## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: RSA 22	City/County:	St. Louis	Sampling Date: 13-Sep-17	
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		State: MN	Sampling Point:	u-50n20w12-a1
Investigator(s): DPT	Section, T	ownship, Range: S. 12	<b>T.</b> 50N	<b>R.</b> 20W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Mound	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	convex	Slope: 8.7 % / 5.0 °
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	46 50.2377	<b>Long.:</b> -92	48.4997	Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: B127B	-	1	IWI classification:	PSSB
	ntly disturbed? problematic? sampling p	Are "Normal Circun (If needed, explain oint locations, tra	any answers in Re	,
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?YesNoHydric Soil Present?YesNoWetland Hydrology Present?YesNo		e Sampled Area n a Wetland? Yes	🔿 No 🖲	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate repo	ort.)			

## Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators	s:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)			Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)		Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2)		Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
Saturation (A3)		Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Drift deposits (B3)		Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)			
Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Inundation Visible on Aerial I	magery (B7)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
Sparsely Vegetated Concave	0 9 1 1	Uther (Explain in Remarks)	FAC-neutral Test (D5)			
	0411400 (20)					
Field Observations:						
	res 🔿 🛛 No 🖲	Depth (inches): 0				
Water Table Present? Y	res 🔿 🛛 No 🖲	Depth (inches):0				
Saturation Present? Yes O No •		Depth (inches): 0	drology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:						
Remarks:						

## **VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants**

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plat	Sampling Point: u-50n20w12-a1			
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u> )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Number of Dominant Species
1. Populus tremuloides	80	✓	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3	0			Species Across All Strata:4(B)
4	0			
5	0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL_EACW_or_EAC·0.0% (A/B)
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)
7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )	80 =	Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
1, Corylus cornuta	60		FACU	OBL species x 1 =
2				FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
				FAC species x 3 =
3	0			FACU species x 4 =680
4				UPL species x 5 =350
5				Column Totals: 240 (A) 1030 (B)
6	-			
7	0			Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.292$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)	60 =	Total Cover		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		_		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
1. Eurybla macrophylla	70		UPL	Dominance Test is > 50%
2. Carex woodli	10		FACU	Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
3. Aralia nudicaulis	20		FACU	Morphological Adaptations $^1$ (Provide supporting
4	0			data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5	0			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
6	0			
7	0			<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
8	0			be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
9	0			Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
10				
				Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
11				
12				Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
_Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	100 =	Total Cover		greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall
1	0			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
2	0		-	size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
3	0			Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
Δ	0			height.
т	0 =	Total Cover		
				Hydrophytic
				Vegetation
				Present? Yes No 🔍
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	et.)			

\*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

Profile Descr	ription: (De	scribe to	the depth	needed to document	the indicator or co	onfirm the a	absence of indicators.)	
Depth		Matrix			dox Features		-	
(inches)	Color (	moist)	%	Color (moist)	<b>% Type</b> <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-8	10YR	2/2	100				Loam	
8-20	10YR	4/6	100				Sandy Loam	
	-	-	-					
		-					·	
							·	
	-	-	-					
		-						
1								
		=Depletio	n. RM=Red	luced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coated Sand Gr	ains <sup>2</sup> Loca	ation: PL=Pore Lining. M=Mat	
Hydric Soil 1				_			Indicators for Probler	matic Hydric Soils: <sup>3</sup>
Histosol (				Polyvalue Belov MLRA 149B)	w Surface (S8) (LRR F	R,	2 cm Muck (A10) (L	RR K, L, MLRA 149B)
	pedon (A2)				ace (S9) (LRR R, MLF	A 140D)	Coast Prairie Redox	
Black Hist								Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
	n Sulfide (A4)				Mineral (F1) LRR K, L)		Dark Surface (S7) (	
	Layers (A5)			Loamy Gleyed			_	face (S8) (LRR K, L)
	Below Dark		11)	Depleted Matrix			Thin Dark Surface (	
Thick Dar	rk Surface (A	12)		Redox Dark Su				isses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy Mu	uck Mineral (S	S1)		Depleted Dark				n Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (	S4)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)			(MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Re	dox (S5)						Red Parent Material	
Stripped I	Matrix (S6)						Very Shallow Dark S	
Dark Surf	face (S7) (LR	r r, mlra	A 149B)				Other (Explain in Re	
<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	f hydrophytic	vegetatio	n and wetla	and hydrology must be p	present, unless disturb	ned or proble		
				ina njarologj maot po p				
Restrictive L	ayer (if obs	erved):						
Туре:							Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Depth (inc	:hes):							
Remarks:								