WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: RSA 22	City/County:	St. Louis	Sampling Date: 14-Sep-17	
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		State: MN	Sampling Point:	u-50n19w17-d1
Investigator(s): DPT	Section, T	ownship, Range: S. 17	T. 50N	R. 19W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	convex	Slope: <u>17.6</u> % / 10.0
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Lat.:	46 49.0005	Long.: -92	2 46.2759	Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: F141D			WI classification:	N/A
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing	problematic? sampling p	(If needed, explain oint locations, tra	•	,
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?Yes ○No ●Hydric Soil Present?Yes ○No ●Wetland Hydrology Present?Yes ○No ●		e Sampled Area n a Wetland? Yes	○ _{No} ●	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate rep	ort.)			

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one requ	ired; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	 Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) 	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aguitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	Uther (Explain in Remarks)	FAC-neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes O No	Depth (inches): 0			
Water Table Present? Yes O No	Depth (inches): 0			
Saturation Present? Yes No No Depth (inches): 0		/drology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, r	nonitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	vailable:		
Remarks:				

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

vegeration - use scientific names of plan	Sampling Point: u-50n19w17-d1			
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Acer saccharum	80	\checkmark	FACU	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
2	0			
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
4				
5	0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL_EACW_or_EAC·0.0% (A/B)
6	0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0.0% (A/B)
7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)	80 =	Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1. Corylus cornuta	10	\checkmark	FACU	
2	0			FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
3	0			FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
4	0			FACU species $170 \times 4 = 680$
5	0			UPL species <u>10</u> x 5 = <u>50</u>
6	0			Column Totals: <u>180</u> (A) <u>730</u> (B)
7				Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.056
	10 =	Total Cover		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)				Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
1. Carex woodli	70	\checkmark	FACU	Dominance Test is > 50%
2. Eurybla macrophylla	10		UPL	$\square \text{ Prevalence Index is } \leq 3.0^{-1}$
3. Aralla nudicaulis	10		FACU	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
4	0			data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5	0			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
6	0			1
7	0			¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
8				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
9				Demittoris of Vegetation Strata.
10				Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
11				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
12	0			Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30)	90 =	• Total Cover		greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall
1				Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
2	0			size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
3				Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
4	0			height.
	=	Total Cover		
				Hydrophytic
				Vegetation Present? Yes O No 🖲
Remarker (Technic whete much are here an en e consiste che	-+ \			
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	et.)			

* Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

Depth	iption: (De	Scribe to Matrix	the depth		lox Features	n connrm the a	absence of indicators.)	
(inches)	Color ((moist)	%	Color (moist)	<u> </u>	e ¹ Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-4	10YR	3/4	100				Sandy Clay Loam	
4-20	10YR	4/4	100				Clay Loam	
4-20		4/4						
	-						<u> </u>	
				·			<u>.</u> ,	
			-					
	-		-					
	-							
							,	
¹ Type: C=Con	centration. D) Depletic	on. RM=Red	uced Matrix, CS=Covere	d or Coated San	d Grains ² Loca	ation: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix
Hydric Soil 1	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils : ³
Histosol (A1)			Polyvalue Belov	v Surface (S8) (L	RR R,		
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)			MLRA 149B)				(LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) x (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Hist	tic (A3)				ice (S9) (LRR R,			or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4))			Aineral (F1) LRR	K, L)	Dark Surface (S7)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)			Loamy Gleyed I				urface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	Below Dark		(11)	Depleted Matrix			Thin Dark Surface	
	k Surface (A			Redox Dark Su	• •			lasses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
	uck Mineral (Depleted Dark				in Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
	eyed Matrix ((S4)					Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Re							Red Parent Materia	al (F21)
	Matrix (S6)						Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)
	ace (S7) (LR						Other (Explain in F	Remarks)
³ Indicators o	f hydrophytic	c vegetatic	on and wetla	and hydrology must be p	resent, unless di	sturbed or proble	ematic.	
Restrictive L	ayer (if obs	served):						
Туре:								
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 🛛 No 🖲
Remarks:								