WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Midwest Region

Project/Site: SPP	City/Count	ty: Polk		Sampling Date: 7/15/2015						
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge			State: Minnesota	Sampling Point: u-149n39w24-b1						
Investigator(s): ACM/LEB	Sect	ion, Townsł	nip, Range:							
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): rise		Local Relief (concave, convex, none): Convex								
Slope (%): 2 Latitude: 47.7170118084622	Longitude	<u>⊸</u> 95.5874	009617563 Dat	um: Minnesota State Plane North, NAD 83 (2011) U.S. f						
Soil Map Unit Name: 296	Longitude		Dut	NWI Classification:						
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this t	ime of year? (if	no explain	in Remarks):							
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significant										
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally p	roblematic? (If	needed, ex	plain any answers in Re	marks)						
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing	sampling po	int locati	ons, transects, impo	ortant features, etc.						
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	No		s the Sampled Area							
Hydro Soil Present?	No		within a Wetland?	Νο						
Wetland Hydrology Present?	No		f yes, optional Wetland	Site ID:						
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separa										
The upland area is a roadside located between a road and a		egetation is	dominated by smooth	brome and poison ivy.						
		0	,							
VECETATION Lies scientific normal of plants				Compling Doint, 11/19039						
VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.	Absolute			Sampling Point: <u>u-149n39</u>						
	% Cover	Domir		Dominance Test worksheet:						
Tree Stratum (Plot Size:)		Speci	es? Status	Number of Dominant Species						
1				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)						
2				_ Total Number of Dominant						
3	·			_ Species Across All Strata:(B)						
4				Percent of Dominant Species						
5				- That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.00 (A/B)						
	0	= Total Co	ver	Prevalence Index worksheet:						
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot Size:)				Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species 0.00 x 1 0						
1										
2										
3										
4	·			UPL species 0.00 x 4 0 Column Totals 102 (A) 368 (B)						
J				$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$						
Herb Stratum (Plot Size: 5)	0	= Total Co	ver	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:						
Bromus inermis										
1	65.00	Yes	FACU	_ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation						
2. Toxicodendron rydbergii	15.00	No	FAC	2 - Dominance Test is > 50%						
3. Poa palustris	10.00	No	FACW	3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$						
4. Polygonum aviculare	5.00	No	FAC	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide						
5. Medicago lupulina	5.00	No	FACU	supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)						
6. Ambrosia artemisiifolia	2.00	No	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)						
7				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless						
8				disturbed or problematic.						
9				_						
10	_									
	102	- Total C-	wer							
Mandu Mine Stratum (Dist Ciss)	102	= Total Co	VCI							
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot Size:)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?						
2				-1						
<u>د.</u>	0	=Total Co		-						
Remarks: (include photo numbers here or on a separate she										
The vegetation is dominated by smooth brome with some po										

SOIL								Sampling Point: u-149n39
Profile Descrip	tion: (Describe to the depth ne	eded to do	cument the indicator o	or confirm	the abse	nce of ind	icators.)	
Depth	Matrix			Features	- 1	. 2		
(inches)	<u>Color (moist)</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Color (moist)</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Type¹</u>	<u>Loc²</u>	Texture	<u>Remarks</u>
				·				
				·				
				·				
¹ Type: C=Conc	centration, D=Depletion, RM=Re	educed Mat	rix, MS=Masked Sand C	Grains.				² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix
Hydric Soil Ind	icators:						Indicate	ors for Problematic Hydric Soil ³ :
Histoso	l (A1)		Sandy Gleye	ed Matrix	(S4)		🗌 Co	past Prairie Redox (A16)(LRR K, L, R)
Histic E	pipedon (A2)		Sandy Redo	ox (S5)			🗌 Da	ark Surface (S7) (LRR K, M)
Black Hi	istic (A3)		Stripped Ma	atrix (S6)				on-Maganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Muc		l (F1)			ery Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
	ed Layers (A5)		Loamy Gley				□ ot	ther (explain in remarks)
_	uck (A10)		Depleted N		()			
_	ed Below Dark Surface (A11)		Redox Dark	. ,	-6)			
·								
	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted D					
	Aucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Depr	ressions (F	8)			
└ 5 cm M	ucky Peat or Peat (S3)							
Restrictive Laye	er (if observed):							
							Hydric Soil Pr	resent? <u>No</u>
	i (inches):							
Remarks:								
Soils were not	sampled due to the roadside lo	ocation, but	are assumed to be non	n-hydric ba	ised on th	ne landsca	pe position a	nd dominant vegetation.
Wetland Hy	drology Indicators:							-
Primary Indicat	tors (minimum of one is require	d; check all	that apply)			Seco	ondary Indicat	tors (minimum of two required)
Surface V	Vater (A1)		Water-Stained	Leaves (B9))			Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
🗌 High Wat	er Table (A2)		🔲 Aquatic Fauna ((B13)			Ľ	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturatio	n (A3)		True Aquatic Pl	ants			Ľ	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
🗌 Water Ma	arks (B1)		Hydrogen Sulfic	de Odor (C	1)			Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment	: Deposits (B2)		Oxidized Rhizos	spheres on	Living Ro	oots (C3)	Ľ	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
🗌 Drift Dep	osits (B3)		Presence of Rec	duced Iron	(C4)			Stunted/Stressed Plants (D1)
🗌 Algal Mat	or Crust (B4)		Recent Iron Rec	duction in [.]	Tilled Soi	ls (C6)	Ľ	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Depo	osits (B5)		Thick Muck Sur	face			Ľ	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
	on Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7))	Gauge or Well W	/ater				
	Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		Other (Explain in)			
Field Observat					,			
Surface Water		No	. Depth (i	nches)				
Water Table Pr		No		nches)				
Saturation Pres	sent?	No	. Depth (i	nches)			Wet	tland Hydrology Present? No
(includes capill								
Describe Recor	ded Data (stream gauge, monit	oring well,	aerial photos, previous	inspectior	ns), if ava	ilable:		
Remarks: No indicators of	of wetland hydrology were obse	erved						