WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: RSA 22	City/County:	Aitkin	Samplii	Sampling Date: 02-Sep-17	
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		State: MN	Sampling Point:	u-51n23w28-e1	
Investigator(s): DPT	Section, T	ownship, Range: S. 28	T. 51N	R. 23W	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Mound	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	convex	Slope: <u>17.6</u> % / 10.0	
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	46 52.5160	Long.: -93	3 15.1517	Datum: NAD 83	
Soil Map Unit Name: 870C	<u>-</u>	1	WI classification:	N/A	
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing	problematic? sampling p	(If needed, explain oint locations, tra	•		
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?YesNo●Hydric Soil Present?YesNo●Wetland Hydrology Present?YesNo●		e Sampled Area n a Wetland? Yes	○ _{No} ●		
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate repo	ort.)				

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required)					
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)						
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)					
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)					
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)					
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)					
Sediment Deposits (B2)							
Drift deposits (B3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)					
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)					
	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)					
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)					
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)					
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-neutral Test (D5)					
Field Observations:							
Surface Water Present? Yes O No •	Depth (inches): 0						
Water Table Present? Yes O No •		drology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲					
Saturation Present? Yes O No O Depth (inches): 0		nd Hydrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:							
Remarks:							

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plai		Sampling Point: u-51n23w28-e1		
(51 × 1 20)	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1				That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: (B)
4				
5	0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0.0%(A/B)
6	0			
7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)	0 =	Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	0			OBL species x 1 =
1				FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
2				FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
3				FACU species 90 x 4 = 360
4				UPL species <u>10</u> x 5 = <u>50</u>
5				Column Totals:(A)(B)
67				
7		Total Cover		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.100$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)	=			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
1. Pteridium aquilinum	60		FACU	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
2. Eurybia macrophylla	10		UPL	Dominance Test is > 50%
3. Poa pratensis	10		FACU	Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
4. Rubus idaeus	10		FACU	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
5. Cirsium arvense	10		FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
6				
7				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
8				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
9				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
10				Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
11				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
12	0			
	100 =	Total Cover		Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30)				
1	0			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
2	0			size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
3				Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
4	0			height.
	=	Total Cover		
				Hydrophytic
				Vegetation
				Present? Yes O No •
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	et.)			

* Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

Profile Desci	Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth		Matrix			lox Featu			_	
(inches)	Color ((moist)		Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10YR	2/1	100					Sandy Loam	
7-20	10YR	4/4	100					Sand	
			-	·					
	2			·					
E	-		-	·					
				·					
	<u>.</u>		-						
1									
		D=Depletio	n. RM=Rec	luced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	a Sand Gra	iins ² Loca	ation: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil 1								Indicators for Problemati	c Hydric Soils: ³
Histosol (Polyvalue Belov MLRA 149B)	v Surface (S8) (LRR R	,	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K	(, L, MLRA 149B)
	ipedon (A2)			Thin Dark Surfa	I) (02) and		A 1/0R)	Coast Prairie Redox (A16	5) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Hist				Loamy Mucky N			K 1470)	5 cm Mucky Peat or Pea	t (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
	n Sulfide (A4))		Loamy Gleyed				Dark Surface (S7) (LRR	K, L, M)
_	Layers (A5)			Depleted Matrix				Polyvalue Below Surface	(S8) (LRR K, L)
	Below Dark		11)	Redox Dark Su				Thin Dark Surface (S9)	(LRR K, L)
	rk Surface (A			Depleted Dark		7)		Iron-Manganese Masses	(F12) (LRR K, L, R)
	uck Mineral (S			Redox Depress		()		Piedmont Floodplain Soil	s (F19) (MLRA 149B)
	eyed Matrix ((S4)						Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLF	RA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Re								Red Parent Material (F21	I)
	Matrix (S6)							Very Shallow Dark Surfa	ce (TF12)
Dark Surf	face (S7) (LR	R R, MLRA	(149B)					Other (Explain in Remarl	ks)
³ Indicators o	of hydrophytic	c vegetatio	n and wetla	and hydrology must be p	resent, un	less disturb	ed or probl	ematic.	
Restrictive L									
Type:	ayer (ii obs	erveu).							
••••	aboc).							Hydric Soil Present? Ye	es 🔿 No 🖲
Depth (inc	ines):								
Remarks:									