WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: SPP	City/County: Clearwater	Sampling Date: 5/29/2014				
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge	State: N	MN Sampling Point: CLC5077ae2W				
Investigator(s): EAB/RAJ		Township, Range:				
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Depression	,	concave, convex, none): CC				
Slope (%): <u>0 - 2%</u> Lat.: <u>47.409479</u>	_Long.: <u>-95.267092</u> Datu					
Soil Map Unit Name: 40C	for the contract the contract	NWI Classification:				
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical Are vegetation , soil , or hydro		(If no, explain in remarks)				
Are vegetation, soil, or hydro (If needed, explain any answers in remarks)	naturally problematic	c? circumstances" present?				
(II needed, explain any answers in remarks)						
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS						
Hydrophytic vegetation present? Y	Is the sampled area wit	thin a wetland? Y				
Hydric soil present?	_					
Indicators of wetland hydrology present? Y	If yes, optional wetland s	site ID:				
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in	a congrete report					
		a area is adjacent to a small strip of				
The wetland community is a shallow marsh	dominated by take sedge. Th	le area is adjacent to a small strip of				
wet black ash forest.						
HYDROLOGY						
		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; che	eck all that apply)	required)				
	ater-Stained Leaves (B9)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
	quatic Fauna (B13)	Drainage Patterns (B10)				
	drogen Sulfide Odor (C1) discontinuous de la companyation (C1)	□ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)□ Crayfish Burrows (C8)				
	ving Roots (C3)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery				
	resence of Reduced Iron (C4)	(C9)				
	ecent Iron Reduction in Tilled	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)				
	pils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)				
	nin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
	ther (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)				
Surface (B8)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:						
Surface water present? Yes ✓	Depth (inches): 18	Indicators of				
Water table present? Yes	Depth (inches): 0	wetland				
Saturation present? Yes	Depth (inches): 0	hydrology				
(includes capillary fringe)		present? Y				
Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring	well aerial photos, previous incre	otions) if available:				
Describe recorded data (Stream gauge, monitoring	weii, aeiiai piiotos, pievious Ilispe	oliona, ii avaliabic.				
Remarks:	alland					
Surface water is present throughout the w	etiand.					

SUIL							Samı	oling Point:	CLC5077ae2W
	T	escription: (Describe to the depth needed to documen					r confirm	the absence o	of indicators.)
Depth							Loc**	Toytura	Remarks
(ln.) 0-18	Hue 10YR	·	100	Color (moist)	%	Type*	LOC	Texture M	
0-16	nue_101K	2/1	100					IVI	
			+ +						
	C=Concenti tion: PL=Por			RM=Reduced Matrix	k, CS=Co	vered or C	oated S	and Grains	
	Soil Indica	<u> </u>	- Watik				Indica	tors for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils:
☐ Histosol (A1) ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2) ☐ Black Histic (A3) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) ☐ Stratified Layers (A5) ☐ Depleted Below Dark Suface (A11) ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12) ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) ☐ Sandy Redox (S5) ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6) ☐ Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA *Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must				R, MLRA Surface (1 LRA 149 cky Miner yed Matri Matrix (F3 k Surface bark Surface pressions	Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) S9 Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) E (F6) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Red Parent Material (F21) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Туре:	tive Layer (i	f observed)):		- -		Hydri	ic soil present?	? <u>Y</u>
Remar The	ks: soil is org	anic throu	ighout th	ne profile.					