WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: RSA 22		City/County:	Carlton	Sampli	Sampling Date: 18-Sep-17	
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		State: MN	Sampling Point:	u-48n17w16-f1		
Investigator(s): DPT		Section, To	wnship, Range: S. 16	T. 48N	R. 17W	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside		Local relief (co	oncave, convex, none):	convex	Slope: <u>17.6</u> % / <u>10.0</u> °	
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	Lat.:	46 38.5067	Long.: -9	2 29.5146	Datum: NAD 83	
Soil Map Unit Name: 12E		-		NWI classification:	N/A	
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology na Summary of Findings - Attach site map sho		problematic? Sampling p		n any answers in Re ansects, impo	-	
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?YesNoHydric Soil Present?YesNoWetland Hydrology Present?YesNo			e Sampled Area n a Wetland? Yes	5 🔿 No 🖲		
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separ	rate repo	rt.)				

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required)					
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)						
Surface Water (A1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)						
High Water Table (A2)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)					
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)					
Water Marks (B1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)					
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)						
Drift deposits (B3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)					
	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)					
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)					
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)					
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)						
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-neutral Test (D5)					
Field Observations:							
Surface Water Present? Yes O No •	Depth (inches): 0						
Water Table Present? Yes O No 🖲		drology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲					
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes O No O	Depth (inches):0	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:							
Remarks:							

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

vegeration - use scientific names of plan	Sampling Point:u-48n17w16-f1			
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
	20	✓	FACU	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
	40	\checkmark	FACU	
				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: (B)
4				Percent of dominant Species
5	0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 14.3% (A/B)
6				
7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)	60 =	Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1. Corylus cornuta	30	\checkmark	FACU	
2. Populus tremuloides	10		FACU	FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
3	0			FAC speciles 40 x 3 = 120
4	0	\square		FACU species 140 x 4 = 560
5	-			UPL species20x 5 =100
6				Column Totals:(A)(B)
7				Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.900
		Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
1. Eurybla macrophylla	20	\checkmark	UPL	
2. Pteridium aquilinum	30	\checkmark	FACU	Dominance Test is > 50%
3. Cornus canadensis	40	\checkmark	FAC	Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
4. Carex woodii	10		FACU	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5	0			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
6	0			
7	0			¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
8	0			be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
9				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
10		\square		Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
11				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
12	0			
	100 =	Total Cover		Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)				
1	0			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
2	0			size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
3	0			Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
4	0			height.
	0 =	Total Cover		
				Hydrophytic Vegetation
				Present? Yes \bigcirc No \bigcirc
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	et.)			
······································	,			

* Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

Profile Descr	ription: (De	scribe to	the depth	needed to document	the indicator or c	onfirm the a	absence of indicators.)	
Depth (inchos)								
(inches)	Color (<u>%</u>	Color (moist)	% Type	_Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
	10YR	2/1	100				Loam	
4-20	7.5YR	4/4	100				Fine Loamy Sand	
		-	-					
			-					
		s						
¹ Type: C=Con	centration. D	=Depletio	n. RM=Red	luced Matrix, CS=Covere	d or Coated Sand G	rains ² Loca	ition: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	trix
Hydric Soil 1	Indicators:						Indicators for Problem	matic Hydric Spile : ³
Histosol (Polyvalue Belov	/ Surface (S8) (LRR	R,		
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)			MLRA 149B)			Coast Prairie Redox	RR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Black Hist	tic (A3)				ce (S9) (LRR R, ML			Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
	n Sulfide (A4)				lineral (F1) LRR K, L	_)	Dark Surface (S7) (
	Layers (A5)			Loamy Gleyed Matrix				rface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	Below Dark S		.11)	Redox Dark Sur			Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
	k Surface (A			Depleted Dark S			Iron-Manganese Ma	isses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
	uck Mineral (S			Redox Depressi			Piedmont Floodplair	n Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy Gie	eyed Matrix (54)		—				(MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
	Matrix (S6)						Red Parent Material	
	face (S7) (LRI	R R. MLRA	(149B)				Very Shallow Dark S	
				and budralage put the p	racant unlaca diatur	had or proble	Other (Explain in Re	emarks)
				and hydrology must be p	lesent, unless distu			
Restrictive L	ayer (if obs	erved):						
Type:	h						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Depth (inc	nes):						-	
Remarks:								