WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: RSA 22		City/County:	Aitkin	Samplii	ng Date: 01-Sep-17
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge			State: MN	Sampling Point:	u-51n23w29-b1
Investigator(s): SMR		Section, T	ownship, Range: S. 29	T. 51N	R. 23W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Moun	d	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	convex	Slope: 8.7 % / 5.0
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	Lat.:	46 52.3247	Long.: -9:	3 16.8451	Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: 292		-	I	WI classification:	N/A
Are Vegetation , soil , or F Summary of Findings - Attach		problematic? sampling p		any answers in Re ansects, impo	
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?YesHydric Soil Present?YesWetland Hydrology Present?Yes	○ _{No}		e Sampled Area n a Wetland? Yes	○ _{No}	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedure	s here or in a separate repo	ort.)			

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required	: check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (Infinitian of 2 required)		
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift deposits (B3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			
	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes O No •	Depth (inches): 0			
Water Table Present? Yes O No •		drology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes O No O	Depth (inches):0	drology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, moni	toring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available	ailable:		
Remarks:				

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

vegeration - use scientific names of plan	its			Sampling Point: u-51n23w29-b1
(Distring 20	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30)	% Cover		Status	Number of Dominant Species
1				That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)
4				Percent of dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)
6 7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
		Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)				OBL species x 1 =
1	0			FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
2	0			FAC species x 3 =
3	0			FACU species $100 \times 4 = 400$
4				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
5	-			
6				
7				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.000$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)	=	Total Cover		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
1. Pteridium aquilinum	60	\checkmark	FACU	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
2. Poa pratensis			FACU	Dominance Test is > 50%
3				Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0 ¹
4	0			Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5	0			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
6				
7				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
8				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
9				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
10				Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
11	0			at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
12	0			Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	100 =	Total Cover		greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall.
	0			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
1 2	0			size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
3	0			
4	0			Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
	0 =	Total Cover		5
				Hydrophytic Vegetation
				Present? Yes No •
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	et.)			

* Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

Profile Desc	ription: (Descril	be to the depth	needed to document	the indicator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)
Depth		trix		lox Features		_
(inches)	Color (moi	st) %	Color (moist)	% Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture Remarks
0-6	10YR 2	2/2 100				Silt Loam
6-20	10YR 4	4/3 100				Silt Loam
	u			·		-
				·		
	. <u> </u>			·		
			. <u> </u>	·		
				·		
				·		
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		plotion PM-Pod	ucod Matrix CS-Covor	d or Costod Sand Cra		ation: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix
		pietion. Kivi–Keu				
Hydric Soil			□			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils : 3
Histosol (. ,		MLRA 149B)	v Surface (S8) (LRR R	1	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
	ipedon (A2)			ace (S9) (LRR R, MLR	A 149B)	Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black His	n Sulfide (A4)			Aineral (F1) LRR K, L)		5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
			Loamy Gleyed			Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L, M)
	Layers (A5) Bolow Dark Surfs	000 (111)	Depleted Matri			Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	Below Dark Surfa rk Surface (A12)	ice (ATT)	Redox Dark Su			Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
			Depleted Dark	. ,		Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
	uck Mineral (S1)		Redox Depress			Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
	eyed Matrix (S4)					Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Re						Red Parent Material (F21)
	Matrix (S6)					Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
	face (S7) (LRR R,					Other (Explain in Remarks)
³ Indicators o	f hydrophytic veg	etation and wetla	ind hydrology must be p	resent, unless disturb	ed or proble	lematic.
Restrictive L	ayer (if observe	ed):				
Туре:						
Depth (inc	ches):					Hydric Soil Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Remarks:						
Remarks.						