WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - North Central and Northeast Region

SPP Project/Site:	City/County:	Clearwater		Sampling Date:	2015-07-10			
Enbridge Applicant/Owner:			Minnesota		CLC5005g1U			
ACM/LEB			State:	Sampling Point.				
Investigator(s):		Secti	on, Township, Range:					
rise Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):		ι	Local Relief (concave, co	Conve nvex, none):	0-2 Slope (%):			
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):	ı	47.6 Latitude:	666323	-95.406253	Minnesota State			
718C, Naytahwaush loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes Soil Map Unit Name:								
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (if no, explain in Remarks): Yes								
Are Vegetation No No No Significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present?								
No No No No Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks)								
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site		ling point loc	ations, transects, impor	rtant features, etc.				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	No vtic Vegetation Present?		Is the Sampled Area					
	No			No				
Hydric Soil Present?	No		within a Wetland?					
Wetland Hydrology Present?			If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:					
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedure								
The upland is a mesic hardwood forest	dominated by red ma	aple, red oak,	and American elm trees	s and seedlings.				
HYDROLOGY								
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				Secondary Indicators (mi	nimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is r	equired: check all tha	nt apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)		r-Stained Leaves	; (B9)	Drainage Patterns (E	·			
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Faun.			()		Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposit				Dry-Season Water Table (C2)				
		gen Sulfide Odo	or (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8	Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
		ed Rhizosphere	s on Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of R		nce of Reduced	Iron (C4)	Stunted/Stressed Pla	Stunted/Stressed Plants (D1)			
		t Iron Reduction	n in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position	Geomorphic Position (D2)			
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surfac		Muck Surface (C7	7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3	Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in		(Explain in Rem	arks)	Microtopographic Re	Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8	3)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present?	No De	pth (inches)						
Water Table Present?	No De	pth (inches)						
Saturation Present?	No De	pth (inches)		Wetland Hydrology Present?	<u>No</u>			
(includes capillary fringe)								
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge,	monitoring well, aeri	ial photos, pre	evious inspections), if av	allable:				
Remarks:								
No indicators of wetland hydrology wer	re observed.							

Sampling Point: CLC5005g1U

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot Size: <u>30 ft</u>)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	
1. Acer saccharum	30.00	Yes	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: $\frac{1}{}$ (A)	
2. Ulmus americana	25.00	Yes	FACW	Total Number of Dominant	
₂ Populus tremuloides				3	
3. Populus tremuloides	20.00	Yes	FACU	Species Across All Strata: (B)	
4	15.00	No No	FACU	Percent of Dominant Species	
5				33.3333333333 That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)	
6. Quercus rubra			_	Prevalence Index worksheet:	
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
	90	_ = Total Cover		OBL species <u>0.00</u> x 1 <u>0</u>	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot Size: 15 ft)				FACW species <u>40.00</u> x 2 <u>80</u>	
1. Acer saccharum	35.00	Yes	FACU	FACU species 2.00 x 3 756	
2. Ulmus americana	5.00	No	FACW	UPL species <u>2.00</u> x 4 <u>10</u>	
3. Rhamnus cathartica	2.00	No	FAC	Column Totals <u>233</u> (A) <u>852</u> (B)	
4. Populus tremuloides	2.00	No	FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.6566523	
5				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
6				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
7.				no 2 - Dominance Test is > 50%	
	44	= Total Cover	_	no 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ¹	
Herb Stratum (Plot Size: 5 ft)				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide	
1 Acer saccharum	70.00	Yes	FACU	supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
2 Ulmus americana	10.00	No	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)	
3. Quercus rubra	10.00	No No	FACU		
4. Tilia americana	5.00	No	FACU	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
Fragaria virginiana	2.00	No	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:	
6. Carex pensylvanica		No	- 17100	_ Definitions of Vegetation Strata.	
7	2.00		-	-	
8.		_		_ Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (.76 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.	
	·	_	_	-	
9		_	_	_ Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.	
10				-	
11		_		Herb - All herbaeceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.	
12				-	
	99	= Total Cover		Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot Size:)					
1	_		_	_	
2				Hydrophytic	
3	_			Vegetation Present?	
4	_				
	0	=Total Cover			
Remarks: (include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	et.)				
The vegetation is dominated by sugar maple, American elm, a	and red oak trees ar	nd seedlings.			

Sampling Point: CLC5005g... SOIL Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) **Redox Features** Type¹ Loc² (inches) Color (moist) % Color (moist) Texture Remarks 100 0-6 10YR 2 1 6-24 10YR 4 2 70 sil 10YR 5 2 30 Mixed matrix. 6-24 sil ¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soil³: **Hydric Soil Indicators:** Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Histosol (A1) Coast Prairie Redox (A16)(LRR K, L, R) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Histic Epipedon (A2) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Black Histic (A3) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, M) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Matrix (F3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Iron-Maganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Red Parent Material (F21) Sandy Redox (S5) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Stripped Matrix (S6) Other (explain in remarks) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)

Hydric Soil Present? No

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Depth (inches):

The soil is silt loam throughout the profile with no redox concentrations or depletions.

Type:

Remarks: