WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Great Plains Region

Project/Site:	L3R		Date: 07/02/14
Applicant:	Enbridge		County: Kittson
Investigators:	BCS/BEH	Subregion (MLRA or LRR): MLRA 56	State: MN
Soil Unit:	I123A	NWI Classification: PEMA	
Landform:	Talf	Local Relief: LL	Sample Point: **u-160n50w23-b1**
Slope (%):	0 - 2%	Latitude: 48.66857773 Longitude: -97.071158833 Datum:	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (If no, explain in remarks)		☑ Yes ☐ No	Section:
Are Vegetation ☑ Soil ☑ or Hydrology ☐ gnificantly disturbed?		Are normal circumstances present?	Township:
Are Vegetation ☐ Soil ☐ or Hydrology ☐ aturally problematic?		☑ Yes ☐ No	Range: Dir:

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? No — Hydric Soils Present? No

Wetland Hydrology Present? No — Is This Sampling Point Within A Wetland? **No**

Remarks: The upland sample area is located in a tilled agricultural field which has been planted to sugar beets. The area was previously mapped as a PEMA NWI wetland.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators (Check all that apply; Minimum of one primary or two secondary required):

Primary:
- ☐ A1 - Surface Water
- ☐ A2 - High Water Table
- ☐ A3 - Saturation
- ☐ B1 - Water Marks
- ☐ B2 - Sediment Deposits
- ☐ B3 - Drift Deposits
- ☐ B4 - Algal Mat or Crust
- ☐ B5 - Iron Deposits
- ☐ B7 - Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ B9 - Water-Stained Leaves
- ☐ B11 - Salt Crust
- ☐ B13 - Aquatic Fauna
- ☐ C1 - Hydrogen Sulfide Odor
- ☐ C2 - Dry Season Water Table
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (not till
- ☐ C4 - Presence of Reduced Iron
- ☐ C7 - Thin Muck Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain)

Secondary:
- ☐ B6 - Surface Soil Cracks
- ☐ B8 - Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface
- ☐ B10 - Drainage Patterns
- ☐ C3 - Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (tilled)
- ☐ C8 - Crayfish Burrows
- ☐ C9 - Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery
- ☐ D2 - Geomorphic Position
- ☐ D5 - FAC-Neutral Test
- ☐ D7 - Frost-Heaved Hummocks (LRR F)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes	☐	Depth:	(in.)
Water Table Present?	Yes	☐	Depth:	(in.)
Saturation Present?	Yes	☐	Depth:	(in.)

Wetland Hydrology Present? N

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: No primary or secondary wetland hydrology indicators were observed.

SOILS

Profile Description (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

(Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered/Coated Sand Grains; Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix)

	Matrix			Mottles						
Depth (In.)	Color (Moist)		%	Color (Moist)		%	Type	Location	Texture	Remarks
0-9	Hue_10YR	2/1	100						C	
9-21	Hue_2.5Y	5/3	96	Hue_10YR	5/8	4	C	M	C	

NRCS Hydric Soil Field Indicators (check here if indicators are not present): ☑

- ☐ A1- Histosol
- ☐ A2 - Histic Epipedon
- ☐ A3 - Black Histic
- ☐ A4 - Hydrogen Sulfide
- ☐ A5 - Stratified Layers (LRR F)
- ☐ A9 - 1 cm Muck (LRR FGH)
- ☐ A11 - Depleted Below Dark Surface
- ☐ A12 - Thick Dark Surface
- ☐ S1 - Sandy Mucky Mineral
- ☐ S2 - 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (LRR G, H)
- ☐ S3 - 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (LRR F)
- ☐ S4 - Sandy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ S5 - Sandy Redox
- ☐ S6 - Stripped Matrix
- ☐ F1 - Loamy Mucky Mineral
- ☐ F2 - Loamy Gleyed Matrix
- ☐ F3 - Depleted Matrix
- ☐ F6 - Redox Dark Surface
- ☐ F7 - Depleted Dark Surface
- ☐ F8 - Redox Depressions
- ☐ F16 - High Plains Depressions (MLRA 72, 73 of LRR H)

Indicators for Problematic Soils[1]
- ☐ A9 - 1 cm Muck (LRR I, J)
- ☐ A16 - Cost Prairie Redox (LRR F, G, H)
- ☐ S7 - Dark Surface (LRR G)
- ☐ F16 - High Plains Depressions (LRR H, outisde MLRA 72, 73)
- ☐ F18 - Reduced Vertic
- ☐ TF2 - Red Parent Material
- ☐ TF12 - Very Shallow Dark Surface
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[1]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer Type: Depth: — **Hydric Soil Present?** N

Remarks: The soil profile consists of a dark clay epipedon underlain by a lighter clay with 4% prominent redox concentrations in the matrix. The soil does not meet any hydric soil indicators.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM
Great Plains Region

Project/Site: L3R | Sample Point: u-160n50w23-b1

VEGETATION (Species identified in all uppercase are non-native species.)

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft. radius)

	Species Name	% Cover	Dominant	Ind.Status
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				

Total Cover = 0

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius)

1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				

Total Cover = 0

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft. radius)

1.	*Beta vulgaris*	20	Y	NI
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

Total Cover = 20

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft. radius)

1.				
2.				
3.				
5.				
4.				

Total Cover = 0

Dominance Test Worksheet

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: ***0.0%*** (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL spp.	0	x 1 =	0
FACW spp.	0	x 2 =	0
FAC spp.	0	x 3 =	0
FACU spp.	0	x 4 =	0
UPL spp.	20	x 5 =	100
Total	20 (A)		100 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = ***5.000***

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

_____ Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
_____ Dominance Test is > 50%
_____ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 *
_____ Morphological Adaptations (Explain) *
_____ Problem Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) *

* Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH, regardless of height.

Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size.

Woody Vines - All woody vines, regardless of height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? N

Remarks: The upland sample point is dominated by cultivated sugar beets. The area appears to have been sprayed as no other vegetation was present at the time of survey.

Additional Remarks: