INTERVIEW OF:

TODD AALTO

TAKEN NOVEMBER 6, 1997 AT 1:50 P.M.

MILO BALLINGRUD EAGLE REPORTING SERVICES 2104 Glenhurst Road Minneapolis, Minnesota 55416 (612) 920-3109 INTERVIEW OF TODD AALTO, taken pursuant to agreement of and between parties at, Koch Industries, Inc., P.O. Box 64596, St. Paul, Minnesota, at approximately 1:50 p.m. on Thursday, November 6, 1997 before Milo Ballingrud, Notary Public, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota.

APPEARANCES:

Present from the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency: DON L. KRIENS, P.E.

MARY L. HAYES

GREGORY BERGER

Present from Koch Industries: JAMES K. VOYLES, Attorney at Law

Present from the law firm Green Espel:

JODEEN A. KOZLAK, Attorney at Law SUSAN K. WIENS, Attorney at Law

INDEX

EXAMINATIONS:

BY MR. KRIENS: page 18, 57, 71

BY MS. HAYES: page 5, 49

MY MR. BERGER: page 37, 62, 72

MOCH JOB MISTORY: page 5

OWS TO NOWS: page 10

MANHOLE OVERFLOWS: page 14

MYDRANT FLUSHING: page 18

LEAKS IN API WALL: page 42

SINKHOLES: page 44

FACILITY SEVER SYSTEM: page 49

MACHINASHING TO COKER PONDS: page 40

DUMPING AT STH STREET SUMP: page 68

1	RV	MR.	BERGER:
_	DI	ru.	

2 Q. Todd, I have a little introduction that I
3 should know by heart now because I've stated
4 it every time.

As you are probably aware, Minnesota

Pollution Control Agency is conducting a civil
investigation that is focusing on Koch

Refinery operations and a variety of
pollution, environmental related issues or
situations regarding those operations. We are
seeking your cooperation in obtaining
information related to those situations.

At this time we want you to know that you are not obligated to answer these questions if you don't want to. This is totally voluntary on your part. Information obtained in this investigation may be used in administrative, civil or criminal actions in the future, and the MPCA is free to choose any of these actions. If we choose one in the future it doesn't preclude us from choosing another in the future. We want you to know this investigation at this time is not focusing on any individual, this is an investigation into Koch, the refinery operations. Any questions

1		about that?
2	A.	Not really.
3	BY MS.	HAYES:
4	Q.	Todd, I'm Mary Hayes and I work in the
5		division of water quality for the Minnesota
6		Pollution Control Agency.
7		Would you state for us what your job is,
8		how long you've been here, if your
9		responsibilities have changed over the time
10		you've been here? Give us a brief sketch of
11		what that entailed over your
12	λ.	February of '91 I entered the department as an
13	Ż	operator, classified as a number two operator.
 14		I became a number one operator I'm guessing
15	·	sometime in '95, '96. I don't remember the
16		date exactly. It was when another operator
17		went to a different department. Then I became
18		number one. I became what they classify out
19		here as a day number one in April of this
20		year. I was on strict day shift through
21		sometime in September I believe. Then at that
22		point I went back to on shift number one,
23		which I previously was. The only difference
24		is I went from straight days back to shift
25		work. So that's pretty much where I stand

1		right now.
2	Q.	Are you certified?
3	A.	I've got a Class C.
4	Q.	Todd, tell me what you know about events of
5		the oil line, oily sheens on storm water
6		ponds. Most specifically B5 is what we're
7		seeing in the logs.
8	A.	The one that comes to mind, we had an
9		exchanger leak, it leaked some oil into the
10		clean water sewer, which eventually made it's
11		way down to B5. There was a quantity floating
12		on top of B5 that we proceeded to try and
13		corral and clean up. That's the only major
14		oil on a storm water pond I can think of.
15	-	Once in a while there's been a few
16		occasions where we've seen a slight rainbow on
17		the influent bay to the south pond. That's an
18		area for collection so it can be cleaned up.
19		I've never seen it on that pend itself, just
20		the fore bay, so to speak.
21	Q.	And that's B5?
22	λ.	That was on the south pond, a very light
23		rainbow affect. The only quantity of oil I've
24		seen on B5 is that one time we had that
25		exchanger leak.

1	Q.	Do you recall when that was?
2	A.	Let's see, early spring of '96. I don't
3		remember the date exactly. I know there was
4	•	ice on the pond yet because we had some ducks
5		on the ice that we'were throwing rocks at to
6 .		try to get to go in the other direction.
7	Q.	I think it might be related to January, around
8		the middle of January of '96 possibly.
9	A.	That sounds right.
10	Q.	I think these are your initials on these logs,
11		and I think this might be your handwriting.
12	A.	I was present during this.
13	Q.	This is dated January 14, '96, number 35;
14	•	January 15, '96, the number is 43; and January
15	•	7, '96, and the number is 49. Those I think
16		are yours (indicating). Would you take a look
17		those and tell me if that's the case?
18	λ.	Yes, it's mostly my handwriting.
19	Q.	Okay. You think that those are probably due
20		to the exchanger?
21	A.	Yeah, from my recollection I'm sure it is.
22	Q.	That event?
23	λ.	Yeah, that would be that time.
24	Q.	I think this is also your log from January 15,
25		'97, and the number is 997, B5 overflowing

1		north end (indicating).
2	λ.	Right, I remember this. We found it
3		immediately when we came on shift.
4	Q.	Could you tell how long it had been going by
5		taking a look at the ground?
6	λ.	If I remember right, there was a fairly large
7		ponding of the water down at the lower area.
8		It's impossible to say how long or how much,
9		but a number of hours I would say.
10	Q.	All right. So this would have been when you
11	·	were having a problem with the oil on the pond
12.		it appears?
13	A.	No, that's '97, the other one is '96.
14	Q.	All right. Thank you for setting me straight
15		on that. All right. How about overflows in
16		196, do you recall those?
1.7	A.	Most of the times that we start having
18		problems with that level was related to was
19		not related to the oil, it was more when we
20		were having other problems that didn't
21,		overflow. It wasn't routine before that time.
22	Q.	Here's another log from February of '97,
23		February 12, '97, number 1272 (indicating).
24		It says B5 is overflowing north end, called
25		Weather we should ingresse flow enough to

1		stop overflow now than to API flow. That's
2		yours, right?
3	λ.	Yeah, I remember that.
4	Q.	So in these cases it sounds like you didn't
5,		have adequate freeboard, obviously, in the
6		pond, or they wouldn't be overflowing?
7	A.	Right.
8	Q.	So in those cases you needed to call and check
9		with environmental to see if you could pump up
10	· ·	the flow to the polishing pond?
11	A.	Right. I needed to know which was a worst
12		case scenario I shouldn't say that, which
13		was a better way to go with the flow and the
14		limited room we had.
15	· Q.	Okay. In any case you would want to stop the
16		overflow?
17	Ÿ.	Right.
18	Q.	That would be obvious?
19	A.	Right.
50	Q.	What was your understanding of Why you were
21		reacting to that? In other words, why do you
22		have to stop and ask the question, why
23		wouldn't the normal course of events be you
24		would just be putting that water to its
25		designated outflow, the river?

1	, A.	Well, judging by the log and from my memory,
2		the ammonia levels were high at that time, so
3		that's why we were in that predicament.
4	Q.	So you were involved in stacking water for the
5		high ammonia problem you were having at the
6		time?
7	A.	Right.
8	Q.	How about when you said that for that event
9	-	you you recall that it had to do with an
10		exchanger problem for the oil being on the
11	A.	Right.
12	Q.	For the event in '96 I should say.
13	A.	Uh-huh.
14	Q.	What about in the logs that I have that deal
15	•	with overflow of the oily water to the
16		non-oily water sewer, your initials are on
17		approximately 20 or so of those logs.
18	λ.	Overflow of oily water to non-oily water?
19	Q.	Uh-huh.
20	A.	Where?
21	Q.	Near tank 500.
22	λ.	Oh, okay.
23	Q.	That problem, you had been aware of that for
24		quite some time?
25	A.	Right.

1	Q.	I assume?
2	λ.	Right.
3	Q.	How long did you know about that?
- 4	λ.	Well, it's been a potential spot for problems
5		basically as long as I've been in the
6		department, but having an actual problem with
7		that spot overflowing, my recollection is it
8		would be after we increased the line sites
9		from the coker pond to that manhole, and I
10		couldn't give you the exact date on that. It
11		was some years ago. I'm guessing well, I
12		shouldn't guess. I don't know exactly when it
13		was.
14	Q.	And your initials are on a log that on May
15	•	3 and 4, this is number 360. It says noted
16		oily water to the storm water basin. Do you
17		know what basin we're talking about there?
18		MS. WIENS: What was the date?
19		THE WITNESS: 196, 5/3/96. This is
20		Mark's writing. From this I don't remember
21		and couldn't tell you for sure what basin
22		they're talking about.
23	BY MS.	HAYES:
24	Q.	And then on May 8, 196, who authored that memo
36		or los is that you or

4	A.	IOU AGIIC CO VIOA ATTECTIVA TO HOLO ON
2		the highlighted part or the whole works?
3	Q.	The highlighted part.
4	λ.	I can't tell if that's Mark's writing or mine.
5	Q.	But the basin was overflowing there?
6	A.	Yeah, it's the NOWS.
7	Q.	Do you know what that means in terms of which
8		basin?
9	A.	Non-oily water sewer basins are south of this
10		ag filter building. What they are basically
11		is a series of basins, small basins, to help
12		collect any oil if something were to come
13		down, catch it before it hits B5. That's
14		well, that's not the original purpose, the
15	•	original purpose was for pH control and
16		treatment many years ago. It just happens
17		and for mixing also. It just happens that now
18	-	it's a spot where if we do run into a problem
19		we can catch it before it gets to the B5
20		basin. So what he's talking about here is
21		those basins actually overflowing. I would
22	•	like to say that's Mark's writing, but I can't
23		be a hundred percent sure if it's not mine. A
24		lot of times him and I write entirely
25		different. but sometimes you know.

1	Q.	Okay. What about the frequency of something
2		like this happening?
3	A.	That's infrequent. The times that I remember
4		that happening we had one of since the
5		believe it was after the B5 oil episode we put
6		floating
7	Q.	Booms?
8	λ.	Yeah, those hotdog things, you know, near the
9		outlet. So if we did run into a problem that
LO		would give us a little bit more lead time to
l 1		try to catch it. I believe one of those kind
12		of got sucked in there, into the valve spout,
13		you know, so it was restricting the water from
L 4		leaving. And that flow into that swings quite
.5	•	a bit up and down, so I remember that being a
16	•	problem, we had to pull one of those out of
17		there.
. #	Q.	Okay. Did you ever make a connection or have
19		an understanding that the contribution from
20		the you know, the coker pond was usually
1		the problem or culprit on tank 500 overflow to
32		the non-oily water?
23	A.	Well, the constant flow is not necessarily
24		what triggers the overflow because that flow
		4-1

1		day or whatever period of time. There's other
2		flows from the refinery that are intermittent
3		that go in there at the same time, and if we
4		happen to be doing a thousand GPM or 1,500 GPM
5		and it's handling it, then all of a sudden
6		some other part of the refinery or the coker
7		will showe something in there and then it will
8		go out.
9	Q.	Okay. Can you tell me what other areas that
10		would be?
11	λ.	Mainly the coker area. I believe that one of
12		the culprits which has since been fixed was
13 .		the tank 500 overflow. Whenever the tank got
14		too high the overflow would go down into that
15	•	manhole and that surge would it's not my
16		area though, that's the one thing I know about
17		that area.
18	Q.	Okay. I have a sequence of logs that just
19		show and you're only on the first one, and
30		it's March 20, '97. The number is 1153. On
21		March 20 you've got the manhole overflowing,
2		that's what you're reporting. That's your
23		writing, isn't it?
₹4	λ.	Right.
25	Q.	And on March 24 you got an overflow again by

1		tank 500, so it's just a couple of days. And
2		then on March 25 we've got B5 overflowing the
3		north end. And, you know, we know that we've
4		seer oil on the north pond a couple of times,
5		and then when we were out here in April we
6		sampled that sediment and it did have organics
7		and BLTs and
. 8	λ.	The sediment in the north end?
9	Q.	On the morth side where the overflow is.
10	A. ,	Oh, the dirt there. Okay.
11	Q.	So I've asked others this and I'll just ask
12		you. Do you make a connection then that
13		possibly the tank 500 overflow going into the
14		non-oily water could be causing that water on
15	-	B5 that overflows, that we get the soil sample
16		from, could there be a connection there with
17		contaminating that?
18	λ.	Would the contamination on the north side of
19		B5 be connected to the coker
20	Q.	The tank 500 overflow, yeah.
21	A.	It could be, yeah.
22	Q.	Okay. Thanks. So just let me clarify this,
23		because when we were out here we talked to
24		some coker operators, I think it might have
25		been?

. •	л.	uditte trathai.
2	Q.	Wayne Murphy, thank you. And he said that
3		typically you had to cut to below 900 or I
4		don't know if he said 900 or 700 GPM.
5	λ.	700.
6	Q.	Okay. Then like August 10, '96 a log that you
7		are on said cut coker pond to 400, manhole is
8		overflowing.
9	λ.	Uh-huh. That's usually what we would do, cut
10		it a lot more than what we needed and
11		gradually increase.
12	Q. :	Just to be sure you took care of the issue?
13	A.	Uh-huh.
14	Q.	I guess from what you just told me I have some
15	• '	clarification. The thing is it would be
16		variable how much where the stuff was
17		coming from. You said you could go along and
18		could be handling it at maybe even a thousand
19		or 1,200 or something, but then another
20		contribution would come along and that would
21		be the straw that breaks the dike.
22	A.	Uh-huh.
23	Q.	Okay. For right now I have one more question
24		about a log that I think you authored. I'm
25		not sure though, maybe that's one of those by

1		Mark Stevens or you possibly?
2		MS. WIENS: What's the date.
3		MS. HAYES: 3/13/96.
4		Ms. WIENs: Does it have a number?
5		THE WITNESS: 222. While I was
6		outside the bottom highlighted area is my
7		writing. The other ones look like Mark's.
8		The only difference is he was in on the board
9		and I probably called him on the radio and he
10	·	wrote it down for me, because I was outside in
11		the tank 500 area. That would have been my
1.2		area of responsibility that day.
13	BY MS.	HAYES:
14	Q.	Okay. Do you remember what the problem on the
15	•	river and barge slip was?
16	λ.	No, I didn't read that part of it.
17	Q.	Oh, okay.
18	A.	I guess I saw some foam, but that's all.
19	Q.	Do you remember what the problem was there?
20	λ.	(Views document) If this is the same
21		occurrence that I'm remembering, there was
22		some foam built up with the ice or inside the
23		ice that we were worried about that just kind
24		of looked ugly. That's the extent of what I
25		remember.

· 1		MS. HAYES: Thank you. I think
2		that's all I have right now.
3		MR. BERGER: Nothing on that.
4	BY MR.	KRIENS:
5	Q.	I'm Don Kriens, by the way. Were you working
6		at the operations and the waste water plant
7		through lest year then up through this spring?
8	A.	I'm currently an operator.
9	Q	You currently are, okay.
10	λ.	Yeah, I haven't left yet.
11	Q.	Okay. Were you aware of the practice of the
12		use of the fire hydrants for discharge of
13		waste water on land from the storm ponds?
14	λ.	Well, as far as I guess I need a
15	•	clarification. As far as the
16	Q.	Not for fire hydrant flushing or winterization
17		or those things.
18	A.	Okay.
19	Q.	Just because the water in the ponds, it was
20		decided to get rid of the water.
21	A. .	Okay, now I know where you're coming from.
22	'	Yeah, there was times when we ran out of room
23		in some of the easier to get to areas, so I
24		was involved in one incident where we ended up
26		nutting water towards the west tank farm area.

Do you want to explain that one? Q. 1 Well, my recollection is that we were stacking 2 A. water. We had room in the west storm pond, 3 which is out in the west tank farm. I was in contact with the shift supervisor's office at that time, and I believe -- well, from what I 6 remember they contacted me and said they were 7 going to move some water to the west tank farm. I said we've got room, that's fine, I 9 don't see a problem with that. You know, it's 10 a lined basin, we had done it before. 11 was kind of the end of it on my part. 12 said they would get a hold of safety who is in 13 charge of fire hydrants and we'll use the 14 hydrants and go through the system and back it 15 16 up. Back it up into the lagoon or the pond? 17 Q. That was my understanding. I found out at a A. 18 later date we went to an area we had never 19 gone to before, which surprised me because it 20 was something we didn't do. 21 Q. Mean an area --22 An unlined basin. 23 A. Land area? 24 Q.

Well, west tank farm, west storm pond, that is

25

A.

the lined basin I was referring to go. 1 When you say the unlined area, it was the far 2 Q. tank farm but not a basin? Correct. λ. Go ahead. 5 Q. Anyway, like I said, I found out later, I'm A. not exactly sure how much later, if it was 7 hours or the next day or what it was, that they had gone to an area on the northwest --9 more on the north side of the plant, a low --10 just kind of a low spot in the contour of the 11 land over there. 12 I remember going over there and seeing 13 the trees were covered in ice and broken off 14 because the hydrants had sprayed up and the 15 ice had collected on the trees. Then after 16 that there was an investigation, from what I 17 remember, as to why we went there, what the 18 levels in the water were at the time and land 19 application of whatever was in the water, 20 levels that were permitted and things like 21 that. That was above me at that time, I just 22 remember getting correspondence about it. 23 Do you remember when that was? You're saying 24 Q.

they went to the west tank farm, but that's in

25

1		a different area than the north side of the
2		plant.
3	A.	From what I remember it ended up being not
4		exactly it wasn't even on the west side of
5		the tracks. The west tank farm is anything
6		west of the railroad tracks over there.
7		That's in, you know, operator lingo. But it
8 ,.		was actually east side of the tracks, north
9		side of the plant. If you had a map I could
10		probably point it out.
11	Q.	So is it north and then west of the waste
12		water plant?
13	A.	It would be almost directly west of the B5,
14		almost directly west of B5 along the fence
15	•	kind of.
16 .	Q.	It's a real low depression area, there's a
17		little pond down there?
18	A.	Correct.
19	Q.	And it drops off pretty steeply, relatively
20		steeply?
21	λ.	Yes. Like I said, I had never been over in
22	•	that part of the plant, never had any reason
23		to go over there until I found out what had
24		happened and I went and looked. I really
2 %		haven't been there since.

		I'm not sure, but I think that's the January 4
1	Q.	period when we actually first learned of this,
2		period when we accuracy about 2.88 million
3		and that's when they had about 2.88 million
4	•	gallons that was flushing down there.
.5	λ.	That sounds about right.
6	Q.	Do you know of any other ones before that?
	_	Nothing that didn't go into the basin itself,
7	A.	into the lined west storm pond basin. That
8		was our goal, to keep it in the lined basins.
9		After that there was a policy developed on
10	Q.	After that there was a because apparently
11		reportable quantities because apparently
12		January 4 exceeded that reportable quantity.
13		Were you advised of that or how did you learn
14		about that?
•	λ.	Well, it was the first time I was involved in
15	Α.	ground application quantity. It's just not
16		anything you deal with, or I haven't dealt
17		with it before, everything was, you know,
18		with it before, every many the amount
19		affluent to the pond quantity. The amount
20		that you can put to the ground is different
21		than what you can discharge in the water. It
22		was all new to me. So, yeah, we had a policy
		or a statement came out that explained what
23		and why and all that and that was not the
24		proper thing to do, you know, and because of
25		proper entity to wo, 1

1		it and so on.
2	Q.	Okay. Do you know anything about one that
3		occurred on November 3 and 4? This was
4		another hydrant release to ground area in '96.
5	A.	Not right off the top of my head. If I saw a
6 .		log or something I might be able to. We write
7	ŧ	everything in the logs that happens, if we
8		can, as time allows for the day, so that's
9		kind of what we rely on.
10	Q.	I do have some logs, and I don't think
11		except for the ones I don't have all of
12	·	those, but I have one on November 2, which I
13		think your initials, T.A., are on there I
14		think (indicating).
15	, y •	Right.
16	Q.	And that one talks about sending specials to
17 (lab for TSS and ammonia. That talks about
18		cutting flow at 87 to less than three units.
19		Why would that have been done at this time?
20	A.	Well, with the TSS being at 104, it doesn't
21		state on well, this was a weekend.
22	Q.	Ammonia was I think 110.
23	λ.	That's a precautionary level I usually take
24		until I may have very well wrote this in as
25		soon as I sent the samples up and before I

1		actually knew what the numbers were just by
2		visual. I thought this is not good, so I
3	-	backed the flow down until I could find out
4		exactly what's going on.
5	Q.	Okay. Then on would this be, 0700 to 1900
6		on Sunday, is that the morning?
7	A.	Day shift.
8	Q.	Day shift starting at 7:00 a.m.?
9	λ.	Right.
10	Q.	This log states that the ammonia results at S7
11		were TSS 72 and ammonia 110. Would the 110 be
12		relatively high then?
13	A.	Oh yeah.
14	Q.	Also there is a copy of Heather's letter,
15	-	actually it's a memorandum, of October 24 to
16		the shifties concerning the toxicity and
17		testing and sampling starting Monday,
18		November 4. Did you know about the toxicity
19		test that was scheduled?
20	λ.	I'm sure that's our formal minnow test from
21		what I'm gathering from this.
22	Q.	Here's Heather's memorandum that talks about
23		it. It's an annual toxicity test.
24	A.	Right. I know about that.
25	Q.	Required in the permit.

1	λ.	Right.
2	Q.	And that was scheduled to begin November 4.
3		When this operator log states cut flow to
4		river from S7 to 1.7 units, what does that
5		actually mean?
6	λ.	Basically the same as this previous one, we
7		cut back the pump discharge rate to the
8		polishing ponds. A lot of it, when it says
9	,	flow to the river it actually means flow to
		the polishing ponds because, you know, that's
10		where we go first, obviously. Cut it back to
11		1.7, and I'm fairly certain that is, and I
12		would have to have a calculator, based on the
13		ammonia going out and our permit parameters.
14		You know, 1.7 even with a 110, 1.7 may have
15		been was probably still within limits. I'm
16		been was probably still have made a cut back
17		sure that's why we would have made a cut back
18		to that specific amount. Normally we don't
19		get, you know that picky on how many units.
20		MS. HAYES: What does 1.7 units mean
21	•	then, Todd.
22		THE WITNESS: It's 400 gallons per
23		minute per unit I believe. So you're probably
24		looking at 700 maybe, 600, 700 gallons a
25		minute.
		•

1	BY MR.	KRIENS:
2	Q.	I have calculated it around .57 million
3		gallons a day per unit, somewhere in there. I
4		want to show you another so what that means
5		is that typically you have so many units going
6		out to the polishing ponds from 87 off the
7		waste water plant, I think the average flow
8		was three and a half million gallons a day,
9	-	and the 1.7 is equivalent to maybe 1.1 or so,
10	·	somewhere in that range, million gallons a day
11		or 1.2.
12		MS. WIENS: Do you know that's the
13		case, Todd?
14		MR. KRIENS: Does that sound right?
15	•	THE WITNESS: Our normal flow
16		average is probably in the three and a half
17		range. You know, it's up and down, like
18		anything. Which equates to three and a half I
19	·	believe is about six units on the board. So
20		this would be roughly, you know, a little less
21		than a third of normal, or average flow.
22	BY MR.	KRIENS:
23	Q.	So to my calculation that means roughly around
24		2.3 to 2.4 million gallons a day would have
25		been

1	A.	At that rate, 1.7?
2	Q.	No, no. I'm saying 2.3 to 2.4 million gallons
3		would have been backed up then into B5 since
4		it wasn't taken to the polishing pond.
5	λ.	Oh, I see. Yeah, I guess, if you did the
6		calculation.
7	Q.	Reading a memo here from Dave Gardner on
-	-	November 3, Sunday at 7:00 p.m., that's the
8		number, you have it stamped, 2100. This memo,
9		maybe you could help me with this one. They
10		pulled the S7 special sample at 1600 and the
11		pulled the s/ special
12		result was 110 parts per million ammonia,
13		that's reflected in that log (indicating). It
14		states here the plan based on 110 part per
15	•	million is to limit flow to the river to two
16		units, storage permitting, 800 GPM two units,
•		which is what that referred to. Three units
17		would put us at the limit for monthly average
18		and 6.5 would put us over the daily max. So
19		what are they talking about there?
20	·	what are they talking about we what we can run
21	A.	Basically they're telling us what we can run
22		based on our permit. I mean, it's so the
23		operators know exactly where we sit with that
24		110 GPM.
25	Q.	Okay.

1	A.	I mean, they know that 6.5 units is not
2		something we can do, seeing as that would put
3		us at daily max. Which we wouldn't even
4		attempt to get close to that, you know, making
5		sure that there's you want to make sure you
6		always have a fudge factor in there.
7	Q.	So the purpose then was to back the flow or
8		cut the flow down so you wouldn't exceed the
9		daily maximum of ammonia basically?
10	A.	Right.
L1	Q.	Now, there's an operating logging, this would
12		be Sunday, which would coincide to the timing
13	•	of this memorandum to some extent, it was a
L 4		night shift that was at 7:30 p.m. that night.
L 5	•	MS. WIENS: What is the date of that
16		one?
L7		MR. KRIENS: This one is November 3,
L'8		Sunday, and the number is 825.
19		THE WITNESS: He basically wrote
20		this at the start of the night shift or a half
21		hour into night shift.
22 🔧	BY MR.	KRIENS:
23	Q.	This log states safety to open three hydrants
24		in west tank farm on ground to help get rid of
25		water. Does that mean to you that they opened

	it, sprayed it through the hydrant on the
1	ground area? Do you know anything about that?
2	•
3	A. safety to open MS. WIENS: Who is the writer of
4	MS. WIENS: WHO IS the william
5	that one?
6.	THE WITNESS: It's either Steve
7	Nystrom or Carl Hamre, I can't differentiate
8	their well, it's probably Steve's. Carl
9	scribbles where you can hardly read it. I'm
_	not aware of that particular incident. I
10	mean, it appears to me the same as to you, it
11	was on the ground. Where on the ground or
12	what I obviously don't know.
13	
14	BY MR. KRIENS:
15	Q. Okay. Do you know of any other ones in
16	February? There was three discharges at the
17	end of the month of February.
18	A. Fire water discharges?
19	Q. Yeah, hydrant releases to ground.
	A. February of '97, this year?
20	and 27th.
21	the log Y you know, right off the
22	
23	top of my head I don't know.
24	Q. I didn't see any on the waste water logs, this
25	came from the safety logs, department logs,

1		where they flushed
2	λ.	Then we might not even have known anything
3		about it. The fire system is safety's
4	:	responsibility. We do correlate water
_		management with us to a large extent, but they
5		could have been flushing hydrants and we not
6		know anything about it. It's not unlikely.
7 8	Q.	Is it normal for them to flush hydrants that
9	-	+ (me of year?
	A.	You would have to ask them. I really couldn't
10 11	Α.	tell you. I never pay attention to their
12		schedule, you know.
13	Q.	In February of '97 there was a really high
14	·	ammonia load to the waste water plant, the
15	•	highest that you've had, I think, of that
16		whole period.
17	A.	That's probably when the strippers were having
18		problems.
19	Q.	Yeah. Does that sound familiar?
20	λ.	we had some high loads coming in.
	Q.	Actually it wasn't the highest, March was the
21	**	highest and February was the next highest.
22		During that month did you have to cut flow
23		back?
24	_	I'm sure we did, I'm sure we did. If the
25	A.	T.M BOTA AA ALA.

numbers were high that's routine. Well, we 1 send our daily samples up every day like 2 normal, if the number come back high we'll 3 immadiately send up a special to verify that it wasn't a goofy number. Most of the time, depending on the number, how high it is, I'll cut flow immediately and wait to see what the 7 secondary results were. If they're still high then we'll have to take them as -- yes, it is, 10 that's actual and go from there. Was there any other occasion when you had some 11 Q. sample results come back that looked 12 abnormally high on the specials and they were 13 retested and then they tested out low the next 14 15 time? There was one occasion. I remember they 16 λ. changed sample methods. Now, here this was in 17 the lab and I'm not exactly sure how they did 18 19 their ammonia sampling up there, or testing, but there was one occasion I remember I was on 20 and I got ridiculously high numbers, in the 21 high 100s, low 200s, something like that. I 22 come to find out the testers were using a 23 24 method that was not exactly accurate and they weren't calibrating the machine before each 25

1		test. I believe that's the way it panned out.
2		When they went to the more scientific way of
3		doing it they found out the numbers were
4		horribly erroneous. But we did make
5		adjustments even though, you know, until we
6		found that out.
7	Q.	Sure. How did the practice of backing it up,
8		when the ammonia was real high, into B5, how
9	*	that was initiated? Or in other words, who
LO		decided to begin doing that?
11	A.	Well, the operator on shift well, I can
12		speak for myself only, obviously. If I saw a
13		number that I thought, based on the flow and
L 4		everything, that was going to be a problem, I
15	•	would automatically cut back on the pumps
L 6		until I found our for sure what we were you
L 7		know, retest and the normal precedure.
L8		At that point first of all I would see
L9		the results, I would normally cut the flow
80		back right away, call environmental on call or
21		Heather or whoever, depending on the shift and
22	^	the day and all that, discuss what the
23		findings were, what the flow is at, what our
24		monthly average is at, all that kind of stuff.
25		In the meantime, depending on the situation

1 .		and the quantity, decide if we need to stay us
2		a low flow based on B5's level and everything
3		until we get the recheck back on the second
_		sample, you know, that type of thing.
4		Aside from the mechanics of actually doing it,
5	Q.	how did the practice get initiated in terms of
6		that was a mode of operation, the way things
7		were dealt with when you had high ammonia? Is
8		were dealt with when you have been done of Was
9		that something that's always been done or was
10		that something recent with the ammonia
11		problem?
12	A.	From as far back as I remember if you got high
13	N.	numbers on any parameter you would immediately
14		calculate it out based on what you're flowing.
15	•	If it's not a problem you resample, and
16		depending on inventory you either keep it
		going if you're within your parameters or you
17		back it down a little, whatever. But it's
18		usually I would say most of the time, you
19		know, the operator is who sees everything
20		first hand, so he is going to make the move
21		first hand, so he is young that need to
22		first and then contact the people that need to
23		know and then decide how long we need to do
24		this. You know, if water inventory is a
25		problem then it would be above us as to what

1		to do with the water, you know, if you have
•		nowhere to go so to speak.
2		From what you're saying, is that what the
3	Q.	normal mode of operation would be when you
4		normal mode of operation was analy how it was
5		started there, that was generally how it was
6		done and that's how you learned how to do it,
7		is that what you're saying?
	A.	More or less, yeah. It was not an issue until
8		mainly the ammonia problem. I mean, it was
9		never anything that was a problem, you know,
10.		so it's not anything I remember that much, it
11		so it's not anything I remove many years
12		wasn't that big of deal. We went many years
13		there where we didn't even get near our
14		monthly average number much less our daily max
15	•	on things, so we didn't have to worry about
16		it.
	Q.	Yeah, it sounds like it's specific to ammonia.
17	4.	I looked at all the data as well.
18		There was another constraint, too, that
19		required you on a daily basis to back it up
20		required you on a daily butter as
21		from S7 because of the carbon feed system as
22	٨	well. As I understand it, you used the S7
23		pumps to feed carbon, and during that time it
		had to, obviously, go back to B5.
24	•	Well, I won't say it had to go to B5.
25	A.	HA! **

1	Q.	HOM GIG TUST AOLY TUSUL
2	A.	Like you said, you use the discharge pressure
3		off the S7 pumps to feed our carbon system, we
4		need a minimum of well, it varied, but say
5		40 pounds pressure up the hill to get it to
6		run. Sometimes you have to close the control
7		valve at S7 quite a bit to get that pressure
8		up there, depending on how much water you're
9		shoving through the control valve. There were
10		times with the inexperience that we've had
11		down there where it wasn't managed quite the
12		way it could have been with getting enough
13		pressure and shoving as much water out as you
14		can, it was just kind of we'll shut the valve
15		off so we have pressure so we can run type of
16		thing. But that was on the inexperience end.
17		I would say there was a lot of that.
18		There were times when, you know, it's
19		inevitable you would back water into B5. It's
20		just the way you had to do it to get the
21		system to run.
22	Q.	Was the use of the 57 to feed the carbons then
23		a minor component of the volume of water that
24		was backed up into there since that was done
46		dust for hules neutain?

1	A.	That varied based on how much carbon we wanted
2		to run, and it also varied on if the
3		individual forgot to switch the system back
4		right away. It could be there are times
5		when I remember the significant contributing
6		factor is the water level in B5.
7	Q.	How long do you normally run the carbon every
8		day? Or do you run it every day?
9	A.	Well, there were a few days off now when we
10	•	don't run it, but for the most part, yes, we
11		do run it every day. The average, I would say
12		a good average is 150 minutes, sometimes as
13	-	high as 300, 400, sometimes as low as 60
14		minutes. It would probably average out to a
15	-	couple hours, about two and a half hours.
16	Q.	So two to two and a half hours out of a 24
17		hour period?
18	A.	Well, that also depends on other aspects of
19		the plant, too. If we're foaming we may run
20		carbons every four hours, you know, because it
21		knocks the foam down.
22	Q.	Yeah, if you have that problem, okay. In
23		these memos I showed you, when they talk about
24		cutting flow to 1.7 and 2 units, or if they
25.		refer to one unit and so on, that's generally

1	not because of the carbon unit, is that
2	correct, it's because they need the back flow
3	up for the purposes of controlling the
4	concentrations there?
5	A. You wouldn't write in the log cut flow to 1.7
6	because of carbon, I don't think you would
7	ever see that in a log. There's no reason to
8	write it down based on that.
9	MR. KRIENS: That's all, thank you.
10	MS. WIENS: Off the record.
	(At this time a short break was taken.)
11	BY MR. BERGER:
12	Q. Todd, I want to talk first off briefly, if
13	possible, about an issue that has come up a
14	number of times regarding overflows to the
15	coker ponds. Can you tell me what your
16'	general knowledge is of that problem and what
17	caused it and the time span you are aware this
18	problem was happening?
19	and a problem with that
20	A. Let's see, we've much a possible and since oh, for quite some time. The
21	farthest back I can remember was during the
22	time that Larry Klemetson was our supervisor,
23	and prior to that, I don't know the dates
24	exactly, but probably in the '95 area,
25	exactly, but probably in the

1		possibly earlier. Anyway, the reasons for it,
2		limited capacity in the ponds, full of coke
3		basically. Limited amount of flow that we
4		could discharge out of them because of the
5		manhole 500 and pump problems and different
6		problems. Large rainfalls contributed to the
7		amounts in there.
8	Q.	Do you know how many times this happened in
9		the last couple years?
10	λ.	All I could say is many. I never started
11		keeping track.
12	Q.	And when the overflows occurred did they
13		always go over towards the tracks there on the
14		west side? How many times did that happen?
15	•	When it overflowed where did it go?
16	· λ .	Nost of the time it would go on the west side
17		into the ditch by the railroad tracks.
18	Q.	Over the road?
19	a.	Correct, right. But then they started the
20	-	practice of berming that road so that it ended
21		up going south to the Eighth Street area.
22		That's basically the only place they can go,
23		that's the direction of flow so to speak.
24	Q.	Can you tell me what your knowledge is of
25	•	other flows into the coker ponds other than

1		the ones you mentioned, like the Eighth
2		Street Seventh Street sump, things like
3		that?
4	· A.	Yeah, Seventh Street overflows there, Sixth
5		Street sump can overflow there. You know,
6		your normal rain water and your coker flow
7		down through the ditch, other flows into the
8		coker ponds. Well, there have been times
9		that not necessarily flows, but transported
10	·	material.
11	Q.	You mentioned transported materials that were
L2		released to the coker ponds, and could you
13		elaborate on that a little bit more?
14	A.	One that comes to mind was water that had been
15	٠	at the Eighth Street area, which originally
16		came from, I believe it was tank one. That
L 7	1.	was transmitted to the coker pond area or a
18		holding basin, we isolated one of the basins.
.		I believe the northeast one, used that for a
10	:	holding area so that we could get another
21		have another way of bringing it into the plant
22		and treating it on a regulated flow rate.
23	Q.	Do you recall any other times?
24	A.	Nothing that comes to mind right now.
	^	Whis specific instance with the de-contening of

1		tank one, we're aware of that, you're correct
2	•	Do you know if there was a lot of oil mixed in
3		with that water, or some oil?
4	λ.	I can't remember. I remember we tested that
5		stuff, and did not it wasn't very good
6		stuff. I remember the concentration on the
7		we most likely tested it for ammonia, phenols,
8		sulfites, you know, the normal routine stuff.
9	-	I remember it being on the rich side in most
10		of those parameters so that we fed it through
11		the system quite slow. When we did start
12		feeding the system we just cracked the value
13		open slowly.
14	Q.	Would those test results be available, the
15	•	records in your department?
16	A.	I would think so. They should be in the limb
17		system somewhere under special or they should
18		have been written in the log somewhere along
19		the line I'm sure. Like I said, we write
20		everything down. There are occasions when
21		you're too busy and you forget a few things,
22		but for the most part.
23	Q.	Do you know what the term means back washing
24		to the coker ponds? Have you ever heard that
25		term used heat weeking?

1	A.	Well, back flushing the coker pond pumps, but
2		back washing?
3	Q.	Could you describe back flushing?
4	A.	When the screens, the suction screens get
5		plugged up you just shut the flow off, let the
6		water that's in the discharge pipe come back
7		down the hill and flush out the screens so you
8		can get better suction.
9	Q.	I'm going to show you a couple pictures here
10	•	that they've taken of I don't know what
11		pond it is, but I think it might be the
12		northeast. It shows a hole, erosion of the
13		dike, part of the dike.
14	A. .	(Views photo) It's northeast, right.
15	Q.	Were you aware of that situation developing?
16	A.	Yes, there were times when I remember when
17		we had the hole problem there.
18	Q.	I think that picture is from February. Well,
19		I'm not exactly sure. It's sometime in early
20		1997, this year I believe.
21	A.	I remember writing on the coker pond daily
22		whatever it's called.
23	Q.	Log?
24	A.	A log, whatever, condition of it, whatever,
25		for some time writing on there that we had a

1		problem with that.
2	Q.	Are you aware of other times when you had
3		problems like this?
4	λ.	The ones I remember were related to this
5		northwest corner of the northeast pond. And I
-		believe that I was one of the people, and not
6		the only one, that put work orders in the
7 8		system to address it, if my memory serves me
6	•	
9		right. Okay. I want to go to another issue regarding
10	Ω-	the API separator. I have a drawing I believe
11		made by you, the initials are T.A., and it's
12		made by you, the initiate at 5496. I believe
13		dated 9/2/95. It's document 5496. I believe
14		it was attached to a memo, but I don't have
15		that (indicating). Could you tell me what
16		that's all about?
17	A.	Yeah, it's mine. It was a weekend, I was
18		getting a lot of flow into 52, which is the
19		oil water separation pump for the API. It's
		flow that shouldn't have been going in there
20		so I was just troubleshooting the system
21		trying to figure out where the flow was coming
22		from, get some isolation of one basin or the
23		other, and found that the cone skimmers
24		which the cone skimmers are the first ones
25		which the cone brimmer and

1		in the front here (indicating), had leaks
2	•	around seals. It's just a pipe inside a pipe
3		with a seal around it. I determined that
4	•	that's where the flow was coming from. Also
5		there was a very minimal leak on this one, and
6	-	that was contributing to the constant flow
7		that was coming into the sump and basically
8	·	wearing our pumps out prematurely.
9	Q.	Okay. This situation as you describe here,
10		would this lead to any releases outside of the
11		API separator onto the ground?
12	A.	No, that's completely confined in the system.
L3	Q.	Internal?
L4	A.	Right.
LS	· Q.	A related issue, I want to talk about some
16		information we have about cracks of the API
L 7		separator walls. Are you aware of a problem
LØ		with that that surfaced in early '96, maybe
19		early summer of '96?
90	A. .	Right, yeah.
21	Q.	Can you tell me what you know about that?
22	A.	I remember when we were digging up the area
23		for a collapsed discharge pipe that we had.
4	Q.	Bypass pipe?
5	A.	Well, a combination affluent bypass pipe.

	·
1	When we were digging up the area for that I
2	remember seeing the cracks on the east side of
3	the fore bays. It would be the first box
4	where trash racks are and such.
5	Q. Was that the first time you became aware of
6	that problem?
7	Uh-huh, the first time I noticed it. It was
8	below grade, and we had never dug that area up
9	in my time there.
10	MS. WIENS: What was that time
11	frame?
12	THE WITNESS: It would have been
13	spring, and if you say '95, I guess
14	MR. BERGER: No, '96.
15	THE WITNESS: Okay, 195 seemed old.
16	Yeah, '96 sounds right.
17	BY MR. BERGER:
18	Q. The memos that I have on that are June of '96
19	where the problem was being discussed.
20	A. That sounds right. I just remember it being
21	warm because the crane that we had there, a
22	sinkhole appeared under the crane and it being
23	warm, so that correlates, that makes sense.
24	Q. Do you know how that problem was handled?
25	A. Which problem?

The cracks, the leaks. 1 Q. 2 A .. From my recollection the walls were cleaned, 3 I'm not exactly sure what they cleaned them with, but I remember them talking about using 5 Belsona on it to seal i.. It's impossible to seal it from the inside for obvious reasons, so they put the Belsona coating on the 7 8 outside. I remember the hole was left open for quite a long period of time to make sure 9 10 that was holding before they backfilled it. 11 In fact I believe we were getting into winter, fall and winter, because we were complaining 12 13 that they better fill this thing in before the 14 snow flies. So it was open for most of the summer to make sure the repairs they did was 15 16 holding. 17 And this was along one wall, and which wall Q. was it? 18 19 A. Well, the only part that was dug up would have 20 been the south end, the south and east side of 21 the fore bays in the trash rack area. 22 Let's talk about the situation where the ٥. 23 bypass pipe was leaking. The information we 24 have is that when this was discovered, how it

was discovered is there was a crane in the

•1		area?
2	A.	Right.
3	Q.	And it fell in partially?
4	, A.	No, it didn't fall in. The crane was sitting
5		there and for some reason, I'm sure the
6		vibration of the crane, a hole appeared under
7 .		the crane. At that time we basically
8		evacuated everybody from the area because we
9	•	didn't know how big it was and what the crane
10		would end up doing, if it would disappear or
11		what. We evacuated everybody and proceeded to
12		try and figure out what the problem was.
13	Q.	I have a log of 4/10/96, it's number 303, and
1.4		it states crane fell into sinkhole southeast
15	•	of API. Your name is on the log. Is that
16		your writing there?
17	λ.	It's not my writing because I don't print most
18		of the time. I'm not sure if that's Mark or
19		Geno's writing. I was there, I remember it
20		very vividly, the crane didn't actually fall
21	·	into the hole, it was right over the top of
22		it. I believe there are pictures in the unit
23		that shows the hole and the crane, whatever.
24	Q.	We have heard in other interviews and received
25		information initially that associated with

1 this problem was the development of sinkholes. We have received information that this problem 2 3 was -- the discovery of the leak had to do with the forming of the sinkhole, but we have been told that the formation of the sinkholes happened months before this problem was 7 actually addressed or you discovered the leak in the bypass. Correct. 9 A. 10 Q. Can you elaborate on that a little bit for me? I don't remember the exact time frame. Months 11 A. 12 would probably be as good of example as any. 13 We were dumping -- occasionally we would bring 14 in a dump truck load of gravel because we kept 15 losing it on the east bank over there. Nobody was actually -- it wasn't obvious as to where 16 17 it was going, we didn't know if it was just kind of washing down the hill or what because 18 there wasn't actually a hole you could look 19 20 into so to speak, it just kind of kept 21 disappearing for some reason. Nobody really 22 put their finger on what was happening there 23 for some time, but we did bring in a certain 24 amount of fill because it did -- it was a 25 reoccurring problem.

1	Ω•	Are you aware of oily water collecting down by
2		the WENCOs about this same time?
3	λ.	I don't know if it was exactly the same time,
4		but I remember areas west of the WENCOs, more
5		specifically south of the shop pond, that are
6		constantly moist or actually muddy even in the
7		driest points of the year. I do remember some
8		rainbow looking areas, you know, with the
9		water. Physically black oil, no, but hydro
10		carbon looking type stuff.
11	Q.	Do you remember the discussions around that
12		time about what this problem is and why this
13		material is showing up there?
L4	A.	I remember bringing it up, and I don't
15	-	remember who to, but I did bring it up as to
16		there seems to be areas back there moist, why
L7		is it moist when everything is bone dry, why
L8		is it muddy back there. It's not an area we
L9		walk through routinely by any means, you may
20 ·		walk through there once a year, to be
1	•	truthful, there's just no reason to go back
22		there. There's nothing back there
23		operationally. I found it odd that there was
14		something cozing out of the ground so to
15.		speak. I don't remember where it went from

1	:	that point, but I do I think the last time
, 2	l	I was back there when it was fairly dry
· 3		everywhere else it was dry there, too.
4	Q.	Do you know if there was any remediation or
5	λ.	In that area, no.
6	Q.	If there was anything ever done about that?
7	A. ,	In that specific area south of the slop pond
8		not to my knowledge. There may have been that
9		I don't know about, but I don't recall any
10		digging in that area specifically.
11	Q.	Is there any connection in your mind between
12		the bypass break or problem and the collection
13		of oily water down by the WENCOs? Would there
14		be a connection there?
15	λ.	I couldn't rule it out. I couldn't say for
16		sure, but it's a possibility I suppose. It's
17		in the same line, that's where everything goes
18		and it would make sense judging by where the
19		lines go and all that. I couldn't say for
20	e.	certain.
21		MR. BERGER: That's all on the API.
22	X BY MS.	HAYES:
23	Q.	I have a question kind of related to well,
24		it's not related. Have you ever heard
25		anything about deterioration of the sewers?

1	λ.	Yeah.
2	Q.	Can you tell me what you understand to be the
3		problem with that?
4.	λ.	Well, two points. One, in our area which some
5		individuals from I'm not PCA, but
6		exactly where I don't know and I didn't catch
7		the gentleman's name, but he came out to
8	•	inspect some severs we have near our slop
9		tanks. I think it was this past summer. The
·		bottoms were completely gone out of them,
10	•	there was no concrete left whatsoever. There
11		was a little bit of wire reinforcement mesh
12		left and that was it.
13	•	Of the sewer? The bottom of the slop tank
14	. Q.	connects with the sewer you said?
15	±.	The draining off the slop tank flow to those
16	λ.	sewer section boxes and then in a roundabout
17		way go to 7% and B. That's one that I was
18		involved in. I was also involved in a meeting
19		that was about spills, it was the DET team or
20	. *	
21		something like that. Anyway, we were in a
22		discussion about spills. It's a team that
23		currently is in force, they investigate and
24		try to find out can we solve this problem and
25		is there something we can do about whatever.

Anyway the discussion, I don't remember 1 exactly how it drifted off into sewers, but we 2 3 got on a discussion of refinery wide sever system. It was brought up by one of the shift 5 supervisors there appeared to be -- there are some people that believe there to be a problem with the sever system in certain units, 7 certain collection boxes, stuff like that. 9 One of the shift supervisors asked do we have 10 a team in place to look into this and try and 11 find out for sure and solve it and such. His 12 question didn't really get answered, so he 13 brought it up again, and at that point one of 14 the environmental engineers, Mary Lee I think, 15 who is no longer here, went to the door, closed the door on the conference room and 16 said that she had brought this up to other 17 18 individuals in the company and they were aware 19 of the problem but it was something that they 20 didn't -- it was a can of worms they didn't 21 want to open right now. In her eyes or her 22 view was they weren't addressing it because it was a can of worms they didn't want to open was her statement. That's the thing that sticks in my mind. There was a little bit of

23

24

1		conversation after that, but for the most part
2		the meeting adjourned sometime soon after
3		that, you know, that was kind of the end of
4		the meeting or the last topic or whatever. I
5		got the obviously I've been wondering ever
6		since that time.
7	Q.	Can you recall when that meeting was? I don't
8		expect you to remember the exact date, but
9	λ.	I would have to look in my planner. It was
ro		this summer.
11	Q.	Oh, it was. Okay.
12	A.	Right. Mary Lee was she left the company
13		hours after that meeting.
14	Q.	Hours after?
5	٠٨.	(Nods head) The meeting was in the morning I
.6		believe, 9:00, 10:00. Like I said, it's in my
.7		planner, and sometime after lunch she left the
.8 '		company.
.9	BY MR.	KRIEMS:
0	Q.	Was she fired because of that?
1	λ.	The way it was explained to me was that
2		well, how was it put? The word fired wasn't
3		used, she was
4	Q.	Discharged?
5	λ.	It was a mutual agreement that she leave the

1		company type of thing, kind of a politically
2		correct way to put it.
.3	BY MS	. HAYES:
4	Q.	Who was in the meeting besides you and Mary
5		Lee and
6	λ.	Dean Cranston was the shift supervisor that
7		bought up, you know, that he couldn't
8		understand why this wasn't being addressed.
9		He was pretty adament about getting an answer.
10	Q.	Is he still around?
11	A.	Right. It was Mary Lee, myself, Dean, there
12		was at least two other people which I believe
13		are in my notes, my planner, but I don't
14		recall the names.
15	Q.	So who would was there management in that
16	• .	meeting?
17	A.	Right.
18	Q.	Do you know who?
19	, A.	Well, management as far as just Dean Cranston
20		is a shift supervisor.
21	Q.	Anybody else you remember?
22	A.	Somebody related to one of the individuals,
23	•	and I can't think of his name right now, I
34		believe is a maintenance supervisor. There
25		Ware a number of moonle that were on the list

1	•	to show up for these meetings, Eric Thraen wa
2		one of them, and he's a well, I think you
3		know who Eric Thraen is.
4	Q.	He wasn't there?
5	A.	I don't recall if he was, but I don't think
6		so. I'm pretty sure he was not at that
7		particular meeting.
8	Q.	If you went back to your planner would you
9		have a synopsis of the meeting and possibly
10		who was there?
11	A.	Yeah, I should have. It bothered me when I
12		found out that she had left the company soon
13		after that and so I wrote down who was at the
14		meeting and a few thoughts.
15	Q.	Did you have the sense that was sort of an off
16		limits kind of thing for her to bring up, is
17		that what you're saying?
18	A.	I got the distinct impression that we
19		shouldn't have been discussing that issue.
20		Well, not shouldn't have been discussing it,
21		it wasn't
22	Q.	It was disapproved of?
23	A.	Well, when she got up and shut the door after
24		the second time that Dean asked her I got the
25		impression it was just a closed door you

1		know.
2	Q.	What was Mary Lee's position?
3	λ.	Environmental department, environmental
4		engineer. Exactly what she did I'm not sure.
5	Q.	Was there ever a discussion in the meeting
6 .		about how long anybody believed that the
7		problem had been going on, do you recall that?
8	λ.	Well, the statements in the meeting led them
9		to, you know, the sewer system is old in some
10		areas of the plant and has been deteriorating
11		for some time was the wording. They believed
12		it had been deteriorated for sometime, and
13		that's why Dean was bringing up shouldn't we
14		be looking so that we know.
15	BY MR.	KRIENS:
16	Q.	Somebody said we don't want to open up that
17	•	can of worms?
18	λ.	That was the exact wording.
19		MS. HAYES: Whose words were those?
20	×	THE WITNESS: Mary Lee's.
21		MR. KRIENS: Meaning that was told
22		to her, they didn't want to open it up?
23		THE WITNESS: Her statement was
24		that's a can of worms they don't want to open
2 5		right now.

· 1	BY M	S. HAYES:
2	Q.	Have you inquired about it since that time?
3	λ.	I've seen some company correspondence and some
4		work being done in different areas of the
5		plant, but as far as me personally asking
6		somebody what are they doing about it, I
7		haven't gone to well, for obvious reasons
		when she left a few hours after
9	Q.	I was going to say, did you feel comfortable
10	. '	bringing it up I guess is where I'm going with
11		that. Would you feel like you could, you
12		would have a place to go and be able to
1'3		discuss that?
14	λ.	I wouldn't actually know who to go ask at this
15	-	point.
16	Q.	Is that partly due to the reorganisation and
17		stuff?
18	A.	Right. I don't know who to go ask for
19		anything right now.
20	Q.	I know. I'm in the same sort of position with
21		the reorganization.
22	A.	As far as being comfortable, nobody is going
23		to be comfortable in that position I don't
24		think. But as far as I would still do it, you
25		know, I still I feel that I'm drinking out

		·
1		of the same aquifer that possibly some of this
2		is going into and I don't care what they think
3		of me asking the question I'm still going to
4		ask it.
5	Q.	So it bothered you a little bit after the
6		meeting?
7	λ.	It still bothers me, I still think about it.
8		I didn't realise what the potential was until
9		I saw what had happened to our sewer boxes,
10		which don't get a lot of flow. I mean,
11	•	they're just side drains. You know, they get
12	•	intermittent. Every once in a while we'll
13		check to see where our water level is and
14		there's absolutely nothing left in the bottom
15	• ·	of those. So in my mind it makes me wonder
16		what is the potential problem.
17	BY MR.	MRIENS:
18	Q.	And normally there's concrete rebar, and all
19	•	that's left was rebar?
20	λ.	All that's left was the reinforcement mesh.
21		MS. HAYES: What unit was that
22		again?
23		THE WITNESS: That's in our unit,
24		wasta water. Directly west of our 334, 335,
25		336, 205 and 206 tanks, that whole system

•		radue miere.
2	BY MR	. KRIENS:
3	Q.	Say those again.
4	A.	205 and 206, 334, 5 and 6. That all connects
5		together.
6	Q.	Have they fixed those boxes?
7	A.	Well, yes, they did do a fix on them.
8	Q.	I understand they dumped concrete on the
9	-	bottom basically as the fix?
10	A.	Well, yes. I would call it as good a fix
11		as
12	Q.	The boxes are basically at the point in the
13	•	line where pipes converge or where there's a
14		clean out or something like that, is that why
15	-	they're there?
16	λ.	Right.
17	Q.	So the boxes were observed to have eroded
18		because they're visible I presume, because you
19		can look there?
20	A.	No. The only reason we found them was we
21		couldn't get out with flow anymore, so there's
22		some stuff that had collected in them. We
23		brought a vac truck down there and started
24		pulling on it and we didn't hit bottom so to
25		speak, we didn't hit anything solid. So then

1		we brought the jetter in thinking well,
2		there's so much in there we need to slurry it
3		up a little more. We didn't know the depth of
4		the box, we thought maybe we were only half
5		way down, there's so much dirt because there
6		was a lot of gravel that had washed in. We
7		brought the jetter and proceeded to clean down
8		until he found the mesh, and then we said oh,
9		I guess we are at what used to be the bottom.
10	Q.	Is the pipe above and below the boxes the same
11		material, do you know?
12	λ.	I'm not following.
13	Q.	The boxes are concrete and are the pipes
14		concrete also?
15	² A.	Some of those pipes I believe are metal, yeah,
16		coming into the concrete box. It's hard to
17		tell. I would say most of them probably are
18		metal. Well, they're metal where they go in
19		from the side of tank, and I would assume they
20		continue to the metal into the sump. You
21		can't tell from looking in the sump actually.
22	Q.	Do you know if the company has ever
23		investigated these boxes to see if any others
24		in the plant are in bad shape?
25	1	In our plant or the refinery?

1	Q.	The refinery itself. I'm talking about with
2		the whole sewer water system, oily water.
3	λ.	I don't know what the status of their
4		investigation is.
5	Q.	Is that what was brought up in that meeting
6	2	and what she understood to be a can of worms
7		that she didn't want to be opened then
8		generally?
9	λ.	Yeah. We were talking about I'm not
LO		exactly certain how we got on the subject
11		again, but we did get on the subject of
12		refinery wide sewers, and it may very have
.3		been because of the problem we had in our area
4		and that's how we got on the subject line.
.5	•	Then Dean, being a refinery wide shift
.6		supervisor, brought up that aspect.
.7	BY MS.	HAYES:
.8	Q.	What would be the nature of the waste water,
.9	•	can you explain for us, that would cause the
0		corresion and the deterioration?
1	A.	In our department, our area?
2	Q.	Uh-huh.
3	λ.	Those slop tanks over the number of years I've
4		been here have had just a multitude of
K		Aidlewant things in those . Vann bish and lan-

1 pH swings type of water, high amounts of sour water, glycols, ethanols, methanols. 2 3 BY MR. KRIENS: Subject to caustics and acid, my understanding Q. is also there are other parts of the refinery - 5 that have sewers that would be subject to high 6 caustic, especially high caustic, I don't know about acid. We get large pH swings in both directions coming down the sewer. I mean, we'll see it 10 11 as low as two or as high as 14 for a period of time. Where it's coming from obviously I 12 13 don't know at any one time, I just know it's 14 coming into the front end of our plant. 15 Q. Maybe you're not the person to ask on the 16 environmental department, but Mary Lee did 17 report to J.C. Johnson I guess, assistant 18 manager, environmental services. Is that the 19 way you -- we can find that out. 20 I don't know who she reports to. The only λ. 21 time that I knew her or the first time I met 22 her was for these DET meetings, and I believe . 23 that was the second or third meeting we had had that I was able to attend. 24 I haven't seen 25 her since.

1	Q.	Does she live in the area?
2	λ.	No idea. Well, I believe I was told she
3		was given a job offer in her hometown which I
4		think was like Michigan or Illinois, something
5		in that direction.
6	BY KR	. BERGER:
7	Q.	You were talking about the oily water sewer
8	•	system and the high caustic and pH and things
9		like that going down there. You mentioned
10		methanol?
11	A.	There was times when we stored many different
12		things in 334 and 335, our shotgun tanks,
13		which the drains go to those sewers that we
14		talked about in our area there. Glycol was
15	• ·	put in there routinely. I do believe, yes, we
16		did have methanol and/or ethanol in those
17		tanks at some time. It hasn't been a policy
18		lately, this was some time ago, so I would
19		have to look back in the log. I believe, if I
20		remember right, we did write down what was in
21		the tank at the time so when you drained it
22		you knew what was there as to how fast you
23		should drain it, you know, what affects you
24		would have on the plant to get rid of it.
25	0.	Was this material nurs ethanol or methanol or

1		was it mixed with water?
2	λ.	I don't know. All I know is what we were
3		told, we had to get rid of something, but the
4		plant couldn't handle it as a batch dose, you
5		know, so we put it in those tanks and drain is
6 ·		through the sewer system slowly to lighten the
7		load on the plant.
8	Q.	And these are from what tanks?
ģ	, λ.	335 and 335.
10	Q.	And what are they used primarily for?
11	λ.	Well, originally their service was for sludge
12		treatment, but now they well, right now
13		they're empty, but in the past three to four
L4		years roughly they've been used just for
L5	•	intermittent storage of those types of
L6 :		products, glycols and such, so we could drain
L7		it slowly in the system.
18	Q.	Why do you want to drain it? Is it to put
L 9		something else in there and you've got to
20		clean it out?
21	A.	Just to dispose of it. The plant can't handle
12		a slug feed of it, you know, so we have to put
23		it somewhere and trickle it in slowly.
24	Q.	How about naphtha from the poly units, have
R		you ever heard of that?

1	A.	Yeah, that will come down the sewer. I mean,
2		you get all kinds of different hydrocarbons
3		down the sewer.
4	Q.	Do you know why those hydrocarbons are put
5		down the sewer?
- 6	A.	I'm sure it's because of an upset. It's not
7		my area. Most of the time something is out of
8		whack or whatever.
9	Q.	Maintenance?
10	λ.	It could be something that needs maintenance,
11		right. It can be an operational upset, a
12		power blip during a storm, whatever that
13		causes them to go in the sewer with something.
14		There have been light ends in the API on
15	• .	several occasions. One of the easiest ways to
16		tell is when the API is boiling so it speak,
17		your light ends are going to bubble out. I've
18		seen it boiling like witch's brew, so you know
19		something light, much lighter is coming down
20		the sewer. You can spell it, too.
21	Q.	I have a log of February 26, 27, 1997, it
22		states poly called, said they would be dumping
23		200 to 300 gallons each time of medium to
24		heavy naphtha down the sewer a few different
25		times a day. You're not on this log, but

1 .		that's not unusual?
2 .	A.	No.
3	Q.	And that's pure naphtha?
4	A.	I would assume, yeah. Medium naphtha, yeah.
5		You know, that's not out of the ordinary.
6		Anything from that to gas oils, you know,
7		that's oily water sewer.
8	Q.	That's what you think it's for?
9	λ.	That's what I think the oily water sewer is
10		for?
11	Q.	Right.
12	A.	I guess that's my assumption. No one has ever
13		told me otherwise. Oily water sewer is to
14		collect oily products that otherwise would be
15	-	spilled onto the ground. They would go into
16		the sewers so we could treat them once they
17		got to our end.
18	Q.	Would there be other ways or are there other
19		ways to handle these materials other than
20		dispose them through the oily water sewer?
21	A.	I have no idea. It's not my you know, I
22		don't know the units at all.
23	Q.	Have you ever heard them going to flares?
24	A.	Well, yeah, there's a flare system.
25	Q.	Is that the material you had to get rid of?

1	A.	I can give you the limited understanding I
2		have of the system. There are vessels and
3		powers and such that can go to the flare and
4		there are some that, you know, if they have to
5		drain them they can drain them through an oily
6		water sewer. That's from conversations on the
7		phone with the operators of the units.
8 .		There's constantly well, then there's the
9	-	slop system also besides the flare, and the
10	•	slop system goes to tank 63 which is through
11		the pipes. I don't have a clear understanding
12		of the unit and exactly how and why they dump
13		to the oily water sewer, they just call us and
14		say here it comes so we can be aware.
15	•	MS. HAYES: And we understood from
16		talking to other operators or other people, I
17		can't remember who all we've talked to,
18		that at least the last operator we talked
19		to, that sometimes you would guess that you
20		haven't gotten a call also, because
21		THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah.
22	·	MS. HAYES: Because perhaps you have
23	•	an upset or
24		MR. BERGER: A flow into something.
25		THE WITNESS: It's more a recent

event that they call us. The first two to 1 three years I worked there stuff would appear in the API and there's horrible communication 3 amongst the units and us. I mean, they just -- the saying was, you know, they will clean up what we send them kind of thing I 7 think. We just never got a call. But then we got some supervision in 9 there that helped us out in many ways. One of 10 them was telling the units they have to let us 11 know what they're dumping, how much they're 12 dumping, and a lot of times ask if they can 13 dump it, you know, if we can handle it. Up 14 until then the supervision wasn't really in 15 place to help us out, you know, with that kind 16 of stuff. Basically the person I'm talking 17 about is Rick Legvold came down and helped us 18 out with a lot of our problems, addressed a 19 lot of the maintenance issues and helped to 20 get the communication within the refinery much 21 better so we could handle some of these things 22 better.

23 BY MR. BERGER:

Q. One quick log here on the same issue. This
one goes back to August of '94. You're not on

		this one, Todd, but it states hazmat will be
1		dumping about 20 to 30 gallons slowly of
2		kylene, and then in parentheses it says paint
3		xylene, and then in partition the Street sump.
4		thinner, dumping down at Eighth Street sump.
5		With all the dilution we shouldn't even see
6		it. Are you aware of situations like that
7		there materials like paint thinner was dumped
8		to the specifically aware of materials like
9		that being dumped?
-	λ.	No. This one is so far back. I don't see
10	.	where it would be out of the ordinary, but I
11		don't know the specific incident. I mean, it
12		makes sense that they would state that it
13		would be diluted being far into the plant, it
14	·	would get mixed with a lot of flows so that it
15		would get mixed with a los
16		wouldn't upset our biological.
17	Q.	sure. Did you have any concerns about the
18		upper and lower wash pads and what was dumped
		on those pads and what might eventually get
19		into the sumps and into the waste water
20		treatment plant? Was that ever a problem, a
: 21		concern for you? Did that ever lead to any
22		upsets at the waste water treatment plant that
23		
24		you're aware of?
25	A.	It's hard to put a finger on an upset and link

it to something that you weren't notified on, 1 obviously. Not so much what came to the plant 2 from the wash pads and Eighth Street as what 3 went to Righth Street from the pads. It was more bothersome the fact that Eighth Street suction or -- how can I explain it? Where the manhole that flows to the sump is located, 7 that area not being a lined area, I brought up many times, and so did a lot of the other operators, that there's no containment here, 10 it's dirt basically and we have a large amount 11 of water and/or God knows what backed up there 12 with all the bundle washing and such that goes 13 on at the wash pads that flows to Eighth 14 Street. You've seen the hydraulics of the 15 system down there because I know you've been 16 in the plant when we've had large amounts of 17 water at Eighth street, and if you're bundle 18 washing on the pads and Eighth Street is not 19 handling the flow, obviously there it sits. 20 Lake Askeland? Q. 21 Correct. That was just a name Mark gave that A. 22 whole area because I believe Eric was kind of 23 involved in hooking up a sump system there for 24 Prior to that we didn't have much of a 25

		\cdot
1		system at all many years ago.
-	Q.	Last question. Are you aware of sludge being
3	w •	I don't know if we asked this
3	:	question or not, but being disposed from the
4		neutralisation basin between the oily water
5		non-oily water sewer and B5, sludge from that
6		non-oily water sever and I mean?
7 .		basin, do you know what I mean?
8	A.	From the nows, yeah, that calcium based stuff.
	g.	Venh. Taking that sludge out and disposans
9	**-	around north of the B5 basins
10	_	met was the policy I was told. That was will
11	λ.	I was told that's what the
12		policy was for dealing with that stuff. I've
13		not been involved with cleaning that basin in
14		not been involved with been an operator so I
15		the period of time I've been an operator so I
16	•••	have not physically seen it done. We have
•		talked about getting it cleaned because they
17		and that was what I was
18		told, the motive of the disposing of it in the
19		was we cannot do that anymore.
20		Can you put a time period on when that mode
21	Q.	
22		was in operation? When I was told we couldn't do that anymore?
23	A.	When I was told we couldn't around north of
24	Q.	No, when it was going to the ground north of
25		B5 .

1	λ.	That would be prior to '91. To my knowledge I
2		do not recall those being cleaned in the time
3		I've been there since '91. You know, I
4		haven't seen them clean them. We've talked
5		about it a lot since then but we haven't
6		cleaned them yet.
7		MR. BERGER: That's it.
• .	BY MR.	KRIENS:
9	Q.	One other thing. Are you involved with
.0		spills, general spills and what's reportable
.1		and what's not reportable?
.2	A.	Involved in what aspect?
.3	Q.	In terms of what is a reportable quantity to
.4	•	the MPCA or to the environmental department.
.5	` A.	I don't make the designation. I they ask
6		me if I'm involved in a spill or it happens
.7		on my shift or whatever, they will ask me what
.8		my estimation is of quantity, which a lot of
9		times, depending on the quantity, is
0	·	impossible, virtually impossible to figure
1		out. I mean, I can give them the square
2		footage of ground and maybe the depth and how
3		long it's happened and they've got their
4		calculation for figuring absorption and such,
5		how much has sank in the ground already and

1		such, but I don't make a designation there, I
2		just try to answer the questions.
3		MR. KRIENS: That's all.
4	BY MR.	BERGER:
5	Q.	One more question. I have a log here from
6		1995, September 8 of '95, and you are not on
7		this one either, so if you don't know about
. 8		this let me know.
9	·	It states environmental contacted us to
10		sign manifest for pipeline truck to unload
11		high benzene material to tank 63. Do you know
12		what that's all about?
13	A.	I remember the talk about this. Dave Gardner
14	:	was on and I remember him talking about this.
15	•	We get on occasion trucks from many locations
16		that come in from cleaning tanks, reclaiming
17		spills, a variety of different things. If
18		it's hydrocarbon based it has to go into tank
19		63, there's no other place legally we can put
20		it, company policy dictates that we have to go
21 -		to the slop tank with it. Judging by what
22		Dave wrote here the driver had not been in
23		here before, did not know what to do, where to
24		unload, all that. I remember Dave stating
25		that they smelled the H2S, the rotten egg

. 1	λ.	That would be prior to '91. To my knowledge I
2		do not recall those being cleaned in the time
3		I've been there since '91. You know, I
4		haven't seen them clean them. We've talked
5		about it a lot since then but we haven't
6		cleaned them yet.
7		MR. BERGER: That's it.
	BY MR.	RRIENS:
9	Q.	One other thing. Are you involved with
10		spills, general spills and what's reportable
11		and what's not reportable?
12	λ. ,	Involved in what aspect?
13	Q.	In terms of what is a reportable quantity to
14	•	the MPCA or to the environmental department.
15	· A.	I den't make the designation. I they ask
16		me if I'm involved in a spill or it happens
17	-	on my shift or whatever, they will ask me what
18		my estimation is of quantity, which a lot of
19		times, depending on the quantity, is
20		impossible, virtually impossible to figure
21		out. I mean, I can give them the square
22		footage of ground and maybe the depth and how
23		long it's happened and they've got their
24		calculation for figuring absorption and such,
28		how much has sould in the success already and

such, but I don't make a designation there, I 1 just try to answer the questions. 2 That's all. 3 MR. KRIENS: BY MR. BERGER: One more question. I have a log here from 1995, September 8 of '95, and you are not on this one either, so if you don't know about 7 this let me know. It states environmental contacted us to sign manifest for pipeline truck to unload 10 11 high benzene material to tank 63. Do you know 12 what that's all about? 13 I remember the talk about this. Dave Gardner 14 was on and I remember him talking about this. 15 We get on occasion trucks from many locations 16 that come in from cleaning tanks, reclaiming 17 spills, a variety of different things. If 18 it's hydrocarbon based it has to go into tank 19 63, there's no other place legally we can put 20 it, company policy dictates that we have to go 21 to the slop tank with it. Judging by what 22 Dave wrote here the driver had not been in 23 here before, did not know what to do, where to 24 unload, all that. I remember Dave stating

that they smelled the H2S, the rotten egg

	1	
1		odor, and at that point proceeded to see how
2		bad it was with a sniffer, found out that it
3		was very bad, and like it says here, told him
4		to stop any additional trucks from coming at
5		that point.
6	Q.	These trucks were coming from outside the Koch
7		Refinery to your knowledge?
8	A.	That's most likely right, yeah. Otherwise if
9		they had not they would know where to go and
10		what to do. Most likely they were from a
11	·	location well, not necessarily a location
12		that we hadn't dealt with before, but with a
13		driver or a company that hadn't come in here
14		before. But it's not uncommon these days to
15	-	get drivers that have you know, from
16		companies that we see routinely that have
17	•	never been in here before with the turnover or
18		whatever.
19		MR. KRIENS: From off site?
20		THE WITNESS: Right.
21		MS. HAYES: Thank you.
22		(Whereupon, the interview concluded at
23		3:35 p.m.)
24		* *

STATE OF MINNESOTA)

Ss:

COUNTY OF HENNEPIN)

BE IT KNOWN, that I, MILO BALLINGRUD, Court Reporter, a Motary Public in and for the County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota, certify that the foregoing is a true record of the interview of TODD AAMO, and reduced to writing in accordance with my stenographic notes made at said time and place.

I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel;

That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my impartiality;

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand on this 11th day of Movember, 1997.

MILO BALLINGROD, Notary Public, Hennepin County, Minnesota My Commission Expires January 31, 2000.