WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: RSA 22		City/County:	St. Louis	Samplii	ng Date: 13-Sep-17
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge			State: MN	Sampling Point:	u-50n20w2-b2
Investigator(s): PJK		Section, To	wnship, Range: S. 2	T. 50N	R. 20W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Mound		Local relief (co	oncave, convex, none):	convex	Slope: <u>3.5</u> % / <u>2.0</u> °
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	Lat.:	46 51.0156	Long.: -92	2 49.7027	Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: 1020A				WI classification:	PFO/SSE
	turally p	y disturbed? roblematic? ampling p	· / ·	any answers in Re	2
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?YesNoHydric Soil Present?YesNoWetland Hydrology Present?YesNo			Sampled Area a Wetland? Yes	○ _{No}	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separa No digging on pipeline, active buried utilities.	ate repor	t.)			

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required)				
Primary Indicators (minimum of or	ne required; c	heck all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)		Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)				
High Water Table (A2)		Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)				
Saturation (A3)		Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)				
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living I					
Drift deposits (B3)		Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)				
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils					
Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imager	ry (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)				
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surfac	5 . ,		FAC-neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:							
Surface Water Present? Yes	🔾 No 🖲	Depth (inches): 0					
Water Table Present? Yes	🔾 No 🖲	Depth (inches):0	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲				
Saturation Present? Yes C) No 🖲	Depth (inches):0	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲				
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:							
Remarks:							

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

vegeration - use scientific names of plai	Sampling Point: u-50n20w2-b2			
	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	% Cover	species	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1				That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)
4				Percent of dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0.0% (A/B)
6 7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1	0			FACW species 25 x 2 = 50
2	0			FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
3	0			FACU species $\frac{85}{2} \times 4 = \frac{340}{2}$
4				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
5	-			
6				Column Totals: <u>110</u> (A) <u>390</u> (B)
7				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.545$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)	=	Total Cover		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	40	\checkmark	FACU	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
1. Tanacetum vulgare 2. Phleum pratense	15		FACU	Dominance Test is > 50%
0.111	10		FACW	Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0 ¹
3. Solidago gigantea 4. Phalaris arundinacea	15		FACW	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
5. Cirsium arvense	10		FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
6. Lotus corniculatus		\checkmark	FACU	
7				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
8				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
9				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
10				Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
11				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
12				Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	110 =	Total Cover		greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall
	0			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
1	0			size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
23				
4	0			Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
Τ	0 =	Total Cover		
				Hydrophytic Vegetation
				Present? Yes No 💿
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	et.)			

* Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

		he depth need				nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)	
Depth (inches)	<u>Matrix</u> Color (moist)	%	<u>Re</u> Color (moist)	dox Featu %	Type 1	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
				70	Type	LUC		Kentarks
							-	
				-				·
<u>.</u>								
¹ Type: C=Cor	centration. D=Depletion	. RM=Reduced	Matrix, CS=Cover	ed or Coate	d Sand Gra	ains ² Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=N	latrix
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Probl	ematic Hydric Soils: ³
Histosol ((A1)		Polyvalue Belov	w Surface (S8) (LRR F	2,		(LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Epi	ipedon (A2)	F	MLRA 149B)					ox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black His	tic (A3)	L	Thin Dark Surfa					or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky I				Dark Surface (S7)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Loamy Gleyed					Gurface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A1	1)	Depleted Matri				Thin Dark Surface	
	rk Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)				
Sandy Mu	uck Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark	Surface (F7	')			Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
_	eyed Matrix (S4)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)				ain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy Re								5) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
_	Matrix (S6)						Red Parent Mater	
	face (S7) (LRR R, MLRA	149B)					Very Shallow Darl	
							Other (Explain in	Remarks)
³ Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegetation	and wetland hy	drology must be p	present, un	ess disturb	ed or proble	ematic.	
Restrictive L	.ayer (if observed):							
Туре:								
Depth (inc	ches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 🛛 No 🖲
Remarks:								
No digging o	n pipeline, active bur	ied utilities. So	oils assumed no	n-hydric b	ased on v	regetation	and hydrology.	