WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: RSA 22	City/County:	Aitkin	Samplir	Sampling Date: 01-Sep-17	
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		State: MN	Sampling Point:	u-51n24w25-f2	
Investigator(s): SMR	Section, T	Township, Range: S. 25	T. 51N	R. 24W	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Mound	Local relief (d	concave, convex, none):	convex	Slope: <u>10.5</u> % / <u>6.0</u>	
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	at.: 46 52.3639	Long.: -93	3 18.9971	Datum: NAD 83	
Soil Map Unit Name: 546	-		WI classification:	N/A	
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology nature Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing	ally problematic? n g sampling p	· / ·	any answers in Re ansects, impo		
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?YesNoHydric Soil Present?YesNoWetland Hydrology Present?YesNo		e Sampled Area in a Wetland? Yes	○ _{N0} ●		
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate	report.)				

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required)				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one requ	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)					
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)				
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)				
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)				
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)						
Drift deposits (B3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)				
	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)				
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)				
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present? Yes O No						
Water Table Present? Yes O No		ydrology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲				
Saturation Present? Yes O No	Depth (inches): 0	ydrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲				
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:						
Remarks:						

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

VEGETATION - Use sciencing names of plan	Sampling Point: u-51n24w25-f2			
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30)	Absolute		Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
ince berdeam	% Cover		Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Pinus resinosa	60	✓	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)
4				
5	0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>50.0%</u> (A/B)
6	0			
7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)	60 =	Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
1. Abies baisamea	20	\checkmark	FAC	OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
2	0			FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
3				FAC species $20 \times 3 = 60$
4	_			FACU species $_{60}$ x 4 = $_{240}$
5		\square		UPL species x 5 =
6		\square		Column Totals:
7	-			Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.750
		Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
1	0			
2	0			Dominance Test is > 50%
3				Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
4				Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
6				
7				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
8				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
9				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
10				
11				Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
12				
12		Total Cover		Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30)				greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall
1	0			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
2	0			size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
3	0			Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
4	0			height.
	0 =	Total Cover		
				Hydrophytic
				Vegetation Present? Yes No 🖲
-				
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	et.)			

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)									
Depth		Matrix			dox Features	5		-	
(inches)	Color (%	Color (moist)		Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-2	10YR	6/3	100					Sandy Loam	
2-9	10YR	4/6	100					Loamy Sand	
	-	-							
					·				
-	-	-	-						
	2	-							
¹ Type: C=Con	ncentration. D	=Depletio	on. RM=Red	uced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coated S	Sand Grai	ns ² Loca	ation: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	ıtrix
Hydric Soil	Indicators:							Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils : ³
Histosol ((A1)			Polyvalue Belov	v Surface (S8)) (LRR R,		_	_RR K, L, MLRA 149B)
🗌 Histic Epi	ipedon (A2)			MLRA 149B)					: (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black His	tic (A3)			Thin Dark Surfa			(149B)		r Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)			Loamy Mucky M		rr K, L)		Dark Surface (S7)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)			Loamy Gleyed					rface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Depleted	Below Dark	Surface (A	.11)	Depleted Matrix				Thin Dark Surface (
Thick Dar	rk Surface (A	12)		Redox Dark Su					asses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy Mu	uck Mineral (S	S1)		Depleted Dark					n Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy Gl	eyed Matrix ((S4)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)				(MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Re								Red Parent Materia	
	Matrix (S6)							Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)
Dark Surf	face (S7) (LR	r r, mlra	A 149B)					Other (Explain in R	emarks)
³ Indicators o	of hydrophytic	vegetatio	on and wetla	and hydrology must be p	resent, unless	s disturbe	d or proble	ematic.	
Restrictive L	.ayer (if obs	erved):							
Type: <u>R</u>									
Depth (inc								Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Remarks:									
Remains.									