WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: RSA 22	City/County:	St. Louis	Sampl	ling Date: 12-Sep-17
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		State: MN	Sampling Point:	w-51n20w27-c2
Investigator(s): PJK	Section, To	ownship, Range: S. 2	7 T. 51N	R. 20W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Swale	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none)	: concave	Slope: <u>3.5</u> % / <u>2.0</u> °
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	Lat.: 46 52.3684	Long.: -	92 51.7838	Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: B104A		<u>-</u>	NWI classification:	· N/A
	ificantly disturbed? Irally problematic?	Are "Normal Circ (If needed, expla	io, explain in Remar umstances" present iin any answers in R ransects, impo	? Yes • No ·
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?Yes 		e Sampled Area n a Wetland? Ye	es 🖲 No 🔿	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separat	e report.)			

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes $ullet$ No $ightarrow$	Depth (inches): 3	
Water Table Present? Yes No	Depth (inches): 0	ydrology Present? Yes 🖲 No 🔾
Saturation Present? Yes No	Wetland Hy Depth (inches): 0	ydrology Present? Yes 🔍 No 🔾
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monito	ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	vailable:
Remarks:		

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plat	its			Sampling Point: w-51n20w27-c2
	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1				That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3	0			Species Across All Strata:4(B)
4	0			
5	0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
6	0			
7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)	=	Total Cover	•	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	80		FACW	OBL species45 x 1 =45
			FACW	FACW species x 2 =20
2				FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
3				FACU species $0 \times 4 = 0$
4				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
5				Column Totals:(A)(B)
6				
7				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.710$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)	80 =	Total Cover	•	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	20	\checkmark	FACW	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		\checkmark	OBL	\checkmark Dominance Test is > 50%
		\checkmark	OBL	V Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0 ¹
			FACW	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
			TACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
6				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
7				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
8				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
9				_
10				Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
11				at breast height (DDH), regardless of height.
12				Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30)		Total Cover		greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall
1	0			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
2	0			size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
3	0			Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
4	0			height.
	0 =	Total Cover		
				Hydrophytic
				Vegetation Present? Yes • No ·
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	et)			
Remarks. (Include photo numbers here of on a separate she	euj			

* Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

Depth	Matrix	•	needed to documen Re	dox Featu	Ires			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	<u>uox reau</u> %	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-10	10YR 3/1	95	10YR 4/4	5	C	M	Sandy Loam	
		·						
						-		
		· ·						
		· ·						
		· ·						
					_			
Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depletior	n. RM=Redu	ced Matrix, CS=Cover	ed or Coat	ed Sand Gr	ains ² Loca	ation: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils : ³
Histosol (/	A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface	(S8) (LRR I	λ ,		ERR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Epip	pedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)					•
Black Hist			Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9) (lrr r, mli	RA 149B)		x (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky	Mineral (F1	I) LRR K, L	1		or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
	Layers (A5)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)		Dark Surface (S7)	
	Below Dark Surface (A1	11)	Depleted Matri	ix (F3)				urface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	k Surface (A12)	1)	Redox Dark Su				Thin Dark Surface	(S9) (LRR K, L)
_			Depleted Dark		7)		Iron-Manganese M	asses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
_	ick Mineral (S1)		Redox Depress		,		Piedmont Floodpla	in Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
	eyed Matrix (S4)						Mesic Spodic (TA6)) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Red							Red Parent Materia	al (F21)
	Matrix (S6)						Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)
Dark Surfa	ace (S7) (LRR R, MLRA	149B)					Other (Explain in R	emarks)
³ Indicators of	f hydrophytic vegetatior	n and wetlan	d hydrology must be	present, ur	nless distur	bed or proble	ematic.	
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	ayer (if observed):							
Type: <u>ro</u>							Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔍 No 🔾
Depth (incl	nes): <u>10</u>						Hydric Son Fresent:	
Remarks:								