WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: SPP	City/County: Carlton	Sampling Date: 5/19/2014
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge	State: M	
Investigator(s): DGL/CPF		Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Toeslope		oncave, convex, none): CL
Slope (%): <u>0 - 2%</u> Lat.: <u>46.603268</u> Soil Map Unit Name: 303E	Long.: <u>-92.352687</u> Datur	n: WGS1984 NWI Classification:
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for	or this time of the year?	(If no, explain in remarks)
Are vegetation, soil, or hydrolog		Are "normal
Are vegetation , soil , soil , or hydrolog		
(If needed, explain any answers in remarks)		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS		
Hydrophytic vegetation present? Y Hydric soil present? Y	Is the sampled area with	hin a wetland? Y
Indicators of wetland hydrology present? Y	If yes, optional wetland si	te ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a	separate report)	
The wetland consists of a wet meadow fring		existing nineline corridor. Sparse
plantings of red-osier dogwood were observ	-	existing pipeline control. Sparse
plantings of red-osier dogwood were observ	icu.	
HYDROLOGY		
		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; chec Surface Water (A1)	k all that apply) er-Stained Leaves (B9)	required) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
	atic Fauna (B13)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
	l Deposits (B15)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
	rogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
	dized Rhizospheres on	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
	ng Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
	sence of Reduced Iron (C4) ent Iron Reduction in Tilled	 (C9) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
	s (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
	Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
	er (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Surface (B8)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface water present? Yes	Depth (inches):	Indicators of
Water table present? Yes	Depth (inches):	wetland
Saturation present? Yes	Depth (inches):	hydrology
(includes capillary fringe)		present? Y
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring w	ell, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:
		- -
Remarks:		
The area supports hydrophytic vegetation a	nd borders a stream.	

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

	se scientific r						50/20 Thresholds			
Free Stratum	Plot Size (30)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species	Indicator Status	20% 50% Tree Stratum 0 0			
							Sapling/Shrub Stratum 1 3			
							Herb Stratum 18 45			
							Woody Vine Stratum 0 0			
							Dominance Test Worksheet			
							Number of Dominant			
							Species that are OBL,			
							FACW, or FAC: (A)			
							Total Number of Dominant Species Across all Strata: 3 (B)			
				0	= Total Cover		(/			
							Percent of Dominant			
onling/Shrub				Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Species that are OBL,			
apling/Shrub Stratum	Plot Size (15)	% Cover	Species	Status	FACW, or FAC:(A/			
Cornus alba				5	Y	FACW	Prevalence Index Worksheet			
							Total % Cover of:			
							OBL species <u>25</u> x 1 = <u>25</u>			
							FACW species $55 \times 2 = 110$			
							FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$			
							FACU species $15 \times 4 = 60$			
							UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$ Column totals 95 (A) 195 (B)			
							Column totals <u>95</u> (A) <u>195</u> (B) Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.05$			
				5	Total Cover					
lerb Stratum Plot Size(5))				Absolute	Dominant	Indicator				
			,	% Cover	Species	Status	X Dominance test is >50%			
Phalaris arundi				<u>45</u> 20	<u>Y</u> Y	FACW OBL	X Prevalence index is ≤3.0* Morphogical adaptations* (provide			
Scirpus microca Poa compressa				10	<u> </u>	FACU	supporting data in Remarks or on a			
Thalictrum dasy				5	<u> </u>	FACW	separate sheet)			
Tanacetum vulg				5	<u> </u>	FACU	Problematic hydrophytic vegetation*			
Ranunculus sce				5	N	OBL	(explain)			
Rananouluo oportatuo							*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
							present, unless disturbed or problematic			
							Definitions of Vegetation Strata:			
							Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diame			
							breast height (DBH), regardless of height.			
							Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH a			
				90	Total Cover		greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.			
							Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardles size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.			
Noody Vine	Plot Size (30)	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator				
Stratum			,	% Cover	Species	Status	Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft i height.			
							Hydrophytic			
							vegetation			
				0 :	Total Cover		present? Y			

The area is dominated by reed canary grass and Scirpus microcarpus. Plantings of red-osier dogwood are present in the shrub layer.

SOIL									Sam	pling Point: CR144a2W
Profile D	escription:	(Describe	to the d	epth needed t				confirm	the absence c	of indicators.)
Depth		Matrix	_		Redox I	-		-	Texture	Remarks
(In.)	Color	(moist)	(moist) % Color (moist)	%	Type*	Loc**	Texture	Kentarks		
*Type: C	C=Concentr	ation, D=De	epletion.	, RM=Reduce	d Matrix, C	CS=Co	vered or C	oated Sa	nd Grains	
**Locatio		e Lining, M			,					ematic Hydric Soils:
 Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Suface (A11) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 				MLRA rface (A 149 / Miner d Matri rix (F3 Surface k Surfa ssions	A 149B) S9) B (F1) (x (F2) (F6) (F6) (F8)	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, F Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149 Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149) Red Parent Material (F21) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) unless disturbed or problematic				
Restrictiv Type: Depth (ir		observed):						Hydric	: soil present	? <u>Y</u>
	were not	-		the location egetation an			• • •	ine corri	idor; howev	er, soils are assumed