WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Sandpiper	City/County:	Wadena	Sampling Date: 09/13/2014	
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		State: MN	Sampling Point: WA017a1U	
Investigator(s): DPT		Section, Township		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Rise	Lo	ocal relief (concave,	convex, none): Convex/linear	
Slope (%): 1 Lat.:	Long.:	Datum:		
Soil Map Unit Name			Classification:	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site type		r? (If no.	, explain in remarks)	
Are vegetation, soil, or hyd		tly disturbed?	Are "normal	
Are vegetation, soil, or hyd	Irologynaturally p	problematic?	circumstances" present? Yes	
(If needed, explain any answers in remarks)				
CHMMARY OF FINDINGS				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS				
Hydrophytic vegetation present?	N Is the sample	ed area within a we	etland? N	
		cu alea witiiii a we	itialiu: N	
		l watland site ID:		
Indicators of wetland hydrology present? N If yes, optional wetland site ID:				
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)				
(— 				
HYDROLOGY				
		Secoi	ndary Indicators (minimum of two	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required	; check all that apply)	requir	red)	
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	S	urface Soil Cracks (B6)	
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Drainage Patterns (B10)	
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)		loss Trim Lines (B16)	
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		ry-Season Water Table (C2)	
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on I		rayfish Burrows (C8)	
Drift Deposits (B3)	Roots (C3)		aturation Visible on Aerial Imagery	
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (· · · — ·	C9)	
Iron Deposits (B5)	Recent Iron Reduction in Ti		tunted or Stressed Plants (D1)	
Inundation Visible on Aerial	Soils (C6)		eeomorphic Position (D2)	
Imagery (B7)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		hallow Aquitard (D3)	
Sparsely Vegetated Concave	Other (Explain in Remarks)		AC-Neutral Test (D5)	
Surface (B8)		M	licrotopographic Relief (D4)	
Field Observations:		Т		
	lo X Depth (inches	.).	Indicators of	
	No X Depth (inches		wetland	
· ——	No X Depth (inches		hydrology	
(includes capillary fringe)	Dopan (monoc	,,	present? N	
(morados capinar) miligo)			<u> </u>	
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:				
Remarks:				

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet)

SOIL WA017a1U **Sampling Point:** Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Depth Matrix Redox Features Texture Remarks (Inches) Color (moist) % Loc** Color (moist) Type* 10 YR 3/3 100 8 Loamy sand 10 YR 4/4 20 100 Sand *Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains *Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix **Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:** 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B Histisol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface Histic Epipedon (A2) (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) (LRR R, MLRA 149B Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) Depleted Below Dark Suface (A11) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Matrix (F3) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) Sandy Redox (S5) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Stripped Matrix (S6) Redox Depressions (F8) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA Other (Explain in Remarks) 149B) *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and weltand hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Hydric soil present? N Depth (inches): Remarks: