WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: SPP City/	County: Carlton Sampling Date: 6/3/2014
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge	State: MN Sampling Point: CRR51006d1U
Investigator(s): LEB/CPF	Section, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Side slope	Local relief (concave, convex, none) VV
	g.: <u>-92.612687</u> Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: 504C	NWI Classification:
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for this	
Are vegetation, soil, or hydrology _	significantly disturbed? Are "normal circumstances"
Are vegetation, soil, or hydrology	naturally problematic? present?
(If needed, explain any answers in remarks)	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	
Hydrophytic vegetation present? N	Is the sampled area within a wetland?
Hydric soil present?	To the sumpled area within a worland.
Indicators of wetland hydrology present?	If yes, optional wetland site ID:
indicators of Wolland Hydrology process.	II you, optional working one is:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a sepa	rate report.)
The point is in a grassy area on a slight slope lead	ing into an alder thicket.
HYDROLOGY	
THE ROLLOGI	Cocondany Indicators (minimum of two
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all the	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two at apply) required)
	ained Leaves (B9)
	Fauna (B13) Drainage Patterns (B10)
1 = 3 : 1	osits (B15) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
	n Sulfide Odor (C1) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) ☐ Oxidized	Rhizospheres on Living
☐ Drift Deposits (B3) Roots (C	3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ☐ Presence	of Reduced Iron (C4) (C9)
☐ Iron Deposits (B5) ☐ Recent Ir	on Reduction in Tilled
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
	k Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
	(plain in Remarks)
Surface (B8)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	1
Surface water present? Yes	Depth (inches): Indicators of
Water table present?	Depth (inches): wetland
Saturation present?	Depth (inches): hydrology
(includes capillary fringe)	present? N
(procent:
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring well, ae	ial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Damanka	
I Remarks.	
Remarks:	
No wetland hydrology observed.	

SOIL								Samp	ling Point:	CRR51006d1U
Profile [Description:	(Describe to	the dept	th needed to				onfirm the	e absence of	indicators.)
Depth	Depth Matrix				Redox Features					Remarks
(ln.)	Color	(moist)	%	Color (m	noist)	%	Type*	Loc**	Texture	Remarks
0-6	Hue_7.5YR	3/2	100						CL	
6-18	Hue_2.5YR	4/4	100						С	
*Type: 0	C=Concentra	ation, D=De	oletion, R	M=Reduced	Matrix, CS	=Cove	red or Coat	ted Sand	l Grains	-
**Locati	ion: PL=Pore	e Lining, M=	Matrix							
Hydric	Soil Indicat	ors:						Indicat	ors for Prob	lematic Hydric Soils:
Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Suface (A11) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA) *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be general surface (A12) Polyvalue Below St. (S8) (LRR R, MLRA) Clerk R, MLRA (SR, L) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F3) Depleted Matrix (F3) Redox Dark Surface (B7) (LRR R, MLRA) Redox Depressions Redox Depressions					MLRA face (S A 149E Minera I Matrix ix (F3) urface Surfac sions (face 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Som Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Toark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) (F6) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Telephone (F7) Red Parent Material (F21) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Type:	ive Layer (if	observed):						Hydri	c soil presen	t? <u>N</u>
Remark No h	s: nydric soil i	ndicators o	observe	d.						