WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: SPP	City/County: Carlton	Sampling Date: 6/3/2014
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge	State: M	
Investigator(s): LEB/CPF		ownship, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Footslope		oncave, convex, none) <u>VC</u>
Slope (%): 0 - 2% Lat.: 46.580803	Long.: <u>-92.615155</u> Datum	
Soil Map Unit Name: 504C Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical	I for this time of the year?	NWI Classification: (If no, explain in remarks)
Are vegetation , soil , or hyd		
Are vegetation , soil , or hyd		
(If needed, explain any answers in remarks)	<u> </u>	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS		
	N Is the sampled area with	in a wetland? N
	N If yes, optional wetland site	e ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or	in a senarate report \	
The point is located in a hayfield which slo		
The point is located in a hayheld which sic	pes into a sinab can welland.	
HYDROLOGY		
		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; cf ☐ Surface Water (A1) ☐	neck all that apply) Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	required) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
☐ Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Roots (C3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial	Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Imagery (B7)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave ☐	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Surface (B8)		☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface water present? Yes	Depth (inches):	Indicators of
Water table present? Yes	Depth (inches):	wetland hydrology
Saturation present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	Depth (inches):	_ nydrology present? N
(morades capillary minge)		bieseit: 14
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous inspection	ns), if available:
Remarks:		
No wetland hydrology observed.		
]		

SOIL								Samp	oling Point:	CRR51006e1U		
			the deptl	n needed to				onfirm th	e absence of in	ndicators.)		
Depth		Matrix	1 0/	0-1	Redox F			1**		Remarks		
(ln.)		(moist)	% 100	Color (m	OIST)	%	Type*	Loc**	Texture			
0-12 12-18	Hue_7.5YR Hue_5YR	3/2 4/4	100						CL C			
12-10	nue_31K	4/4	100									
									+			
			1						† †			
									1			
*T		" D D		4.5.11	M. 1		1 0	1				
	C=Concentra ion: PL=Pore			/I=Reduced	Matrix, CS	=Cove	red or Coa	ted Sand	d Grains			
	Soil Indicat							Indica	tors for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils:		
Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Suface (A11) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA) *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wet				(S8	(S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Depleted Matrix (F3) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Redox Depressions (F8)				□ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B □ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) □ 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) □ Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L □ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) □ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) □ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) □ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) □ Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) □ Red Parent Material (F21) □ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) □ Other (Explain in Remarks) ess disturbed or problematic.			
Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches):							Hydric soil present? N					
Remark No h	ks: nydric soil i	ndicators o	observed	l.								