## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: SPP	City/County: Wadena	Sampling Date: 9/8/2014
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge	State:	
Investigator(s): BJC/RAJ		Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain		concave, convex, none <u>CL</u>
Slope (%): 0 - 2% Lat.: 46.79429	Long.: -94.87481 Datu	
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>1968</u> Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical f	or this time of the year?	NWI Classification: R2UBH
Are vegetation , soil , or hydrologic		
Are vegetation, soil, or hydrol		
(If needed, explain any answers in remarks)		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS		
Hydrophytic vegetation present? Y	Is the sampled area wi	thin a wetland? Y
Hydric soil present? Y		
Indicators of wetland hydrology present? Y	If yes, optional wetland s	site ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in	a concrete report )	
The wetland is a floodplain forest dominated	• • •	dae. It is located in a floodplain
between the Crow Wing River and a mesic for	, .	•
soils are naturally problematic. All parameter		• • • •
HYDROLOGY		
		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; cheo	ck all that apply)	required)
	ater-Stained Leaves (B9)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	uatic Fauna (B13)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
	arl Deposits (B15)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
	drogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
	didized Rhizospheres on Living	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
	pots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
	esence of Reduced Iron (C4)	(C9) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
	cent Iron Reduction in Tilled ils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
	in Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
	her (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Surface (B8)	()	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
		_ ```
Field Observations:		In Product of
Surface water present? Yes	Depth (inches):	Indicators of
Water table present?YesSaturation present?Yes	Depth (inches): 14 Depth (inches): 12	wetland hydrology
(includes capillary fringe)	Deptil (inches). 12	present? Y
(moldee oupmary mige)		
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring w	ell, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:
Remarks:		
Water-stained leaves were observed in oth	er areas of the wetland. Indi	cators of wetland hydrology are
present.		
1		

<b>VEGETATION</b> - Use scientific names of plants	Sampling Point: WA006b1W				
				50/20 Thresholds	
Tree Stratum Plot Size ( 30 ft )	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	20% 50%	
Thee Stratum Phot Size ( 30 ft )	% Cover	Species	Status	Tree Stratum 18 45	
1 Fraixingusopernisylvanica	70	Y	FACW	Sapling/Shrub Stratum 15 38	
2 <b>QUEREUSTRIACTOCA</b> TDA	20	Y	FACU	Herb Stratum 20 49	
3	20	· · ·	17.00	Woody Vine Stratum 0 0	
4					
5				Dominance Test Worksheet	
6				Number of Dominant	
7				Species that are OBL,	
8				FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)	
9					
10				Total Number of Dominant	
		Trillo		Species Across all Strata: 7 (B)	
	90 =	<ul> <li>Total Cover</li> </ul>		Percent of Dominant	
				Species that are OBL,	
Sapling/Shrub	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	FACW, or FAC: 71.43% (A/B)	
Stratum Plot Size ( 15 ft )	% Cover	Species	Status		
1	20	Ϋ́		Drevelance Index Werkeheet	
	30		FACW	Prevalence Index Worksheet	
	30	Y	FACU	Total % Cover of:	
3 <u>Eximitis garennosa</u>	15	Υ	FAC	OBL species <u>96</u> x 1 = <u>96</u>	
4				FACW species 102 x 2 = 204	
5				FAC species 15 x 3 = 45	
6				FACU species 50 x 4 = 200	
7				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$	
8				Column totals 263 (A) 545 (B)	
9				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.07$	
10					
	75 =	Total Cover			
			F	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation	
Herb Stratum Plot Size (5 ft)	% Cover	Species	Status	X Dominance test is >50%	
1 (Drow stription to		•	OBL	X Prevalence index is ≤3.0*	
1 Carexistificta	40	<u>Y</u>			
2 Rtaamususheitiviifolia	40	Y	OBL	Morphological adaptations* (provide	
3 Carexdacustris	10	N	OBL	supporting data in Remarks or on a	
4 Iris versionolor	5	<u>N</u>	OBL	separate sheet)	
5 Campanulaaparinasides	1	<u> </u>	OBL	Problematic hydrophytic vegetation*	
6 Antennonescanadensis	1	N	FACW	(explain)	
7 Lathynuspalustris	1	<u> </u>	FACW	*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be	
8				present, unless disturbed or problematic	
9					
10				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:	
11				-	
12				Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at	
13				breast height (DBH), regardless of height.	
14				Continue Weady playte to a them the DDU	
15				<b>Sapling/shrub</b> - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.	
`` <b> </b>	98 =	Total Cover		groater than 5.20 it (1 iii) tall.	
Woody Vine				Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of	
	Aberlat	Deminent	المراجعة المراجع	size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.	
Stratum Plot Size ( 30 ft )	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator		
	% Cover	Species	Status	Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in	
1				height.	
2					
3					
4				Hydrophytic	
5					
· ·		Total Course		vegetation	
	=	<ul> <li>Total Cover</li> </ul>		present? Y	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separat					
The wetland sample point is dominated by g				-	
buckthorn in the ground layer. The wetland i	s a floodplai	n forest con	nmunity. Hydro	ophytic vegetation is present.	

SOIL								Samp	ling Point:	WA006b1W
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)										
Depth		Matrix		•	Redox F					
(ln.)	Color	(moist)	%	Color (m	oist)	%	Type*	Loc**	Texture	Remarks
0-8	Hue_10YR	2/1	100	、 、	,				М	Sapric
8-9	Hue_10YR	3/4	100						MMI	Silty clay mineral component
9-11	Hue_10YR	6/2	60						LS	
9-11	Hue_10YR	2/1	40						LS	Organic streaking
11-18	Hue_10YR	7/2	100						S	
									-	
*Type: (	C=Concentra	ation. D=De	epletion	, RM=Reduced	Matrix. C	S=Cov	ered or Co	ated San	d Grains	
	ion: PL=Pore									
Hydric	Soil Indicat	ors:						Indicat	ors for Pro	blematic Hydric Soils:
Histosol (A1)       Polyvalue Below Surface         Histic Epipedon (A2)       (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)         Black Histic (A3)       Thin Dark Surface (S9)         Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)       (LRR R, MLRA 149B)         Stratified Layers (A5)       Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)         Depleted Below Dark Surface (A12)       Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)         Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)       Depleted Matrix (S4)         Sandy Redox (S5)       Redox Dark Surface (F6)         Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA         Pelpted Matrix (S6)       Depleted Dark Surface (F7)         Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA								Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) eat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) S7) (LRR K, L w Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) ace (S9) (LRR K, L) e Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) dplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) taterial (F21) Dark Surface (TF12) in Remarks)		
Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches):						Hydric soil present? Y				
The soil profile nearly meets indicators A2 and A3, except for the 1-inch brown mucky mineral layer below the top layer. This is due to a soil anomaly and can reasonably be ignored in these floodplain soils. As fluvial deposits in a floodplain, the soils are naturally problematic.										