## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

		o	A 111 1		
Project/Site: RSA 22		City/County:	Aitkin	Samplir	ng Date: 31-Aug-17
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge			State: MN	Sampling Point:	w-51n24w26-aa2
Investigator(s): SMR		Section, T	ownship, Range: S. 26	<b>T.</b> 51N	<b>R.</b> 24W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Lowland	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	concave	Slope: 0.0 % / 0.0
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	Lat.:	46 52.3826	<b>Long.:</b> -9:	3 20.4882	Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: 685			<u> </u>	NWI classification:	N/A
Are Vegetation . , Soil . Are Vegetation . , Soil . , Summary of Findings - At	, or Hydrology naturally ttach site map showing Yes No O		(If needed, explain oint locations, tr	•	-
Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ● No ○ Yes ● No ○	within a Wetland? Yes $\odot$ No $\bigcirc$			
Remarks: (Explain alternative pro WETS analysis shows precip is be		,	soils assumed hydric ba	sed on vegetation.	

## Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes O No 🖲	Depth (inches): 0	
Water Table Present? Yes O No 🖲	Depth (inches): 0	rdrology Present? Yes 🖲 No 🔾
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes O No O	Wetland Hy Depth (inches): 0	rdrology Present? Yes 🔍 No 🔾
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monite	pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	ailable:
Remarks:		

## **VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants**

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plat	113			Sampling Point: w-51n24w26-aa2
	Absolute	<b>•</b> • •	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1	0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2	0			Total Number of Dominant
3	0			Species Across All Strata:3(B)
4	0			
5	0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL_EACW_or_EAC: 100.0% (A/B)
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
	0 =	Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				<b>OBL species x 1 =</b>
1. <i>Spiraea alba</i>	10	$\checkmark$	FACW	FACW species 90 x 2 = 180
2	0			FAC species x 3 =
3	0			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4	0			
5	0			UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
6				Column Totals: <u>110</u> (A) <u>200</u> (B)
7	0			Prevalence Index = B/A =1.818
	10 =	Total Cover		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)				Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
1. Phalaris arundinacea	80	$\checkmark$	FACW	
2. Scirpus atrovirens	20	$\checkmark$	OBL	✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
3				✓ Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0 <sup>1</sup>
4				Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5				
				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
6	0			<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
7				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
8				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
9				
10				Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
11				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
12				Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u> )	100 =	Total Cover		greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall.
1	0			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
2	0			
3	0			Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
4	0			height.
	=	Total Cover		
				Hydrophytic Vegetation
				Present? Yes $\bullet$ No $\bigcirc$
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	ot )			
Kemarks. (Include photo humbers here of on a separate she	et.)			

\*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

Depth <u>Matrix</u>	Redox Features	_
inches) Color (moist) %	6 Color (moist) % Type <sup>1</sup> Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture Remarks
		·
<u></u>		
no: C-Concontration D-Doplation PM	=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains <sup>2</sup> Loca	ation: PL-Poro Lining M-Matrix
		•
dric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils : $^3$
Histosol (A1)	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Epipedon (A2)	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Histic (A3)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) LRR K, MILRA 1496)	5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)		Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L, M)
Stratified Layers (A5)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	<ul> <li>Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F12) (MLRA 149B)</li> </ul>
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Redox Depressions (F8)	Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Redox (S5)		Red Parent Material (F21)
Stripped Matrix (S6)		
Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 1498	)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
		✓ Other (Explain in Remarks)
Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problem	ematic.
estrictive Layer (if observed):		
Туре:		
		Hydric Soil Present? Yes 💿 No 🔿
Depth (inches):		
emarks:	bils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
marks:	ils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
emarks:	ils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
emarks:	ils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
marks:	bils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
emarks:	bils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
emarks:	bils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
emarks:	ils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
marks:	bils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
marks:	ils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
marks:	vils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
emarks:	vils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
emarks:	vils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
emarks:	vils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
emarks:	bils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
emarks:	bils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
emarks:	bils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
emarks:	bils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	
emarks:	bils assumed hydric based on vegetation.	