WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: SPP City	y/County: Carlton	Sampling Date: 5/26/2014
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge	State: M	
Investigator(s): LEB/CPF	Section, T	ownship, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Footslope	Local relief (co	oncave, convex, none): CC
	ng.: -92.413616 Datum	
Soil Map Unit Name: 975		NWI Classification:
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for the	his time of the year?	(If no, explain in remarks)
Are vegetation, soil, or hydrology	significantly disturbed	_
Are vegetation, soil, or hydrology	naturally problematic?	circumstances" present?
(If needed, explain any answers in remarks)		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS		
Hydrophytic vegetation present? N Hydric soil present? N	Is the sampled area with	in a wetland? N
Indicators of wetland hydrology present? N	If yes, optional wetland sit	e ID [.]
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a se	eparate report.)	
The point is located on a steep slope within a pip	peline corridor that bisects	a cattle pasture.
HYDROLOGY		
		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check al		required)
	Stained Leaves (B9)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
	Fauna (B13)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
	eposits (B15)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
	en Sulfide Odor (C1) d Rhizospheres on	 Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
	Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
	ce of Reduced Iron (C4)	(C9)
	Iron Reduction in Tilled	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Soils (C		Geomorphic Position (D2)
	uck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
□ Sparsely Vegetated Concave □ Other (I	Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Surface (B8)		□ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface water present? Yes	Depth (inches):	Indicators of
Water table present? Yes	Depth (inches):	wetland
Saturation present? Yes	Depth (inches):	- hydrology
(includes capillary fringe)		present? N
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring well,	aerial photos, previous inspect	tions), if available:
Remarks:		
No wetland hydrology was observed.		

'EGETATION - Use scientific names of plant	ts	S	ampling Point:	CR125b1U		
Tree Stratum Plot Size (30 ft) 1	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species	Indicator Status	50/20 Thresholds20%50Tree Stratum00Sapling/Shrub Stratum00Herb Stratum2153Woody Vine Stratum00	3	
4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 5apling/Shrub Stratum Plot Size(15 ft)		Total Cover Dominant Species	Indicator Status	Total Number of Dominant Species Across all Strata: 2 Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL,	(A) (B) (A/B)	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0				Prevalence Index WorksheetTotal % Cover of: OBL species 0 $x 1 =$ 0 FACW species 5 $x 2 =$ 10 FAC species 0 $x 3 =$ 0 FACU species 100 $x 4 =$ 400 UPL species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column totals 105 (A) 410 Prevalence Index = $B/A =$ 3.90	(B)	
Herb Stratum Plot Size (5 ft) Poa pratensis Taraxacum officinale Phalaris arundinacea For the strategy of the strategy	0 = Absolute % Cover <u>65</u> <u>35</u> 5 	Total Cover Dominant Species Y Y N	Indicator Status FACU FACU FACW	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation Dominance test is >50% Prevalence index is ≤3.0* Morphological adaptations* (provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic hydrophytic vegetation* (explain) *Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology of present, unless disturbed or problematic		
9 0 1 2 3 4 5				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in dia breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DE greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.		
Woody Vine Plot Size(30 ft) Stratum 1	105 = Absolute % Cover	Total Cover Dominant Species	Indicator Status	Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regarsize, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 height.		
3 4 5		Total Cover		Hydrophytic vegetation present? <u>N</u>		

SOIL								Sampl	ing Point:	CR125b1U
Profile [to the de	epth needed t				r confirm t	the absence of i	indicators.)
Depth		Matrix	_		Redox					Remarks
(ln.)	Color	(moist)	%	Color (m	oist)	%	Type*	Loc**	Texture	rtemanto
			+ $+$							
			+ $+$							
			+ $+$			-				
			+ $+$			-				
*Type [.] (C=Concentr	ation D=D	epletion	RM=Reduce	d Matrix (CS=Co	vered or C	oated Sa	nd Grains	
	ion: PL=Por				a maint, ·	00 00		outou ou		
Hydric	Soil Indica	tors:						Indicate	ors for Problen	natic Hydric Soils:
Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) 5 Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) (LRR R, MLRA 149B D Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) P Depleted Below Dark Suface (A11) (LRR K, L) T Thick Dark Surface (A12) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) In Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Matrix (F3) P Sandy Redox (S5) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) R Stripped Matrix (S6) Redox Depressions (F8) V						5 cr Dar Poly Thin Pied Mess Rec Ver	m Mucky Peat o rk Surface (S7) (yvalue Below Si n Dark Surface o n-Manganese M dmont Floodplai sic Spodic (TA6 d Parent Materia y Shallow Dark er (Explain in R	urface (S8) (LRR K, L) (S9) (LRR K, L) asses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) in Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) al (F21) Surface (TF12) emarks)		
Restricti Type: Depth (i		f observed): Hydric soil present? N				<u>N</u>				
	ole to dig o		•	nity to an ex	• • •				ed to be non-	hydric based on