## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - North Central and Northeast Region

Project/Site: SPP	City/County: Carlton		Sampling Date: 2016-09-01			
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		State: Minnesota	Sampling Point: w-48n17w31-aa1			
Investigator(s): DPT, MGH						
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Depression	<u> </u>	Local Relief (concave, conv	ex, none): CL Slope (%): 0-2%			
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):	 Latitude: 4	,	ude: -92.54562162 Datum: NAD83			
Soil Map Unit Name: 533		201.8.0	NWI Classification: PFOB			
•	unical for this time of year	r? (if no explain in Remarks)				
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (if no, explain in Remarks):						
Are Vegetation $\underline{\text{No}}$ , Soil $\underline{\text{No}}$ , or Hydrology $\underline{\text{No}}$ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? $\underline{\text{Yes}}$						
Are Vegetation No . Soil No . or Hydrology	Are Vegetation No , Soil No , or Hydrology No naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks)					
		(,,,,	,			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map	howing sampling point lo	ocations, transects, importa	nt features, etc.			
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	Is the Sampled Area				
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	within a Wetland?	Yes			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	If yes, optional Wetland Sit	e ID: w-48n17w31-aa			
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here	or in a separate report.)	•				
No digging, existing road, potential buried util	ties. Precipitation above	normal based on WETS analy	vsis.			
HYDROLOGY						
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)  Surface Soil Cracks (B6)						
yes Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leave	es (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
yes High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
yes Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Oc		Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted/Stressed Plants (D1)			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)		<u>Yes</u> Geomorphic Position (D2)			
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)  Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	Other (Explain in Re	marks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)  Yes FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:			<u>yes TAC-Neutral Test (b5)</u>			
	Donth (inches	١ 4				
Surface Water Present? Yes  Water Table Present? Yes	_ Depth (inches _ Depth (inches	i				
Saturation Present? Yes			Vetland Hydrology Present? Yes			
(includes capillary fringe)	_ Deptil (iliches)	, <u> </u>	vetiand Hydrology Fresent:			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monito	ring well aerial photos r	previous inspections) if avail	ahle:			
Describe Necorded Data (Stream gauge, month	illig well, aeriai pilotos, p	nevious inspections), ii avaii	able.			
Remarks:						

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot Size: 30 )	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Larix laricina	80.00	Yes	FACW	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)
2. Picea mariana	10.00	No	FACW	Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)
6.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7.				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	90	= Total Cover	_	OBL species 20.00 x 1 20
	-			FACW species 225.00 x 2 450
1. Rhododendron groenlandicum	30.00	Yes	FACW	FACU species 5.00 x 3 20
2. Cornus alba	20.00	Yes	FACW	UPL species 0.00 x 4 0
3. Betula papyrifera	5.00	No No	FACU	
	5.00	No No	FACW	Column Totals $\frac{250}{}$ (A) $\frac{490}{}$ (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.96
4. Alnus incana	3.00	_ NO	FACV	
5				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
6		-	-	1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
7				yes 2 - Dominance Test is > 50%
	60	_ = Total Cover		yes 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$
Herb Stratum (Plot Size: 5)				4 - Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide
1. Calamagrostis canadensis	60.00	Yes	FACW	supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Phalaris arundinacea	20.00	Yes	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
3. Caltha palustris	15.00	No	OBL	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless
4. Glyceria striata	5.00	No	OBL	disturbed or problematic.
5				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6				
7.				Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (.76 cm) or more in diameter at breast
8.		-		height (DBH), regardless of height.
9.				Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than
				or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10			_	Hank All banks are see (as a superbolance of size and
11.	-	<del></del>	_	Herb - All herbaeceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12.				-
	100	_ = Total Cover		Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot Size: 30 )				
1				4
2				Hydrophytic
3.				Vegetation Present?  Yes
4.				
	0	=Total Cover		
Remarks: (include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet	<u> </u>			•
(manage proce names is not on a separate since	,			

Sampling Point: w-48n17w... **SOIL** Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Depth Matrix **Redox Features** Loc<sup>2</sup> (inches) Color (moist) Color (moist) % Type<sup>1</sup> Texture Remarks <sup>1</sup>Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. <sup>2</sup>Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soil<sup>3</sup>: Hydric Soil Indicators: Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA Histosol (A1) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Histic Epipedon (A2) Coast Prairie Redox (A16)(LRR K, L, R) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, M) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Matrix (F3) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Iron-Maganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Depressions (F8) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Sandy Redox (S5) Red Parent Material (F21) Stripped Matrix (S6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) ✓ Other (explain in remarks) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Restrictive Layer (if observed): Hydric Soil Present? Yes Depth (inches): Remarks: No digging, soils assumed hydric based on veg/hydro.

Site Photograph 1 Sampling Point: w-48n17w31-aa1



Latitude: 46.6053424543204	Cowardin Classification: PFO		
Longitude: -92.5456222985802	Circular 39: 7		
Direction: north	Eggers & Reed: Hardwood Swamp/Coniferous Swamp		
Remarks:			

Site Photograph 2 Sampling Point: w-48n17w31-aa1



Latitude: 46.6053422028633	Cowardin Classification: PFO		
Longitude: -92.5456225500373	Circular 39: 7		
Direction: west	Eggers & Reed: Hardwood Swamp/Coniferous Swamp		
Remarks:			