WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: RSA 22 Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		City/County:	St. Louis	Sampling Date: 09-Sep-17	
			State: MN	Sampling Point:	nt: w-51n21w24-b4
Investigator(s): PJK		Section, T	ownship, Range: S. 19	T. 51N	R. 20W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Lowland	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	concave	Slope: 0.0 % / 0.0 °
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	Lat.:	46 53.2213	Long.: -92	2 56.3877	Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: B101A		-		WI classification:	N/A
Are Vegetation, Soil Summary of Findings - At	tach site map showing	problematic? sampling p	Are "Normal Circur (If needed, explair oint locations, tra	any answers in Re	-
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ● No ○ Yes ● No ○ Yes ● No ○		e Sampled Area n a Wetland? Yes	● _{No} ○	
Remarks: (Explain alternative pro No digging. Soils assumed hydric		ort.)			

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes O No 🖲	Depth (inches): 0			
Water Table Present? Yes O No 🖲	Depth (inches): 0	tydrology Present? Yes \odot No \bigcirc		
Saturation Present? Yes O No •	Wetland H	lydrology Present? Yes ● No 🔾		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monito	ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if a	available:		
Remarks:				

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

vegeration - use scientific names of plan	its			Sampling Point: w-51n21w24-b4
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Fraxinus nigra	40	\checkmark	FACW	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3(A)
2. Populus tremuloides	10		FACU	
3. Abies balsamea	10		FAC	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
4				Species Across Air Strata. 5 (b)
5				Percent of dominant Species
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
		Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)				OBL species 70 x 1 = 70
1	0			
2	0			•
3	0	\square		FAC species $10 \times 3 = 30$
4	_			FACU species 15 x 4 = 60
5	-			UPL species x 5 =
6	-			Column Totals:
7				Dravalance Index D/A 1.020
		Total Cover		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.829$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)	0 =			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
1. Solidago gigantea	10		FACW	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
2. Phalaris arundinacea	30		FACW	✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
	20		OBL	\checkmark Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0 ¹
1 Octomorphic consideration	20		OBL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5. Cirsium arvense		\checkmark	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
6. Typha x glauca	30		OBL	1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
7				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
8				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
9				Demittons of Vegetation Strata.
10				Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
11	0			at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
12	0			Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30)	115	Total Cover		greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall
1	0			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
2	0			size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
3	0			Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
4	0			height.
	0 =	Total Cover	-	
				Hydrophytic
				Vegetation Present? Yes • No ()
				Present? 105 0 110 0
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	et.)			

* Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

		e depth nee				nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)	
Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Red Color (moist)	<u>lox Featu</u> %	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
(menes)			Color (moist)	- 70	Туре	LOC-	Texture	Remarks
								•
			<u>_</u>	-				·
				. <u>.</u>				
1 - 0.0								
		RM=Reduced	d Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ains ² Loca	ation: PL=Pore Lining. M=N	latrix
Hydric Soil			_				Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils : 3
Histosol ((A1)		Polyvalue Below	v Surface ((S8) (LRR R	1		(LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)					ox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa			A 149B)		or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky M	Aineral (F1) LRR K, L)			
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)	1		Dark Surface (S7)	
	Below Dark Surface (A11))	Depleted Matrix	(F3)				urface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	k Surface (A12)	,	Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Thin Dark Surface	
_	uck Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)			Nasses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
			Redox Depress				Piedmont Floodpla	ain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
	eyed Matrix (S4)						Mesic Spodic (TA6	b) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Re							Red Parent Materi	al (F21)
	Matrix (S6)						Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)
Dark Surf	face (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 1	49B)					✓ Other (Explain in I	Remarks)
³ Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegetation a	and wetland I	nvdroloav must be a	resent. un	less disturb	ed or proble	ematic.	
			<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>					
	ayer (if observed):							
Туре:							Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔍 No 🔾
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soli Present?	Yes \bigcirc No \bigcirc
Remarks:								
	potential buried utilities	Soils assu	mod hydric baso	d on voge	station and	d bydrolog	11/	
no ulgging, p		5. 20115 2350	inieu nyunc base	u on vege		u nyuruluy	jy.	