WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM Great Plains Region

Project/Site: Applicant: Investigators Soil Unit: Landform: Slope (%):	: I75A Talf 3 - 7%	L3R Enbridge BEH/NTT	Latitude: 48.11		Subregion	NW	A or LRR): I Classification	MLRA 56		Date:09/17/14County:PenningtonState:MNSample Point:u-154n44w32-i1
Are climatic/h Are Vegetatio	hydrologic co on 및 Soil	onditions on the sit	te typical for th ⊏significantly	is time of yea disturbed?	¥	plain in rem	_{arks)} e normal circur	☑ Yes nstances pre	□ No	Section: Township:
Are Vegetatio		□, or Hydrology	Daturally pro	blematic?			☑ Yes	□ No		Range: Dir:
SUMMARY C			No					Hydric Soil	s Present?	2 No
Wetland Hyd	•		<u>No</u> No							nt Within A Wetland? No
Remarks:		sample point is lo	-	d dominated b	by grasses	s, adjace	ent to a roadsid			
HYDROLOG	Y									
Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check all that apply; Minimum of one primary or two secondary required): Secondary: Primary: A1 - Surface Water B11 - Salt Crust B6 - Surface Soil Cracks A2 - High Water Table B13 - Aquatic Fauna B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface A3 - Saturation C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor B10 - Drainage Patterns B1 - Water Marks C2 - Dry Season Water Table C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (not tille B2 - Sediment Deposits C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (not tille C8 - Crayfish Burrows B3 - Drift Deposits C7 - Thin Muck Surface D2 - Geomorphic Position B5 - Iron Deposits Other (Explain) D5 - FAC-Neutral Test B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery D7 - Frost-Heaved Hummocks (LRR F) B9 - Water-Stained Leaves B9 - Water-Stained Leaves										
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Depth: (in.) Water Table Present? Yes Depth: (in.) Saturation Present? Yes Depth: (in.) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Wetland Hydrology Present? N										
Remarks: No primary or secondary hydrological indicators were observed.										
SOILS Profile Descri	intion (Descr	ibe to the depth no	ended to docu	ment the indi	cator or co	onfirm th	e absence of ir	dicators)		
		etion, RM=Reduced M								
					·		0		_	
		Matrix				Mottl	es	_		
Depth (In.)		Color (Moist)	%	Color (I	Moist)	%	Туре	Location	Texture	Remarks
0-10	Hue_10YR		100						FSL	
10-21	Hue_10YR	3/2	98	Hue_10YR	5/8	2	С	М	LFS	
NRCS Hydr	ic Soil Field	Indicators (cl	heck here if ind	l dicators are n	lot presen	t):			Indicators f	for Problematic Soils ¹
	A9 - 1 cm Mu A11 - Deplete A12 - Thick D S1 - Sandy M S2 - 2.5 cm N	stic n Sulfide I Layers (LRR F) ck (LRR FGH) ed Below Dark Surfac Dark Surface lucky Mineral Mucky Peat or Peat (LR	□ □ LRR G, H)	S5 - Sandy R4 S6 - Stripped F1 - Loamy M F2 - Loamy G F3 - Depleted F6 - Redox D F7 - Depleted F8 - Redox D F16 - High Pla	Matrix lucky Minera leyed Matrix Matrix Matrix ark Surface Dark Surfa epressions	x ice	-RA 72, 73 of LRI	Auck (LRR I, J) t Prairie Redox (LRR F, G, H) Surface (LRR G) Plains Depressions (LRR H, outside MLRA 72, 73) ced Vertic Parent Material / Shallow Dark Surface ain in Remarks) hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, ed or problematic.		
Restrictive Layer	r Type:			Depth:	Hydric Soil Present? N					
Remarks: Soil is dark fine sandy loam underlain by dark brown loamy fine sand with redox concentrations; the profile does not meet any hydric soil indicators.										

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM Great Plains Region

Project/Site:	L3R				Sample Point: u-154n44w32-i1				
VEGETATIO	N (Species identified in all uppercase are	e non-native	species.)						
Tree Stratum	(Plot size: 30 ft. radius)								
	<u>Species Name</u>	<u>% Cover</u>	<u>Dominant</u>	Ind.Status	Dominance Test Worksheet				
1.									
2.					Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)				
3.									
4.					Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)				
5.									
6.					Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)				
7.									
8.					Prevalence Index Worksheet				
9.					Total % Cover of: Multiply by:				
10.					OBL spp. 5 X 1 = 5				
	 Total Cover =	0			FACW spp. 0 x $2 = 0$				
					$FAC \text{ spp.} 0 \qquad \text{x } 3 = 0$				
Sapling/Shrub	Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius)				FACU spp. 80 $x 4 = 320$				
1.					UPL spp. 20 x 5 = 100				
2.									
3.	<u> </u>				Total 105 (A) 425 (B)				
4.									
5.					Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.048$				
6.									
7.									
8.					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:				
9.					Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation				
10.					Dominance Test is > 50%				
10.	Total Cover =	0			$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$				
		0							
					Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft. radius)	40	Y		Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *				
1.	Elymus repens	40		FACU	* la dia stana af la veluis a sil an el veste nel la velus la su mavet la s				
2.	Poa pratensis	25	Y	FACU	* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be				
3.	Bromus inermis	20	<u>N</u>	UPL	present, unless disturbed or problematic.				
4.	Cirsium arvense	5	<u>N</u>	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:				
5.	Carex pellita	5	N	OBL					
6	Andropogon gerardii	5	N	FACU	Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast				
7.	Sorghastrum nutans	5	N	FACU	height (DBH), regardless of height.				
8.									
9.					Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH, regardless of height.				
10.									
11.									
12.					Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size.				
13.									
14.									
15.					Woody Vines - All woody vines, regardless of height.				
	Total Cover =	105							
	-								
Woody Vine St	ratum (Plot size: 30 ft. radius)								
1.									
2.									
3.					Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? N				
5.									
4.									
	Total Cover =	0							
Remarks: The sample site is dominated by quack grass and Kentucky bluegrass. The field has been mowed.									
The cample are is dominated by quack grace and Kondoky Macgrace. The neighbor the boot more data									
Additional F	kemarks:								