WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - North Central and Northeast Region

SPP Project/Site:	City/County:	Carlton	2015-06-11 Sampling Date:					
Enbridge Applicant/Owner:		Minnesota State:	CRR51001c1W					
ACM/KRG								
Investigator(s):	ession	Section, Township, Range						
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	ession ession	Local Relief (concave	Conca					
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):	La	46.583117670423 htitude:	-92.63018941 Minnesota Sti Longitude: Datum:					
Soil Map Unit Name:			PFO/SSB NWI Classification:					
			Yes					
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on th	••	,	•					
No No No Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present?								
Are Vegetation No	No Irology naturally p	problematic? (If needed, explai	in any answers in Remarks)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach sit	e map showing sampli	ng point locations, transects, in	nportant features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	Is the Sampled Area						
inyurophytic vegetation rresent:	Yes	is the Sampled Area	Yes					
Hydric Soil Present?		within a Wetland?						
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	If yes, optional Wetla	and Site ID:					
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedur	es here or in a separate	e report.)						
The wetland is a pocket of hardwood s	wamp dominated by qu	uaking aspen and speckled alder	with sparse ground cover.					
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two requ					
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is r	required; check all that	apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)					
Surface Water (A1)		Stained Leaves (B9)	no Drainage Patterns (B10)					
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic	Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)					
yes Saturation (A3) Marl Deposit		posits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)					
		en Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)					
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizos		d Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)					
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Redu		e of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted/Stressed Plants (D1)					
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduc		ron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	yes Geomorphic Position (D2)					
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Μι	ick Surface (C7)	C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)					
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in		Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)					
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (Bi	8)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)					
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present?	No Dept	th (inches)						
Water Table Present?	Yes Dept	th (inches) 13						
Saturation Present?	<u>Yes</u> Dept	th (inches) 3	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes					
(includes capillary fringe)								
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge,	monitoring well, aerial	photos, previous inspections), i	if available:					
Remarks:								
The soil is saturated at 3 inches.								

Sampling Point: CRR51001...

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot Size: 30	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1. Populus tremuloides	50.00	Yes	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)		
2	_			Total Number of Dominant		
				4		
3	-			Species Across All Strata: (B)		
4				Percent of Dominant Species		
5	_			75 That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)		
6	_		_	Prevalence Index worksheet:		
7	_		_	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
	50	= Total Cover		OBL species 25.00 x 1 25		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot Size: 15)		_		FACW species 70.00 x 2 140		
1. Alnus incana	35.00	Yes	FACW	FACU species 2.00 x 3 300		
2. Spiraea alba	15.00	Yes	FACW	UPL species 0.00 x 4 0		
3. Prunus virginiana	5.00	No	FACU	Column Totals 172 (A) 471 (B)		
Populus tremuloides	5.00	No	FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.738372		
5. Amelanchier humilis	2.00	No No		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
6. Viburnum lentago	2.00	No No	FAC	1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
7	_ = ===================================		_ :::-	yes 2 - Dominance Test is > 50%		
··-	64	= Total Cover	_	$\frac{yes}{yes} = 3 - Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0^{1}$		
Herb Stratum (Plot Size: 5)	-	_ = Total Cover		4 - Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide		
1. Calamagrostis canadensis	15.00	Yes	OBL	supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
Rosa blanda	10.00	No	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
2 Carex lacustris	10.00	No	OBL			
Spiraea alba	10.00	No	FACW	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
5. Carex gracillima	5.00	No	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:		
6. Solidago gigantea	5.00	No	FACW			
7. Rubus pubescens	5.00	No	FACW	Tree Meady plants 2 in 17C and an arrangin dispretare through		
8			17.60	Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (.76 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.		
9		<u> </u>		-		
J		<u> </u>		Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.		
10	-			-		
11	-			Herb - All herbaeceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
12		_	_	-		
	60	_ = Total Cover		Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot Size:)						
1			_	-		
2				Hydrophytic Vegetation		
3				Present?		
4				_		
	0	=Total Cover				
Remarks: (include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)						
The wetland was dominated by aspen and alder with a varia	able understory.					

Sampling Point: CRR51001... SOIL Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) **Redox Features** Type¹ Loc² (inches) Color (moist) % Color (moist) Texture Remarks 0-2 10YR 2 2 100 peat 2-6 5Y 2.5 1 95 10YR 3 4 5 С Μ SC 6-24 7.5YR 4 3 100 FS fine sand ¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soil³: **Hydric Soil Indicators:** Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Histosol (A1) Coast Prairie Redox (A16)(LRR K, L, R) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Histic Epipedon (A2) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Black Histic (A3) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, M) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Matrix (F3) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Iron-Maganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Red Parent Material (F21) Sandy Redox (S5) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Stripped Matrix (S6) Other (explain in remarks) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)

Hydric Soil Present? Yes

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Depth (inches):

The soil is peat over sandy clay over fine sand. Hydric soil indicator F6 was observed.

Type:

Remarks: