WETLAND	DETERMINATIO	N DATA FO	RM - Great Pl	ains Region
	/County: Marshall			Sampling Date: 2017-06-23
Applicant/Owner: Enbridge		State: Mini	nesota	Sampling Point: w-157n47w16-aa1
Investigator(s): SMR, MRG	 Se			
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Depression			(concave, con	
Latitude: 48.4183419077	 Longitude: -96		(00.100.10)	
Datum: NAD83	zongrade.			
Soil Map Unit Name: I23A				NWI Classification: N/A
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical	for this time of vea	r? (if no. expl	ain in Remarks	
Are Vegetation No , Soil No , or Hydrology No	•			
Are Vegetation No , Soil No , or Hydrology No	_			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Ye	S	Is the Samp	ole d Area	
Hydric Soil Present? Ye	es	within a W	etland?	Yes
Wetland Hydrology Present? Ye	S	If yes, option	nal Wetland Si	te ID: w-157n47w16-aa
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in	separate report.)	1		
No digging allowed due to potential utilities present.	Ditch			
VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.				
	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot Size: 30)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
2			-	Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
	0 = -	Total Cover		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot Size: 15				Prevalence Index worksheet:
1			-	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
2				OBL species <u>40.00</u> x 1 <u>40</u>
3			-	FACW species 60.00 x 2 120
4				FACU species 0.00 x 3 0
5				UPL species <u>0.00</u> x 4 <u>0</u>
Harle Christians (Diet Cias E	0 =	Total Cover		Column Totals 100 (A) 160 (B)
Herb Stratum (Plot Size: 5) Phalaris arundinacea	60.00 Ye	es	FACW	Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.6 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
2. Carex stricta		es	OBL	yes 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
3. Glyceria striata		es	OBL	yes 2 - Dominance Test is > 50%
4.				yes 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
5.				4 - Morph ological Adaptations (Provide
6.				supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
7.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹
8.				(Explain)
9.				Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present,
10.				unless disturbed or problematic.
	100 =	Fotal Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot Size: 30)	=	Total Cover		
1.				Mada ab di
2.				- Hydrophytic Vegetation Yes
	0 =-	Fotal Cover		Pre sent?
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum				
Remarks:				

<

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth ne	eded to document the indicator or confirm	the absence of indicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features	·- · · · ·
inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ²	² Texture Remarks
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced M	atrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.	² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=
ydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soil ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	1cm Muck (A9) (LRRI, J)
Histic Epipedon (A2)	San dy Red ox (S5)	Coast Prairie Redox (A16)(LRR K, L, R)
Black Histic (A3)	Stripped Matrix (S6)	Dark Surface (S7) (LRR G)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L)	High Plains Depressions (F16)
Stratified Layers (A5)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	
Stratified Layers (A5)	Loanly Gleyed Matrix (F2)	(LRR H outside of MLRA 72 & 73)
1cm Muck (A9) (LRR F, G, H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Reduced Vertic (F18)
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Re dox Dark Surface (F6)	Red Parent Material (F21)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Re dox Depressions (F8)	Other (explain in remarks)
		(
2.5cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2)(LRR G, H)	High Plains Depressions (F16)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrolo
5cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)	(MLRA 72 & 73 of LRR H)	must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
estrictive Layer (if present):	_	
Туре:		Hydric Soil Present? Yes
Depth (inches):		<u> </u>
emarks:		
No digging allowed due to potential utilities. Hydric so il	s assumed due to hydrology and hydrophitic vegetati	ion.
IYDROLOGY		
Vetland Hydrology Indicators:		
rimary Indicators (minimum of one is required	d; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two requ
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3	
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	yes Geomorphic Position (D2)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	yes FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) (LRR F)
ield Observations: urface Water Present? No	Denth (inches)	
Vater Table Present?	Depth (inches)	
aturation Present?	Depth (inches) Depth (inches)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes
ncludes capillary fringe)		wedana nyarology riesent:
rescribe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monito	oring well, aerial photos, previous inspection	s), if available:
Banage, month	g, ac priotos, previous inspection.	-,,
emarks:		
No digging allowed due to potential utilities. C	ould not verify water table and saturation	