WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: SPP City	//County: Carlton	Sampling Date: 6/3/2014		
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge	State: M			
Investigator(s): LEB/CPF		ownship, Range:		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Footslope		ncave, convex, none) VC		
	ng.: -92.610889 Datum			
Soil Map Unit Name: 504C	<u> </u>	NWI Classification:		
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for this		(If no, explain in remarks)		
Are vegetation, soil, or hydrology	significantly disturbed?			
Are vegetation , soil , or hydrology	naturally problematic?	present?		
(If needed, explain any answers in remarks)				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS				
Hydrophytic vegetation present? N	Is the sampled area withi	n a wetland? N		
Hydric soil present? N				
Indicators of wetland hydrology present? N	If yes, optional wetland site	e ID:		
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a sepa				
The point is located on a slope in a mesic hardwo	od forest dominated by asp	ben.		
HYDROLOGY				
		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all t	hat apply)	required)		
	Stained Leaves (B9)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
	Fauna (B13)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
	posits (B15)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Water Marks (B1) Hydroge	en Sulfide Odor (C1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized	d Rhizospheres on Living	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	ce of Reduced Iron (C4)	(C9)		
□ Iron Deposits (B5) □ Recent	Iron Reduction in Tilled	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Soils (C	6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Imagery (B7)) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Other (E	Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Surface (B8)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Field Observations:	Death (inches):	Indicators of		
Surface water present? Yes	Depth (inches):	- wetland		
Water table present? Yes Saturation present? Yes	Depth (inches): Depth (inches):	hydrology		
(includes capillary fringe)	Deptil (inches).	present? N		
(includes capillary ininge)				
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring well, as	erial photos, previous inspection	s), if available:		
		// · · · ·		
Remarks:				
No wetland hydrology observed.				

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants			Sampling Point:	CRR510	06c1U
				50/20 Thresholds	
Tree Stratum Plot Size (30 ft)	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator		20% 50%
,	Cover	Species	Status	Tree Stratum	17 43
1 Populus tremuloides	45	<u>Y</u>	FAC	Sapling/Shrub Stratum	6 15
2 Betula papyrifera	30	Y	FACU	Herb Stratum	12 30
3 Quercus rub ra	5	<u>N</u>	FACU	Woody Vine Stratum	0 0
4 Acer rubrum	5	N	FAC		
5				Dominance Test Workshe	et
6				Number of Dominant	
7				Species that are OBL,	
8				FACW, or FAC:	<u> </u>
9				Total Number of Dominant	
10				Species Across all Strata:	<u> </u>
	85	= Total Cover		Percent of Dominant	
				Species that are OBL,	
Sapling/Shrub Plot Size (15 ft)	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	FACW, or FAC:	40.00% (A/B)
Stratum Hist Size (10 ht)	Cover	Species	Status		
1 Acer rubrum	15	Y	FAC	Prevalence Index Workshe	eet
2 Corylus cornuta	15	Y	FACU	Total % Cover of:	
3				OBL species 0 x 1	= 0
4				FACW species 0 x 2	
5				FAC species 70 x 3	
6				FACU species 70 x 4 UPL species 35 x 5	
7				UPL species <u>35</u> x 5 Column totals <u>175</u> (A)	
9				Prevalence Index = $B/A =$	3.80
10					
	30 -	Total Cover			
				Hydrophytic Vegetation In	
Herb Stratum Plot Size (5 ft)	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator	Rapid test for hydrophyt	
· · · · ·	Cover	Species	Status	Dominance test is >50%	
1 Eurybia macrophylla	<u>35</u> 10	<u> </u>		Prevalence index is ≤3.0 Morphological adaptation	
2 Aralia nudicaulis 3 Pteridium aguilinum	5	<u> </u>	FACU FACU	supporting data in Rema	
2 Pteridium aquilinum 4 Maianthemum canadense	5	<u></u> N	FACU	sheet)	
5 Trientalis borealis	5	N	FAC		
6				Problematic hydrophytic	vegetation* (explain)
7				*Indicators of hydric soil and wetla	nd hydrology must be
8				present, unless disturbed or proble	ematic
9					Musto.
10				Definitions of Vegetation S	
12				Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) breast height (DBH), regardless of	
13				breast neight (DDF), regardless of	noight.
14				Sapling/shrub - Woody plants les	s than 3 in. DBH and
15				greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.	
1	60	Total Cover		Herb - All herbaceous (non-wood)	() plants regardless of
Woody Vine				size, and woody plants less than 3	
Stratum Plot Size (30 ft)	Absolute %	Dominant	Indicator		
	Cover	Species	Status	Woody vines - All woody vines gr	eater than 3.28 ft in
				height.	
2					
-				l la selara a la sti a	
5				Hydrophytic	
<u> </u>	0 =	Total Cover		vegetation present? N	
		 Total Cover 		present? N	-
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate	sheet'				
The vegetation is dominated by aspen with m		inderstory sn	ecies underne:	ath.	
				~~ · · ·	

SOIL								Samp	ling Point:	CRR51006c1U
Profile I	Description:	(Describe to	o the depth	needed to	documen	t the inc	licator or c	onfirm the	e absence of	indicators.)
Depth		Matrix				Feature				Remarks
(ln.)		(moist)	%	Color (m	noist)	%	Type*	Loc**	Texture	Remarks
0-6	Hue_10YR	3/2	100						SL	
6-18	Hue_7.5YR	4/4	100			_		_	SL	
						_				
						_		_		
						-				
						_		-		
	C=Concentra		•	I=Reduced	Matrix, C	S=Cove	red or Coa	ted Sand	Grains	
	ion: PL=Pore Soil Indicate		Matrix					Indicat	ors for Prob	lematic Hydric Soils:
 Histosol (A1) Histosol (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Suface (A11) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 					MLRA Inface (S RA 1498 y Minera ed Matrix trix (F3) Surface rk Surfa sssions	149B) 59) 3 al (F1) (F2) (F6) ce (F7) (F8)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)			
Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches):						Hydric soil present? <u>N</u>				
Remark	«s: nydric soil iι	ndicators (observed							