WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: SPP	City/County: C	Carlton	Sampling Date: 5/19/2014
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		State: MN	
Investigator(s): KRG/KJA			wnship, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Depression	Lang : 00%70/40		ncave, convex, none): CC
Slope (%): 0 - 2% Lat.: <u>46°95'50.6311</u> Soil Map Unit Name: 303	Long.: <u>92°78'40</u> .		WGS84 NWI Classification:
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for	or this time of the		(If no, explain in remarks)
Are vegetation, soil, or hydrolog	gy 🔲 signif	ficantly disturbed?	Are "normal
Are vegetation \Box , soil \Box , or hydrolog	gy 🔲 natur	rally problematic?	circumstances" present?
(If needed, explain any answers in remarks)			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS			
Hydrophytic vegetation present? Y Hydric soil present? Y	Is the sa	mpled area withi	n a wetland? Y
Indicators of wetland hydrology present? Y	lf yes, op	otional wetland site	ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a			
The wetland consists of a wet meadow comm	unity located v	within an existin	g pipeline corridor.
HYDROLOGY			
			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check			required)
	er-Stained Leaves atic Fauna (B13)	s (B9)	 Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Drainage Patterns (B10)
	Deposits (B15)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)
	rogen Sulfide Odo	or (C1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
	lized Rhizosphere	es on	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
	ng Roots (C3)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
	sence of Reduced ent Iron Reduction	. ,	 (C9) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
	s (C6)		Geomorphic Position (D2)
	Muck Surface (C	(7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
	er (Explain in Rem	,	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Surface (B8)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:			
Surface water present? Yes	Depth (in		Indicators of
Water table present? Yes	Depth (in Depth (in		wetland hydrology
Saturation present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	Deptil (ill	icites)	present? Y
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring we	ell, aerial photos,	, previous inspection	ons), if available:
Demedici			
Remarks: Standing water 2 inches deep covers appro	vimately 10 no	arcent of the we	fland
	ninately 10 pe		

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

GETATION - U							50/20 Thresholds
					D · · ·		
Free Stratum	Plot Size (30 ft)	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	20% 50%
			,	% Cover	Species	Status	Tree Stratum 0 0
							Sapling/Shrub Stratum 0 1
							Herb Stratum 11 28
							Woody Vine Stratum 0 0
							Dominance Test Worksheet
							Number of Dominant
							Species that are OBL,
							FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
							Total Number of Dominant
							Species Across all Strata: 2 (B)
				0 :	 Total Cover 		Percent of Dominant
				•• • •			Species that are OBL,
apling/Shrub	Plot Size (15 ft)	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	FACW, or FAC: 100.00% (A/
Stratum	1 101 0120 (10 10	,	% Cover	Species	Status	
Rosa blanda				1	Ν	FACU	Prevalence Index Worksheet
				<u>'</u>	11	1700	
							Total % Cover of:
							OBL species $55 \times 1 = 55$
							FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
							FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
							FACU species 2 x 4 = 8
							UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
							Column totals 57 (A) 63 (B)
							Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.11$
				1 :	Total Cover		
				<u> </u>			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		= 0		Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
lerb Stratum	Plot Size (5 ft)	% Cover	Species	Status	X Dominance test is >50%
Scirpus cyperi	inus			35	Y	OBL	X Prevalence index is ≤3.0*
Calamagrostis				20	<u> </u>	OBL	Morphogical adaptations* (provide
Tanacetum vu				1	<u> </u>	FACU	supporting data in Remarks or on a
Tanacelum vu	iyare				IN	FACU	
							separate sheet)
							Problematic hydrophytic vegetation*
							(explain)
							*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
							present, unless disturbed or problematic
							Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
							Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diamet
							breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
							breast neight (DDH), regardless of height.
							Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH a
							greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
				56	 Total Cover 	_	Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardles
					.		size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Noody Vine	Plot Size (30)	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	
Stratum			,	% Cover	Species	Status	Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
					. <u> </u>		height.
							Hydrophytic
					Tatal Ories		vegetation
				0	= Total Cover		present? Y
narks: (Include p	hoto numbers -			oto obcot			

SOIL									Sam	pling Point: CR160c1W
Profile D			to the de	epth needed t				confirm t	he absence of	f indicators.)
Depth		Matrix			Redox I	1				Remarks
(ln.)	Color	(moist)	%	Color (m	ioist)	%	Type*	Loc**	Texture	romano
		ation, D=De e Lining, M		RM=Reduce	d Matrix, C	S=Co	vered or Co	bated Sai	nd Grains	
Hydric S	Soil Indica	tors:						Indicate	ors for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils:
	Histic Epipedon (A2) □ (S8) (LRR R, MLRA Black Histic (A3) □ Thin Dark Surface (S Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) □ (LRR R, MLRA 149) Stratified Layers (A5) □ (LRR R, MLRA 149) Depleted Below Dark Suface (A11) □ (LRR K, L) Thick Dark Surface (A12) □ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F3) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) □ Depleted Matrix (F3) Sandy Redox (S5) □ Depleted Dark Surface Stripped Matrix (S6) □ Depleted Dark Surface Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA □ Redox Depressions Image: High Stripped Matrix (S6) □ Redox Depressions Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA □ Redox Depressions Image: High Stripped Matrix (S6) □ Redox Depressions Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA □ Redox Depressions				S9) B ral (F1) (F2) (F6) ace (F7) (F8)	 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, F Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, I Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 144) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149) Red Parent Material (F21) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) 				
Restrictiv Type: Depth (in		observed):						Hydric	soil present?	? <u>Y</u>
	were not	•		the proximit nce of hydr	•		• • •	. Soils a	are assumed	I to be hydric based on