WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: RSA 22	City/County: Aitkin	Sampling Date: 02-Sep-17
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge	St	ate: MN Sampling Point: u-51n23w29-g1
Investigator(s): SMR	Section, Township,	Range: S. 29 T. 51N R. 23W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Mound		convex, none): convex Slope: 8.7 % / 5.0 °
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	Lat.: 46 52.3133	Long.: -93 16.5031 Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: 292		NWI classification: N/A
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site	typical for this time of year? Yes No	(If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydro		"Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydro		needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
	•	cations, transects, important features, etc
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	No •	
Hydric Soil Present? Yes	No Is the Sampled within a Wetla	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	No •	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures he	re or in a separate report.)	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Drainage Patterns (B10) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	S) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes No No	Depth (inches):0	
Water Table Present? Yes No No		and Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes No •	Depth (inches): 0	and Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No •
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	toring well, aerial photos, previous inspections)), if available:
Domarko		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

vegeration - ose scientific fiames of pla	Sampling Point: u-51n23w29-g1				
(2)	Absolute	Dominant Indica			
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30)	% Cover	Species? Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1	0		That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2	0		Total Number of Dominant		
3	0		Species Across All Strata:1 (B)		
4	0		_		
5	0		Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL FACW or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)		
6			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)		
7			Prevalence Index worksheet:		
		= Total Cover	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15			0BL speci es 0 x 1 = 0		
1			FACW species x 2 = 0		
2			FAC speciles0_ x 3 =0_		
3			FACU speciles 90 x 4 = 360		
4		<u> </u>	UPL species $\frac{10}{10} \times 5 = \frac{50}{10}$		
5			<u> </u>		
6			Column Totals:100 (A)410 (B)		
7	0		Prevalence Index = B/A =4.100		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)	0 =	= Total Cover	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
			Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
1. Pteridium aquilinum		✓ FACU	☐ Dominance Test is > 50%		
2. Bromus inermis		UPL_	Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹		
3		<u> </u>	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting		
4		H —	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
5	0		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
6					
7		Н —	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
8	0		_		
9	0		Definitions of Vegetation Strata:		
10	0		Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter		
l1			at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.		
12	0		Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30)	100 =	Total Cover	greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall		
			Hart All barbaras (see see the district or see the see		
1			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
2	0				
3			Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in		
4	0		height.		
		= Total Cover			
			Hydrophytic		
			Vegetation		
			Present? Yes No •		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sho	eet.)				

^{*}Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

Soil Sampling Point: u-51n23w29-g1

Depth		Matrix			edox Features		_		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)		Loc2	Texture	Remarks	
0-4	10YR	2/2	100				Fine Sandy Loam		
4-20	10YR	4/3	100				Fine Sandy Loam		
							•		
							-		
							-		
			-						
		-							
Type: C=Cor	ncentration D	=Denletio	n RM=Red	uced Matrix CS=Cove	ered or Coated Sand Gra	ins 21 oca	ation: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix	
		-Depletio	ii. Kivi–Keu	uced Matrix, C3-Cove	ered or coated Sand Ora	iii is Loca			
Hydric Soil Histosol				Polyvoluo Pol	ow Surface (S8) (LRR R			ematic Hydric Soils: 3	
	ipedon (A2)			MLRA 149B)	ow surface (so) (LRR R	,		LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)	
Black His				☐ Thin Dark Sur	rface (S9) (LRR R, MLR	A 149B)		x (A16) (LRR K, L, R)	
	n Sulfide (A4)				/ Mineral (F1) LRR K, L)			or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)	
	l Layers (A5)			Loamy Gleyed			Dark Surface (S7)		
	i Layers (A5) I Below Dark S	Surface (A	11)	Depleted Mat				urface (S8) (LRR K, L)	
_	rk Surface (A1		.11)	Redox Dark S			Thin Dark Surface		
	uck Mineral (S				k Surface (F7)			asses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)	
				Redox Depres				in Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)	
_	leyed Matrix (S edox (S5)	54)		•) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)	
							Red Parent Materia	• •	
	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6) ☐ Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)								
							Other (Explain in R	lemarks)	
³ Indicators of	of hydrophytic	vegetatio	n and wetla	nd hydrology must be	present, unless disturb	ed or proble	ematic.		
Restrictive I	Layer (if obs	erved):							
Type: _									
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No 💿	
Remarks:									
Romans.									