WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Midwest Region

| Project/Site: L3R | City/Cou | unty: Polk | | Sampling Date: 10/11/2014 |
|--|-------------|---|-----------|---|
| Applicant/Owner: Enbridge | State: | | 1N | Sampling Point: u-149n39w1-c1 |
| Investigator(s): BJC/RAJ Section, Township, Range: | | | | |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Footslope | | Local relief (concave, convex, none): CL | | |
| Slope (%): 3 - 7% Lat: 47.750152 | Lc | ong: -95.59 | 3608 | Datum: |
| Soil Map Unit: 20B | | | NWI C | Classification: |
| Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for this time of the year? (If no, explain in remarks) | | | | |
| Are vegetation , soil , or hydrology | sic | gnificantly d | | Are "normal circumstances" |
| Are vegetation , soil , or hydrology | | naturally problematic? Are normal circumstances | | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS (If needed, explain any answers in remarks.) | | | | |
| Hydrophytic vegetation present? N | | | | |
| Hydric soil present? N | | Is the sampled area within a wetland? N | | |
| Indicators of wetland hydrology present? N | | If yes, optional wetland site ID: | | |
| Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) | | | | |
| The upland sample point is located in a harvested soybean field. The soils are disturbed due to tillage and the vegetation | | | | |
| is disturbed due to tillage and herbicide application. | | | | |
| VEGETATION Use scientific names of plants. | | | | |
| | osolute D | ominant | Indicator | Dominance Test Worksheet |
| | | Species | Status | Number of Dominant Species |
| 1 | | | | that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A) |
| 2 | | | | Total Number of Dominant |
| 3 | | | | Species Across all Strata: 0 (B) |
| 4 | | | | Percent of Dominant Species |
| 5 | | | | that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.00% (A/B) |
| Copling/Shrub stratum (Dist size: 15 ft) | 0 = Tc | otal Cover | | Prevalence Index Worksheet |
| Sapling/Shrub stratum (Plot size: 15 ft) | | | | Total % Cover of: |
| | | | | OBL species $0 \times 1 = 0$ |
| | | | | FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$ |
| 4 | | | | FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$ |
| 5 | | | | FACU species 0 x 4 = 0 |
| _ · — | 0 = Tc | otal Cover | | UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$ |
| Herb stratum (Plot size: 5 ft) | | | | Column totals 0 (A) 0 (B) |
| 1 | | | | Prevalence Index = B/A = |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: |
| | | | | Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation |
| 5 | | | | Dominance test is >50% |
| 6 | | | | Prevalence index is ≤3.0* |
| | | | | Morphological adaptations* (provide supporting data in Remarks or on a |
| 9 | | | | separate sheet) |
| 10 | | | | Problematic hydrophytic vegetation* |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 0 = Tc | otal Cover | | (explain) |
| Woody vine stratum (Plot size: 30 ft) | | | | *Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be |
| | | | | present, unless disturbed or problematic |
| 2 | | | | Hydrophytic |
| | 0 = Tc | otal Cover | | vegetation present? N |
| | | | | |
| Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet) No vegetation is present at the upland sample point. A lot of soybean crop residue is present from harvesting. | | | | |
| No vegetation is present at the upland sample [| 90int. A 10 | n or soybe | | sidde is present nom narvesting. |