WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

| Project/Site: RSA 22 | City/County: | St. Louis | Samplir | ng Date: 11-Sep-17 |
|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--------------------|
| Applicant/Owner: Enbridge | | State: MN | Sampling Point: | w-51n20w21-a1 |
| Investigator(s): PJK | Section, To | ownship, Range: S. 21 | T. 51N | R. 20W |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Lowland | Local relief (c | oncave, convex, none): | concave | Slope: 0.0 % / 0.0 |
| Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K | Lat.: 46 52.8897 | Long.: _9; | 2 53.1015 | Datum: NAD 83 |
| Soil Map Unit Name: B148A | | | WI classification: | PFO4B |
| | gnificantly disturbed? aturally problematic? wing sampling p | (If needed, explain | nstances" present? any answers in Re ansects, impo | - |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ● No ○ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ● No ○ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ● No ○ | | e Sampled Area n a Wetland? Yes | ● _{No} ○ | |
| Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separ No digging near road, potential utilities. | rate report.) | | | |

Hydrology

| Wetland Hydrology Indicators: | | Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required) |
|--|--|--|
| Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; | check all that apply) | Surface Soil Cracks (B6) |
| Surface Water (A1) | Water-Stained Leaves (B9) | Drainage Patterns (B10) |
| High Water Table (A2) | Aquatic Fauna (B13) | Moss Trim Lines (B16) |
| Saturation (A3) | Marl Deposits (B15) | Dry Season Water Table (C2) |
| Water Marks (B1) | Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) | Crayfish Burrows (C8) |
| Sediment Deposits (B2) | Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) | Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) |
| Drift deposits (B3) | Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) | Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) |
| Algal Mat or Crust (B4) | Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) | Geomorphic Position (D2) |
| Iron Deposits (B5) | Thin Muck Surface (C7) | Shallow Aquitard (D3) |
| Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) | Other (Explain in Remarks) | Microtopographic Relief (D4) |
| Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) | | FAC-neutral Test (D5) |
| | | |
| Field Observations: | | |
| Surface Water Present? Yes O No 🔍 | Depth (inches): 0 | |
| Water Table Present? Yes O No 🖲 | Depth (inches): 0 | rdrology Present? Yes 🖲 No 🖯 |
| Saturation Present? Yes O No O | Wetland Hy Depth (inches): 0 | rdrology Present? Yes 🔍 No 🔾 |
| Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monito | ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av | ailable: |
| | | |
| | | |
| Remarks: | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

| VEGETATION - Ose sciencing names of plants | | | Sampling Point: w-51n20w21-a1 | |
|---|----------|--------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | Absolute | | Indicator | Dominance Test worksheet: |
| Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>) | % Cover | Species? | Status | Number of Dominant Species |
| 1 | | | | That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A) |
| 2 | | | | Total Number of Dominant |
| 3 | | | | Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | 0 | | | Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B) |
| 6 | 0 | | | |
| 7 | 0 | | | Prevalence Index worksheet: |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15) | 0 = | Total Cover | | Total % Cover of: Multiply by: |
| 1 | 0 | | | OBL species x 1 =90 |
| | 0 | | | FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$ |
| 2 | | | | FAC species X 3 =30 |
| 3 | _ | | | FACU species $0 \times 4 = 0$ |
| 4 | | | | UPL species x 5 =0 |
| 5 6 | | | | Column Totals:(A)(B) |
| | | | | · |
| 7 | | Total Cover | | Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.200$ |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5) | | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: |
| 1. Carex lacustris | 60 | \checkmark | OBL | Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation |
| 2. Scirpus cyperinus | | | OBL | ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% |
| 3. Panicum capillare | 10 | | FAC | V Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0 ¹ |
| 4. Typha x glauca | 10 | | OBL | Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) |
| 5 | 0 | | | Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must |
| 8 | 0 | | | be present, unless disturbed or problematic. |
| 9 | 0 | | | Definitions of Vegetation Strata: |
| 10 | | | | Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter |
| 11 | | | | at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. |
| 12 | | | | |
| | | Total Cover | | Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30) | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | | | Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of |
| 2 | 0 | | | size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. |
| 3 | 0 | | | Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in |
| 4 | 0 | | | height. |
| | 0 = | Total Cover | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation |
| | | | | Present? Yes • No · |
| | | | | |
| Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she | et.) | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

| Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Depth Matrix Redox Features | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| (inches) Color (moist) % | Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ² | Texture Remarks | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Гуре: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Re | educed Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains ² Loca | ation: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix | | |
| Hydric Soil Indicators: | | Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils : 3 | | |
| Histosol (A1) | Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, | | | |
| Histic Epipedon (A2) | MLRA 149B) | 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) | | |
| Black Histic (A3) | Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) | Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) | | |
| | Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) LRR K, L) | 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) | | |
| Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) | Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) | Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L, M) | | |
| Stratified Layers (A5) | | Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) | | |
| Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) | Depleted Matrix (F3) | Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) | | |
| Thick Dark Surface (A12) | Redox Dark Surface (F6) | Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) | | |
| Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) | Depleted Dark Surface (F7) | Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) | | |
| Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) | Redox Depressions (F8) | | | |
| Sandy Redox (S5) | | Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) | | |
| Stripped Matrix (S6) | | Red Parent Material (F21) | | |
| | | Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) | | |
| Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) | | Other (Explain in Remarks) | | |
| ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and we | tland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or probl | lematic. | | |
| Restrictive Layer (if observed): | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Туре: | | Hydric Soil Present? Yes 💿 No 🔾 | | |
| Depth (inches): | | ityane son Fresence i tes S NO C | | |
| Remarks: | | | | |
| | Soils assumed hydric based on vegetation. | | | |
| o digging hear road. Potential dunities. a | sons assumed flyane based off vegetation. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |