WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: RSA 22	City/County:	Aitkin	Samplii	Sampling Date: 19-Aug-17	
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge			State: MN	Sampling Point:	AI027a20W
Investigator(s): DPT/SMR		Section, T	ownship, Range: S. 32	T. 51N	R. 26W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Lowland	Local relief (c	oncave, convex, none):	concave	Slope: 0.0 % / 0.0 °
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	Lat.	46 51.9939	Long.: -93	3 40.5225	Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: 502				WI classification:	N/A
Are Vegetation , Soil Are Vegetation , Soil Summary of Findings - At Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	, or Hydrology 🗌 naturally	ntly disturbed? / problematic? sampling p		any answers in Re	-
Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes No Yes No 		e Sampled Area n a Wetland? Yes	● _{No} ○	
Remarks: (Explain alternative pro WETS analysis shows precipitation		port.)			

Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of 2 required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
✓ High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes No	Depth (inches): <u>6</u>			
Water Table Present? Yes No	Depth (inches): 0	Irology Present? Yes 🖲 No 🔿		
Saturation Present? Yes No	Depth (inches): 0	irology Present? Yes • No 🔾		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monito	ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if ava	ilable:		
Remarks:				

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plan	Sampling Point: Al027a20W			
	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1				That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3	0			Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
4	0			
5	0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
6	0			
7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15)	0 =	Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	F			OBL species40 x 1 =40
1. Salix petiolaris	<u>5</u> 0		FACW	FACW species <u>65</u> x 2 = <u>130</u>
2				FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
3				FACU species $0 \times 4 = 0$
4				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
5				Column Totals: <u>105</u> (A) <u>170</u> (B)
6				
7				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.619$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)	5 =	Total Cover		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	60	\checkmark	FACW	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
	30	\checkmark	OBL	✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
	10		OBL	✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 1
0				Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
4	0			data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
6				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
7				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
8				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
9				
10				Tree - Woody plants, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
11				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
12				Sapling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30)	100 =	Total Cover		greater than 3.28 ft (1m) tall
 1	0			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of
2	0			size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
3	0			Mission Allowed to its structure that 0.00 ft is
3	0			Woody vine - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
т	0 =	Total Cover		
				Hydrophytic
				Vegetation Present? Yes • No ·
				Present!
Percenter / Testada ata in di				
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	et.)			

* Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS.

US Army Corps of Engineers

			ale depui					absence of indicators.)	
Depth <u>Matrix</u> (inches) Color (moist)		%	Redox Features Color (moist) % Type 1			Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
0-9	10YR	2/1	100					Muck	
9-20	10YR	4/1	90	4	/6 _10	C		Clay Loam	·
Type: C=Con	centration. D)=Depletic	on. RM=Red	uced Matrix, CS=0	overed or Coat	ed Sand Gr	ains ² Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=N	latrix
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)			 Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) LRR K, L) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Depleted Matrix (F3) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Redox Depressions (F8) 				Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils : 3 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L, M) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Red Parent Material (F21) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks)		
Type:			on and wetta	nd hydrology mus	t be present, ur				0.0
Depth (incl	hes):							Hydric Soil Present?	Yes $ullet$ No $igcap$
Remarks:									