SPP Project/Site:	Cit	Aitkin ty/County:		Sampling	2015-06-27 Date:			
Enbridge Applicant/Owner:			Minnesota State:		AI2C5158b1W Point:			
BEH/KAT Investigator(s):	-	S	ection, Township, Range: _					
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):				CC onvex, none): -93.24253781 ngitude:	0-2 Slope (%): Minnesota State			
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): 1983 Soil Map Unit Name:					Datum: PEM5B fication:			
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on	the site typica	al for this time of ve	ar? (if no, explain in Rema	rks):	Yes			
Are Vegetation, Soil, or								
No No No Are Vegetation, Soil, or H								
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach	ite map show	ving sampling point	locations, transects, impo	ortant features, etc.				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	drophytic Vegetation Present?		Is the Sampled Area					
Hydric Soil Present?	·	Yes	within a Wetland?	Ves				
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Y	ſes	If yes, optional Wetland	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:				
Wet meadow dominated by Canada	bidejoint and	lussock seuge.						
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators:					rs (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one i	(B7) (B8) <u>No</u>	Water-Stained Le Aquatic Fauna (B: Marl Deposits (B1 Hydrogen Sulfide Oxidized Rhizospl Presence of Redu Recent Iron Redu Thin Muck Surfac Other (Explain in Depth (inche Depth (inche	13)         15)         Odor (C1)         heres on Living Roots (C3)         ccd Iron (C4)         ction in Tilled Soils (C6)         e (C7)         Remarks)         25)	Stunted/Stress <u>Yes</u> Geomorphic P     Shallow Aquita     Microtopogray <u>Yes</u> FAC-Neutral T	erns (B10) es (B16) /ater Table (C2) ws (C8) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) sed Plants (D1) osition (D2) ard (D3) ohic Relief (D4) est (D5)			
Remarks: A high water table was observed 7" b	elow the soil s	surface.						

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - North Central and Northeast Region

## VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: AI2C5158...

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tree Stratum (Plot Size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	
1				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: $\frac{3}{2}$ (A)	
2				Total Number of Dominant	
2				3	
3				Species Across All Strata: (B)	
4.				Percent of Dominant Species	
				100	
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)	
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:	
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
	0	= Total Cover		OBL species 60.00 x 1 60	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot Size: 15')				FACW species 43.00 x 2 86	
Spiraea alba	20.00	Vec			
1. Spiraca alba	20.00	Yes	FACW		
2. Saix petiolaris	3.00	No	FACW	UPL species 0.00 x 4	
3			_	Column Totals <u>103</u> (A) <u>146</u> (B)	
4				Prevalence Index = $B/A = \frac{1.4174757}{1.4174757}$	
5				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
6		_	_	<u>yes</u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
7				<u>yes</u> 2 - Dominance Test is > 50%	
7	22			$\frac{yes}{yes} = 3 - Prevalence Index is < 3.01$	
	23	_ = Total Cover			
Herb Stratum (Plot Size: 5')				4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
1. Calamagrostis canadensis	35.00	Yes	OBL	–	
2. Carex stricta	25.00	Yes	OBL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)	
3. Spiraea alba	10.00	No	FACW	1	
4 Phalaris arundinacea	10.00	No	FACW	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
5					
				_ Definitions of Vegetation Strata:	
6				-	
7				<b>Tree</b> - Woody plants 3 in. (.76 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.	
8					
9				Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than	
10				or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.	
				<ul> <li>Herb - All herbaeceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and</li> </ul>	
11				woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.	
12				-	
	80	_ = Total Cover		Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot Size:)					
1				_	
2.				Hydrophytic	
3.				Vegetation	
	·			Present?	
4				-	
	0	_=Total Cover			
Remarks: (include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet					
The sample point is dominated by Canada bluejoint and tussoc	k sedge. The shrub	layer is dominate	d by meadowswee	t	

SOIL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)									
Depth (inches)			olor (moist)	Redox Features pist) % Type <sup>1</sup> Lu			Texture	Remarks	
0-21	10YR 2 1	100					M		
		·							
		·							
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concent	tration, D=Depletion, RM=I	Reduced Matrix, M	S=Masked Sand Gr	ains.			<sup>2</sup> Lo	cation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil Indicators:						Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soil <sup>3</sup> :			
Histosol (A	1)		Polyvalue Below <b>149B)</b>	Surface (S8)	(LRR R,	MLRA	2 cm Muck (A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MI</b>	RA 149B)	
Histic Epip	edon (A2)		Thin Dark Surfac	e (S9) <b>(LRR R</b>	, MLRA	149B)	Coast Prairie Redox (A16)(LRR	K, L, R)	
Black Histi	c (A3)		Loamy Mucky M	ineral (F1) <b>(L</b>	.RR K, L)		5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3)	(LRR K, L, R)	
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed N	latrix (F2)			Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, M)		
Stratified L	ayers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (	LRR K, L)	
Depleted E	Below Dark Surface (A11)		Redox Dark Surfa	ace (F6)			Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, I	-)	
Thick Dark	Surface (A12)		Depleted Dark S	urface (F7)			Iron-Maganese Masses (F12) (	LRR K, L, R)	
Sandy Mud	cky Mineral (S1)		Redox Depressio	ons (F8)			Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19	) (MLRA 149B)	
Sandy Gley	ved Matrix (S4)						Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144	A, 145, 149B)	
Sandy Red	ox (S5)						Red Parent Material (F21)		
Stripped N	1atrix (S6)						Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF	12)	
Dark Surfa	ce (S7) <b>(LRR R, MLRA 149B</b>	)					Other (explain in remarks)		
Restrictive Layer (	if observed):								
Туре:					Hydric Soil Present? Yes				
	nches):						,		
Remarks:									
Soil is comprised	of black muck; the profile	neets hydric soil ir	dicator A1- Histoso	ol.					