WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - North Central and Northeast Region

SPP Project/Site:	С	Wadena ity/County:		2015-07-20 Sampling Date:		
Enbridge Applicant/Owner:			Minnesota State:		A002c1U	
ACM/ Investigator(s):		Sec	ction, Township, Range:			
	Rise		Local Relief (concave, o	Conve convex, none): Slo		
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):		Latitude:	6.7961451644 Lo	-94.91300283 ongitude: Datum	Minnesota State	
Soil Map Unit Name:				NWI Classification:		
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions	on the site typic	al for this time of year	r? (if no, explain in Rema	arks):	es	
Are Vegetation No	Or Hydrology	o significantly distur	rbed? Are "Normal Circi	Yes		
Are Vegetation No No No No , or	No					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attac	:h site map sho	wing sampling point lo	ocations, transects, imp	ortant features, etc.		
No Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?		No	Is the Sampled Area			
Hydric Soil Present?		No	within a Wetland?	No 		
No.		No	If yes, optional Wetlan	nd Site ID:	 D:	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Remarks: (Explain alternative proc	edures here or	n a senarate report)	1 ' ' '			
HYDROLOGY					_	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				Secondary Indicators (minim	um of two required)	
	e is required: cl	neck all that annly)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all the Surface Water (A1) Wate		Water-Stained Leav	res (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Water Table (A2)		Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
		Marl Deposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)		Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted/Stressed Plants (D1)		
			on in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
		Thin Muck Surface (Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
		Other (Explain in Re		Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surfa			•	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	,	
Field Observations:		1				
Surface Water Present?	No	Depth (inches)	İ		
Water Table Present?	No	Depth (inches)	İ		
Saturation Present?	No	Depth (inches)	Wetland Hydrology Present?	<u>No</u>	
(includes capillary fringe)						
Describe Recorded Data (stream ga	iuge, monitorin	g well, aerial photos, p	previous inspections), if a	available:		
Remarks:						
	v were observed	4				
No indicators of wetland hydrology	y were observed	J.				

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
ree Stratum (Plot Size: 30 ft)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
Populus tremuloides	85.00	Yes	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: $\frac{1}{}$ (A)
				Total Number of Dominant
			_	6
	•			Species Across All Strata: (B)
				Percent of Dominant Species
				16.6666666666 That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
				Prevalence Index worksheet:
				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	85	= Total Cover		OBL species 10.00 x 1 10
oling/Shrub Stratum (Plot Size: 15 ft)		_		FACW species 0.00 x 2 0
Quercus rubra	5.00	Yes	FACU	FACU species 85.00 x 3 244
Corylus cornuta	5.00	Yes	FACU	UPL species 50.00 x 4 250
Prunus virginiana	5.00	Yes	FACU	Column Totals 206 (A) 759 (B)
Quercus macrocarpa	2.00	No	FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.6844660
				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		_		no 2 - Dominance Test is > 50%
	17	_ = Total Cover		<u>no</u> 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$
rb Stratum (Plot Size: 15 ft)				4 - Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide
Carex pennsylvanica	50.00	Yes		supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
Aralia nudicaulis	25.00	Yes	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Carex stricta	10.00	No	OBL	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless
Maianthemum canadense	10.00	No	FACU	disturbed or problematic.
Quercus macrocarpa	5.00	<u>No</u>	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
Poa pratensis	2.00	No No	FACU	_
Parthenocissus quinquefolia	2.00	<u>No</u>	FACU	Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (.76 cm) or more in diameter at breast
				height (DBH), regardless of height. —
				Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than
	·			or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
	·			Herb - All herbaeceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and
	·			woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
	104	_ = Total Cover		Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
oody Vine Stratum (Plot Size: 30 ft)				
				_
	_			Hydrophytic
				Vegetation Present?
			_	_
	0	=Total Cover	_	_

Sampling Point: WA002c1U SOIL Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) **Redox Features** Type¹ Loc² (inches) Color (moist) % Color (moist) Texture Remarks 0-6 10YR 2 1 100 6-10 10YR 4 2 100 ls loamy fine sand 10-24 10YR 2 1 100 scl ¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soil³: **Hydric Soil Indicators:** Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Histosol (A1) Coast Prairie Redox (A16)(LRR K, L, R) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Histic Epipedon (A2) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Black Histic (A3) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, M) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Matrix (F3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Iron-Maganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Red Parent Material (F21) Sandy Redox (S5) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Stripped Matrix (S6)

Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)

The soils are silt loam over fine loamy sand over sandy clay loam with no hydric soil indicators.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Depth (inches):

Type:

Remarks:

Other (explain in remarks)

Hydric Soil Present? No