WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Sandpiper	City/County:	Wadena	Sampling Date	: <u>09/13/2014</u>	
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		State: MN	Sampling F	Point: WA017a1W	
Investigator(s): DPT		Section, Tow	nship, Range:		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Depression	Lo	cal relief (cond	cave, convex, none):	Concave/Concave	
Slope (%): 0 Lat.:	Long.:	Datum:			
Soil Map Unit Name		<u> </u>	NWI Classification:		
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical	for this time of the year	r? (If no, explain in remark	ks)	
Are vegetation , soil , or hydrolo	ogy significant	ly disturbed?	Are "normal		
Are vegetation , soil , or hydrolo	ogy naturally p	roblematic?	circumstances	present? Yes	
(If needed, explain any answers in remarks)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS					
Hydrophytic vegetation present? Y	Is the sample	d area within	a wetland?	Υ	
Hydric soil present?	- '				
Indicators of wetland hydrology present?	If yes, optional	I wetland site II	D: WA017a ²	1W	
	, 555, 55				
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)					
PSS - Type 6, shrub-carr					
1 35 - Type 6, Siliab-call					
HYDROLOGY					
			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; ch	eck all that apply)		equired)	Thirm of two	
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Drainage Patterns (B10)		
	Marl Deposits (B15)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
 · · · · · · · · · ·	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
	Roots (C3)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery		
	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		(C9)		
	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
	Soils (C6)		X Geomorphic Position (D2)		
	in Muck Surface (C7)	_	Shallow Aquitard (D		
			X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Surface (B8)	,	_	Microtopographic R	,	
		_		,	
Field Observations:					
Surface water present? Yes No	X Depth (inches)		Indicators of		
Water table present? Yes X No	Depth (inches)		wetland		
Saturation present? Yes X No	Depth (inches)): 6	hydrology		
(includes capillary fringe)			present?	<u>Y</u>	
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:					
Domosko					
Remarks:					

SOIL WA017a1W **Sampling Point:** Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Depth Matrix Redox Features Texture Remarks (Inches) Color (moist) % Loc** Color (moist) % Type* 0-4 10YR 3/2 100 Loamy sand 4-20 10YR 4/2 95 10YR 4/6 5 С М Sand *Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains *Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix **Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:** 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B Histisol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface Histic Epipedon (A2) (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) (LRR R, MLRA 149B Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) Depleted Below Dark Suface (A11) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Matrix (F3) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) X Sandy Redox (S5) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Stripped Matrix (S6) Redox Depressions (F8) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA Other (Explain in Remarks) 149B) *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and weltand hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic Restrictive Layer (if observed): Hydric soil present? Y Depth (inches): Remarks: