

Henderson, Sarah

From: Stock, Paul
Sent: Monday, January 13, 2003 8:37 AM
To: Henderson, Sarah
Subject: FW: LEAK #3534, Junction Food-n-Fuel, Hermantown, MN

Sarah, I suggest that you print out the below email from Dan and add it as documentation to the file. Thanks!

Paul Stock

From: White, Dann
Sent: Monday, January 13, 2003 8:22 AM
To: Stock, Paul
Subject: RE: LEAK #3534, Junction Food-n-Fuel, Hermantown, MN

I'd consider it a wetland. The class 2D stds would apply. the chronic criterion for diesel fuel and for gas is 200 ppb.

-----Original Message-----

From: Stock, Paul
Sent: Monday, January 13, 2003 8:17 AM
To: White, Dann
Cc: Henderson, Sarah
Subject: LEAK #3534, Junction Food-n-Fuel, Hermantown, MN

Dann, it appears that petroleum contaminated ground water from the subject LEAK site may be discharging into a peat bog (that is being mined for peat). The peat bog has several ditches going through it and, ultimately, water from the peat bog discharges to the Lake Superior watershed.

My question for you is: is the Peat Bog considered a surface water receptor for the purpose of evaluating the ground water discharge to it under Rule 7050? Any thought what the peat bog would be classified as?

Thanks!

Paul Stock