WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: RSA 22	City/County:	Aitkin	Sampling Date: 06-Sep-17				
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		State: MN	Sampling Point:	u-51n23w23-c4			
Investigator(s): DPT		Section, T	ownship, Range: S. 23	T. 51N	R. 23W		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Shoulder	slope	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	convex	Slope: 7.0 % / 4.0 °		
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	Lat.:	46 53.555	Long.: -93	3 13.3960	Datum: NAD 83		
Soil Map Unit Name: 928C			NWI classification: N/A				
Are Vegetation . , Soil . , or Hydro Summary of Findings - Attach sit	57 — 7	problematic? sampling p		any answers in Re ansects, impo	-		
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?YesHydric Soil Present?YesWetland Hydrology Present?Yes	No (©) No (©) No (©)		e Sampled Area n a Wetland? Yes	○ _{No} ●			
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures he	ere or in a separate repo	ort.)					

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicat	tors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required)
Primary Indicators (minim		equired;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)		Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)	
High Water Table (A2)		Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)	
Saturation (A3)		Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)	
Water Marks (B1)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Root	
Drift deposits (B3)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6	
Iron Deposits (B5)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aeri	al Imagery (B)	7)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Conca	0,0,1		U Other (Explain in Remarks)	FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	$_{\rm Yes} \bigcirc $	No 🖲	Depth (inches):0	
Water Table Present?	$_{\rm Yes} \bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches): 0	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Data and the sent: $V_{OC}()$ No (\bullet) Donth (inclos): 0			Netland Hydrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Describe Recorded Data (s	tream gauge	, monito	ring well, aerial photos, previous inspect	ions), if available:
Remarks:				

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

VEGETATION - Ose scientific names of pla	Sampling Point: u-51n23w23-c4			
	Absolute		Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>30</u>)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1	0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0(A)
2	0			
3	0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
4			-	
5				Percent of dominant Species
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)				$\frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}$
1	0			
2		\Box		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
3		\Box		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
4	_	\Box		FACU species 110 x 4 = 440
5	-			UPL species x 5 =
6				Column Totals:(A)(B)
				Dravalance Index D/A 4 000
7		Total Cover		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.000$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)		- Total Cover		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
1 Pteridium aguilinum	100	\checkmark	FACU	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
			FACU	Dominance Test is > 50%
			TACO	Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0 1
3				Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
4				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
6				1
7	0			¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
8	0			
9	0			Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
10	0			Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
11	0			at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
12				Carling/about Wasdurglants lass than 2 in DDU and
		Total Cover	-	Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30)				
1	0			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
2	0			size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
3	0			Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
4	0			height.
	0 =	Total Cover		
				Hydrophytic
				Vegetation Present? Yes O No O
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	et.)			

*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

Depth		Matrix	ale depui		lox Featu			absence of indicators.)		
(inches)	Color (%	Color (moist)	lox Featu %	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
0-3	10YR	2/2	100					Sandy Loam		
3-12	10YR	4/3	100					Loamy Sand		
12-20										
12-20	7.5YR	3/4	100		8			Sand		
		u						·		
		u								
			_							
		10 10			8					
Type: C=Con	centration. D	=Depletic	on. RM=Red	uced Matrix, CS=Covere	d or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ins ² Loca	ation: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil 1	Indicators:							Indicators for Problema	tic Hydric Soils : ³	
Histosol ((A1)			Polyvalue Belov	v Surface (S8) (LRR R	,	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR		
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)			,	MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)			Coast Prairie Redox (A	· · ·	
Black Hist							A 149B)	\Box 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)		
	n Sulfide (A4)			Loamy Mucky M				Dark Surface (S7) (LRF		
	Layers (A5)			Depleted Matrix				Polyvalue Below Surface	e (S8) (LRR K, L)	
	Below Dark S		.11)	Redox Dark Su				Thin Dark Surface (S9)	(LRR K, L)	
_	k Surface (A1			Depleted Dark		7)		Iron-Manganese Masse	es (F12) (LRR K, L, R)	
				Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)						
-	ndy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)						
	Matrix (S6)							Red Parent Material (F:		
	face (S7) (LRF		149R)					Very Shallow Dark Surf		
								Other (Explain in Rema	arks)	
			on and wetla	nd hydrology must be p	resent, un	less disturb	ed or proble	ematic.		
Restrictive L	ayer (if obs	erved):								
Туре:								Hydric Soil Present?	res 🔿 No 🖲	
Depth (inc	:hes):									
Remarks:										