. There  
17 were things put in place that from my personal  
18 point of view are band-aids, but there's nothing  
19 that's going to stop it from happening if we  
20 ever have to go that way again.

21 Q. You have to manually go down there and adjust  
22 the valves, don't you?

23 A. No, the pump runs on a level. There's two  
24 pumps, one starts before the level -- one pumps  
25 starts at high level setting and you can change

1           those settings. You're relying on  
2           instrumentation. They put an alarm system, a  
3           so-called alarm system, that doesn't give us an  
4           audible alarm, it's a small flashing light  
5           located at the pond, which if you're not  
6           standing at the pond to see the light no one is  
7           going to know it's a high level.

8           Q. Do you know what the specific problem was that  
9           caused those overflows?

10          A. Well, the very first overflow we ever had down  
11          there was basically a supervisor telling the  
12          contractor to start the flow without ever  
13          telling the operators what was going on. They  
14          never checked with the operator to see if the  
15          pumps were operational. That was way back years  
16          ago when we first started using that system for  
17          that purpose. Other times it was  
18          instrumentation failure, pumps being in the off  
19          position rather than auto, things like that.

20                   MR. BERGER:     That's all.

21                   (Whereupon, the interview concluded at

22                   11:10 a.m.)

23                   \*           \*           \*

24

25



STATE OF MINNESOTA)  
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN)

BE IT KNOWN, that I, MILO BALLINGRUD, Court Reporter, a Notary Public in and for the County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota, certify that the foregoing is a true record of the interview of Todd Aalto, and reduced to writing in accordance with my stenographic notes made at said time and place.

I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel;

That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my impartiality;

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand  
on this 24th day of December, 1997.

**MILO BALDINGRUD,**  
Notary Public, Hennepin County, Minnesota  
My Commission Expires January 31, 2000.