WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: SPP	_ City/County:	Carlton	Sampling Date: 5/30/2014
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		State: M	
Investigator(s): KRG/NTT			ownship, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Depression			oncave, convex, none): CC
Slope (%): 0 - 2% Lat.: 46.587236	Long.: <u>-92.88</u>	86692 Datun	n: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: 990			NWI Classification: PFO/SSB
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical Are vegetation, soil, or hydrol		the year?	(If no, explain in remarks)
Are vegetation, soil, or hydrol (If needed, explain any answers in remarks)	ogy <u> </u>	aturally problematic?	? circumstances" present? ☐
(If fleeded, explain any answers in remarks)			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS			
Hydrophytic vegetation present? Hydric soil present? Y Y	_ Is the	sampled area with	nin a wetland? Y
	_		
Indicators of wetland hydrology present? Y	_ If yes	, optional wetland si	te ID:
Demontos /Cyplein alternative precedures have as in		nort \	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in			
The wetland consists of an alder thicket don	ninated by sp	eckied alder. Soil	is are saturated at the sample point.
HYDROLOGY			
			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; che		<i>3</i> /	required)
_	ater-Stained Lea		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
	quatic Fauna (B1		Drainage Patterns (B10)
	arl Deposits (B1: /drogen Sulfide (✓ Moss Trim Lines (B16)✓ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
	kidized Rhizosph		☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
, , ,	ving Roots (C3)	10100 011	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
	esence of Redu	ced Iron (C4)	(C9)
	ecent Iron Reduc	ction in Tilled	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
<u> </u>	oils (C6)		☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
Imagery (B7)	nin Muck Surface	e (C7)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave ☐ Ot	her (Explain in F	Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Surface (B8)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:			
Surface water present? Yes	Denth	n (inches): 1	Indicators of
Water table present? Yes		n (inches): 0	wetland
Saturation present? Yes		n (inches): 0	hydrology
(includes capillary fringe)	Бори	· (iiioiioo).	present? Y
(moration capman) milgo)			
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring	well, aerial pho	tos, previous inspec	tions), if available:
Remarks:			
Soils are saturated to the surface and sha	llow standing	water is present	in some areas
como are octarates to the ouridee and ona	vv otariding	water to present	in come areas.

SOIL								Samp	ling Point:	CRC5044a1W	
Profile I			to the de	pth needed				confirm	the absence	of indicators.)	
Depth								T	<u> </u>	Remarks	
(ln.)		(moist)	%	Color (m	noist)	%	Type*	Loc**	Texture		
0-8	Hue_10YR	2/1	100					ļ	M		
8-18	Hue_10YR	2/1	100					ļ	MMI		
			+ +					 	1		
			1								
			1								
								1			
*Type:	C=Concentr	ation, D=D	epletion,	RM=Reduce	ed Matrix, (CS=Co	vered or C	oated Sa	and Grains		
	ion: PL=Por		•								
Hydric	Soil Indica	tors:						Indicat	ors for Prob	lematic Hydric Soils:	
☐ Histosol (A1) ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2) ☐ Black Histic (A3) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) ☐ Depleted Below Dark Suface (A11) ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12) ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6) ☐ Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be						Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) (F6) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Ice (F7) Red Parent Material (F21) (F8) Other (Explain in Remarks)					
Restrict	tive Layer (it	fobserved)	:								
Type:								Hydric soil present? Y			
Depth (inches):										
Remark	(S:										
		contains	dark m	uck over lo	amy muo	cky mi	neral. So	ils are s	saturated to	the surface.	
	•				•	•					