WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: RSA 22		City/County:	Aitkin	Sampli	Sampling Date: 28-Aug-17 	
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		State: MN	Sampling Point:			
Investigator(s): PJK		Section, T	ownship, Range: S. 29	T. 51N	R. 24W	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Lowland	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	concave	Slope: 0.0 % / 0.0	
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	Lat.:	46 52.1492	Long.: -93	3 25.0692	Datum: NAD 83	
Soil Map Unit Name: 124			r	WI classification:	PFO1B	
Are Vegetation , Soil Are Vegetation , Soil Summary of Findings - At	, or Hydrology 🗌 naturally	tly disturbed? problematic? sampling p	Are "Normal Circur (If needed, explain oint locations, tra	any answers in Re	marks.)	
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ● No ○ Yes ● No ○ Yes ● No ○		e Sampled Area n a Wetland? Yes	● _{No} ○		
Remarks: (Explain alternative pro WETS analysis shows precipitation		-				

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; of	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes O No 🖲	Depth (inches): 0			
Water Table Present? Yes O No O	Depth (inches): 0	vdrology Present? Yes 🖲 No 🖯		
Saturation Present? Yes O No O	Depth (inches):0	ydrology Present? Yes 🔍 No 🔾		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor	ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	vailable:		
Remarks:				

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

vederation - use scientific names of pla	Sampling Point: w-51n24w28-a5			
	Absolute		Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1				That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3	0			Species Across All Strata:1 (B)
4				
5	0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
6	0			
7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)	0 =	Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
<u> </u>	0			OBL species x 1 =85
				FACW species
2				FAC species x 3 =
3	_			FACU species $0 \times 4 = 0$
4				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
5 6				Column Totals: 100 (A) 115 (B)
7		Total Cover		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.150$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)	=	Total Cover		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
1. Typha x glauca	70	\checkmark	OBL	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
2. Carex lacustris			OBL	✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
3. Solidago gigantea			FACW	V Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0 ¹
4				Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5				 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
6				
7				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
8				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
9				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
10				Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
11				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
12				
		Total Cover		Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30)				
1	0			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
2	0			size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
3	0			Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
4	0			height.
	=	Total Cover		
				Hydrophytic Vegetation
				Present? Yes No
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	et.)			

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

Depth	Matrix	ne depth n				nnrm the	absence of indicators.)	
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	dox Featu %	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
								·
-								
E	· · · · · · · · ·							
								IP
¹ Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depletion	. RM=Reduc	ed Matrix, CS=Cover	ed or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ains ² Loca	ation: PL=Pore Lining. M=N	1atrix
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Probl	ematic Hydric Soils : ³
Histosol ((A1)		Polyvalue Belov	w Surface ((S8) (LRR R			
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)					(LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Black His			Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (I	LRR R, MLR	A 149B)		ox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky I	Mineral (F1) LRR K, L)			or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
	Layers (A5)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)			Dark Surface (S7)	
	Below Dark Surface (A1	1)	Depleted Matri					Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	rk Surface (A12)	1)	Redox Dark Su				Thin Dark Surface	
			Depleted Dark		7)		Iron-Manganese M	Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
	uck Mineral (S1)		Redox Depress		,,		Piedmont Floodpla	ain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
	eyed Matrix (S4)						Mesic Spodic (TA	5) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Re							Red Parent Materi	ial (F21)
Stripped	Matrix (S6)						Very Shallow Dark	
Dark Surf	face (S7) (LRR R, MLRA	149B)					Other (Explain in	
³ Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegetation	and wetland	hydrology must be r	resent un	less disturb	ed or probl		
			a nyarology must be p	Jiesent, un				
	ayer (if observed):							
Туре:							Ubuduia Cail Duasant2	× • •
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔍 No 🔾
Remarks:								
	otential buried utilitie	s soils ass	umed hydric based	l on veget	tation			
No digging p	oterniai barica atintic	3. 30113 033		i on vege	lation.			