WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - North Central and Northeast Region

| Project/Site: SPP | City/0 | County: Hubbard | | Sampling Date: 2016-07-22 | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Applicant/Owner: Enbridge | | | State: Minnesota | Samp | ling Point: u-143n35w33-ad1 | |
| Investigator(s): ZCW | | Section, Townshi | p, Range: <u>S 33, T 143</u> | IN, R 35W | | |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Shou | ılder | | Local Relief (concave | e, convex, none): VL | Slope (%): 3-7% | |
| Subregion (LRR or MLRA): | | Latitude: 47 | 7.1556519484 | Longitude: -95.13027006. | Datum: NAD83 | |
| Soil Map Unit Name: 526C | | | | NWI C | lassification: N/A | |
| Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on t | he site typical fo | or this time of vear | ? (if no. explain in Re | | Yes | |
| Are Vegetation No , Soil No , or H | ydrology <u>No</u> s | significantly disturb | ped? Are "Normal Cir | rcumstances" present? Yes | _ | |
| Are Vegetation No , Soil No , or Hyd | <u></u> | | | | | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach si | No | | | - | | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? | No. | _ | Is the Sampled Area | 1 | No | |
| Hydric Soil Present? | No. | _ | within a Wetland? | land Sito ID: | <u>No</u> | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu | | | If yes, optional Wetl | iand site ib. | | |
| | | | | | | |
| HYDROLOGY | | | | | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Indicators: | | | | Secondary India | cators (minimum of two required) | |
| Primary Indicators (minimum of one is | required; check | all that apply) | | Surface S | Soil Cracks (B6) | |
| Surface Water (A1) | | Water-Stained Leave | es (B9) | Drainage | Drainage Patterns (B10) | |
| High Water Table (A2) | | | | Moss Trir | m Lines (B16) | |
| Saturation (A3) | | | | Dry-Seaso | on Water Table (C2) | |
| Water Marks (B1) | | | or (C1) | Crayfish B | Surrows (C8) | |
| Sediment Deposits (B2) | Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhi. | | es on Living Roots (C3) | Saturation | n Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) | |
| Drift Deposits (B3) Preser | | Presence of Reduced | I Iron (C4) | Stunted/S | stressed Plants (D1) | |
| Algal Mat or Crust (B4) | | Recent Iron Reduction | on in Tilled Soils (C6) | Geomorp | hic Position (D2) | |
| Iron Deposits (B5) Thi | | Thin Muck Surface (0 | 27) | Shallow A | quitard (D3) | |
| Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) | | Other (Explain in Rer | marks) | Microtopo | Microtopographic Relief (D4) | |
| Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B | 8) | | | FAC-Neut | ral Test (D5) | |
| Field Observations: | | | | | | |
| Surface Water Present? | <u>No</u> | Depth (inches) | | | | |
| Water Table Present? | <u>No</u> | Depth (inches) | | | | |
| Saturation Present? | <u>No</u> | Depth (inches) | | Wetland Hydrology | Present? <u>No</u> | |
| (includes capillary fringe) | | | | | | |
| Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge | , monitoring we | ll, aerial photos, p | revious inspections), | if available: | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | Absolute | Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test worksheet: |
|--|----------|-----------------|-----------|---|
| Tree Stratum (Plot Size: 30 | % Cover | Species? | Status | Number of Dominant Species |
| 1 | | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A) |
| 2. | | _ | | Total Number of Dominant |
| 3. | | | | Species Across All Strata: 2 (B) |
| | | | | Percent of Dominant Species |
| | | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50 (A/B) |
| | | _ | _ | |
| 6 | | - | | Prevalence Index worksheet: |
| 7 | | | | Total % Cover of: Multiply by: |
| | 0 | _ = Total Cover | | OBL species <u>0.00</u> x 1 <u>0</u> |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot Size: 15 | | | | FACW species <u>0.00</u> x 2 <u>0</u> |
| 1 | | _ | _ | FACU species x 3 60 |
| 2 | | _ | | UPL species <u>0.00</u> x 4 <u>0</u> |
| 3. | | | | Column Totals 30 (A) 105 (B) |
| 4. | | | | Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.5 |
| 5. | | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: |
| | | _ | - | |
| | | - | | 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation |
| 7 | | | _ | no 2 - Dominance Test is > 50% |
| | 0 | _ = Total Cover | | no 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ¹ |
| Herb Stratum (Plot Size: 5 | | | | 4 - Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide |
| 1. Plantago major | 15.00 | Yes | FAC | supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) |
| 2. Poa pratensis | 10.00 | Yes | FACU | Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) |
| 3. Solidago canadensis | 5.00 | No | FACU | 1 |
| 4 | | | | Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. |
| 5. | | | | Definitions of Vegetation Strata: |
| | - | | | |
| | | | _ | Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (.76 cm) or more in diameter at breast |
| 7 | | | | height (DBH), regardless of height. |
| 8 | | | | - |
| 9 | | _ | | Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. |
| 10 | | | | of equal to 3.20 ft (1 fff) tall. |
| 11. | | | | Herb - All herbaeceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and |
| 12. | | | | woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. |
| | 30 | - Total Cover | | Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height. |
|) | 30 | = Total Cover | | woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.20 ft in neight. |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot Size: 30) | | | | |
| 1 | | | _ · | - |
| 2 | | | | Hydrophytic |
| 3 | | | | Vegetation No No |
| 4. | | | | |
| | 0 | =Total Cover | | |
| Remarks: (include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet | | | | |
| nemarks. (include prioto numbers here of on a separate sheet | , | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Sampling Point: u-143n35... **SOIL** Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Depth Matrix **Redox Features** Loc² (inches) Color (moist) Color (moist) % Type¹ Texture Remarks ¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soil³: Hydric Soil Indicators: Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA Histosol (A1) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Histic Epipedon (A2) Coast Prairie Redox (A16)(LRR K, L, R) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, M) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Matrix (F3) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Iron-Maganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Depressions (F8) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Sandy Redox (S5) Red Parent Material (F21) Stripped Matrix (S6) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Other (explain in remarks) Restrictive Layer (if observed): Hydric Soil Present? No Depth (inches): Remarks: Sample point taken along existing forest road. No digging.

Site Photograph 1 Sampling Point: u-143n35w33-ad1



| Latitude: 47.1557259606637 | Cowardin Classification: | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Longitude: -95.130262188702 | Circular 39: | | | |
| Direction: Northwest | Eggers & Reed: | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Site Photograph 2 Sampling Point: u-143n35w33-ad1



| atitude: 47.1556782257251 | Cowardin Classification: |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| ongitude: -95.1302816347174 | Circular 39: |
| ction: Southeast | Eggers & Reed: |
| arks: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |