WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - North Central and Northeast Region

SPP Project/Site:	C	Carlton ity/County:		Sampling Date:	2015-06-27 Sampling Date:	
Enbridge Applicant/Owner:		State: Minnesota		CR162g1U Sampling Point:		
	I/LEB	Sec	tion, Township, Range:			
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	rise				Slope (%):	
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):		Latitude:	5.357955 Lo	-92.179261 ongitude: Date	Minnesota State um:	
Soil Map Unit Name: 303				NWI Classificatio	n:	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions	on the site typic	al for this time of year	? (if no, explain in Rema	arks):	Yes	
Are Vegetation No No No	N or Hydrology	o significantly distu	bed? Are "Normal Circ	Yes		
No No Are Vegetation, Soil, c	No					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Atta	ich site map sho	wing sampling point lo	ocations, transects, imp	oortant features, etc.		
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?		No	Is the Sampled Area			
No Hydric Soil Present?		No	within a Wetland?			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	No		If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:			
Remarks: (Explain alternative pro	cedures here or	in a senarate report)				
HYDROLOGY						
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				Secondary Indicators (mir	nimum of two required)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of o	ne is required; cl	neck all that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	
Surface Water (A1) Water-S			es (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B	Drainage Patterns (B10)	
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic F		Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Saturation (A3) Marl Dep		Marl Deposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1) Hydroge		Hydrogen Sulfide O	dor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	-	Oxidized Rhizosphe	res on Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence o		Presence of Reduce	d Iron (C4)	Stunted/Stressed Plants (D1)		
		Recent Iron Reducti	on in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position	Geomorphic Position (D2)	
Iron Deposits (B5)	-	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3	Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Ex		Other (Explain in Re	marks)	Microtopographic Re	Microtopographic Relief (D4)	
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Sur	face (B8)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present?	No	Depth (inches				
Water Table Present?	<u>No</u>	Depth (inches				
Saturation Present?	<u>No</u>	Depth (inches		Wetland Hydrology Present?	<u>No</u>	
(includes capillary fringe)						
Describe Recorded Data (stream g	gauge, monitorin	g well, aerial photos, p	revious inspections), if	available:		
Remarks:						
No wetland hydrology indicators	were observed.					

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:				
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot Size: <u>30 ft</u>)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species				
1. Populus tremuloides	40.00	Yes	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)				
2. Fraxinus nigra	5.00	No	FACW	Total Number of Dominant				
				4				
3			- · 	Species Across All Strata: (B)				
4				Percent of Dominant Species				
5				25 That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)				
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:				
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:				
	45	= Total Cover		OBL species 5.00 x 1 5				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot Size: 15 ft)		_		FACW species 74.00 x 2 148				
1. Fraxinus nigra	30.00	Yes	FACW	FACU species 5.00 x 3 428				
2. Corylus cornuta	20.00	Yes	FACU	UPL species 37.00 x 4 185				
3. Alnus incana	10.00	No No	FACW	Column Totals 228 (A) 781 (B)				
4. Viburnum lentago	5.00	No No	FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.4254385				
5 Viburnum rafinesquianum	2.00	No No	<u> </u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:				
6. Populus tremuloides	2.00	No No	FACU	1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation				
7	2.00			no 2 - Dominance Test is > 50%				
7	69	= Total Cover	-	no 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$				
Herb Stratum (Plot Size: 5 ft)	<u> </u>	_ = Total Cover		4 - Morphological Adaptations (Provide				
Herb Stratum (Plot Size: 5 TT) 1 Eurybia macrophylla	35.00	Yes	UPL	supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)				
2. Aralia nudicaulis	20.00	No	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)				
Equicatum pratonca	15.00	No No	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)				
Posa acicularis	10.00			Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless				
Rubus pubescens		No No	FACU	disturbed or problematic.				
5	10.00	No No	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:				
Dtoridium aquilinum	5.00	No No	FACU	1				
Majanthomum canadonco	5.00	No No	FACU	Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (.76 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.				
Colomographic connidencie	5.00	No No	FACU					
9. Calamagrostis canadensis	5.00	No No	OBL	Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.				
10. Carex projecta	2.00	No	FACW					
11. Solidago gigantea	2.00	No	FACW	Herb - All herbaeceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.				
12		_	_					
	114	_ = Total Cover		Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot Size:)								
1		_						
2				Hydrophytic				
3				Vegetation Present?				
4.								
	0	_ =Total Cover						
Remarks: (include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)								
The vegetation is dominated by aspen, black ash, beaked hazel, and big-leaf aster.								

Sampling Point: CR162g1U

Sampling Point: CR162g1U SOIL Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) **Redox Features** Type¹ Loc² (inches) Color (moist) % Color (moist) Texture Remarks 0-8 5YR 3 3 100 sic 8-24 5YR 4 6 99 5YR 58 1 С Μ С ¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soil³: **Hydric Soil Indicators:** Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Histosol (A1) Coast Prairie Redox (A16)(LRR K, L, R) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Histic Epipedon (A2) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Black Histic (A3) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, M) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Matrix (F3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Iron-Maganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Red Parent Material (F21) Sandy Redox (S5) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Stripped Matrix (S6) Other (explain in remarks) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Hydric Soil Present? No

Type:

Remarks:

Depth (inches):

The soils are silty clay over red clay with no hydric soil indicators.