WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: RSA 22		City/County:	Aitkin	Sampli	ng Date: 05-Sep-17
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge			State: MN	Sampling Point:	u-51n23w27-b1
Investigator(s): DPT		Section, T	ownship, Range: S. 27	T. 51N	R. 23W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Shoulder slope		Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	convex	Slope: 8.7 % / 5.0 °
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	Lat.:	46 52.5982	Long.: -9:	3 14.9627	Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: 346				NWI classification:	N/A
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology Summary of Findings - Attach site m	ap showing	tly disturbed? problematic? sampling p	. , .	any answers in Re	emarks.)
Hydric Soil Present? Yes O No	, ● , ● , ●		e Sampled Area n a Wetland? Yes	○ _{No} ●	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or No digging, potential buried utilities. Road should	• •	ort.)			

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required	: check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (Infinitian of 2 required)	
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)	
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)	
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)	
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)	
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
Drift deposits (B3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)	
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		
	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)	
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)	
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-neutral Test (D5)	
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present? Yes O No •	Depth (inches): 0		
Water Table Present? Yes O No •		drology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes O No O	Depth (inches):0	drology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, moni	toring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available	ailable:	
Remarks:			

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

VEGETATION - OSE SCIENTIC Harries of plants Sampling Point: u-51n23w27-b1						
	Absolute		Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1				That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2				Total Number of Dominant		
3	0			Species Across All Strata:4(B)		
4	0					
5	0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>25.0%</u> (A/B)		
6				$\frac{112}{112} = \frac{123.076}{112} = \frac{123.076}{112$		
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:		
	0 =	Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)				OBL species x 1 =		
1				FACW species $0 \mathbf{x} 2 = 0$		
2	-			FAC speciles x 3 =60		
3	0			FACU species $50 \times 4 = 200$		
4	-			UPL species $30 \times 5 = 150$		
5	0			•		
6	0			Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A) <u>410</u> (B)		
7	0			Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.100$		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)	0 =	Total Cover		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
	-			Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
1. Eurybia macrophylla	30	\checkmark	UPL	Dominance Test is > 50%		
2. Pteridium aquilinum	20		FACU	$\square \text{ Prevalence Index is } \leq 3.0^{-1}$		
3. Taraxacum officinale	10		FACU	Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting		
4. Poa pratensis	20	\checkmark	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
5. Equisetum arvense	20	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
6	0					
7				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
8				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
9				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:		
10				Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter		
11				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.		
12						
		Total Cover		Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30)						
1	0			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of		
2	0			size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
3	0			Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in		
4	0			height.		
	0 =	Total Cover				
				Hydrophytic		
				Vegetation Present? Yes O No O		
-						
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	et.)					

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

Depth Matrix	Redox Features	_
(inches) Color (moist)	% Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture Remarks
		-
pe: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM	A=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains ² Loc	ation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix
dric Soil Indicators:		
		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils : 3
Histosol (A1)	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Epipedon (A2)	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Histic (A3)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) LRR K, L)	5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L, M)
Stratified Layers (A5)		Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy Muck Mineral (S1)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	 Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Redox Depressions (F8)	Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy Redox (S5)		Red Parent Material (F21)
Stripped Matrix (S6)		
Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149	B)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
		Uther (Explain in Remarks)
Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	d wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or prob	lematic.
estrictive Layer (if observed):		
Туре:		
Depth (inches):		Hydric Soil Present? Yes \bigcirc No $oldsymbol{igen}$
marks: digging, potential buried utilities.	Soils assumed non-hydric based on vegetation and hy	ydrology.