

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - North Central and Northeast Region

Project/Site: SPP City/County: Carlton Sampling Date: 2015-06-10
 Applicant/Owner: Enbridge State: Minnesota Sampling Point: CRC5001_507b1U
 Investigator(s): LEB/BCS Section, Township, Range: _____
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Rise Local Relief (concave, convex, none): VV Slope (%): 0-2
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K Latitude: 41.8487 Longitude: -87.6709 Datum: Minnesota State ...
 Soil Map Unit Name: 21C NWI Classification: _____

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? (if no, explain in Remarks): Yes
 Are Vegetation No, Soil No, or Hydrology No significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes
 Are Vegetation No, Soil No, or Hydrology No naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	<u>No</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present?	<u>No</u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	<u>No</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) The upland sample point is located on a slight rise within a hardwood forest.		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)			
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Marl Deposits (B15)	☐ Moss Trim Lines (B16)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Crayfish Burrows (C8)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ Stunted/Stressed Plants (D1)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Thin Muck Surface (C7)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		☐ Microtopographic Relief (D4)	
		☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present?	<u>No</u> Depth (inches) _____	Wetland Hydrology Present? <u>No</u>	
Water Table Present?	<u>Yes</u> Depth (inches) <u>18</u>		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	<u>Yes</u> Depth (inches) <u>13</u>		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: No wetland hydrology indicators were observed. A deep water table is present.			

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: CRC5001_...

	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot Size: _____)				Dominance Test worksheet:
1. <u>Populus tremuloides</u>	40.00	Yes	FACU	Number of Dominant Species
2. <u>Acer rubrum</u>	25.00	Yes	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A)
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	Total Number of Dominant
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	<u>2</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	Species Across All Strata: _____ (B)
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	Percent of Dominant Species
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A/B)
	65	= Total Cover		Prevalence Index worksheet:
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot Size: <u>15</u>)				Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____
1. <u>Corylus americana</u>	25.00	Yes	FACU	OBL species <u>0.00</u> x 1 <u>0</u>
2. <u>Corylus cornuta</u>	20.00	Yes	FACU	FACW species <u>10.00</u> x 2 <u>20</u>
3. <u>Prunus virginiana</u>	5.00	No	FACU	FACU species <u>37.00</u> x 3 <u>480</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	UPL species <u>20.00</u> x 4 <u>100</u>
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	Column Totals <u>187</u> (A) <u>711</u> (B)
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.8021390...</u>
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	50	= Total Cover		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot Size: <u>5</u>)				_____ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
1. <u>Eurybia macrophylla</u>	20.00	Yes	UPL	<u>no</u> 2 - Dominance Test is > 50%
2. <u>Maianthemum canadense</u>	15.00	Yes	FACU	<u>no</u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ¹
3. <u>Rubus pubescens</u>	10.00	No	FACW	_____ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. <u>Cornus canadensis</u>	10.00	No	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5. <u>Pteridium aquilinum</u>	10.00	No	FACU	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
6. <u>Aralia nudicaulis</u>	5.00	No	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
7. <u>Trientalis borealis</u>	2.00	No	FAC	Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (.76 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	Sapling/Shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	Herb - All herbaeceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	Woody vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	72	= Total Cover		
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot Size: _____)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? _____
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	0	=Total Cover		
Remarks: (include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)				
The vegetation consists of an interrupted canopy of quaking aspen and red maple with hazelnut in the shrub layer and a mixed ground layer.				

SOIL

Sampling Point: CRC5001_...

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

[illegible]¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soil ³ :
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	☐ Coast Prairie Redox (A16)(LRR K, L, R)
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L)	☐ 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, M)
☐ Stratified Layers (A5)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)	☐ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	☐ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	☐ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		☐ Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
☐ Sandy Redox (S5)		☐ Red Parent Material (F21)
☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)		☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
☐ Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)		☐ Other (explain in remarks)
Restrictive Layer (if observed): ☐ Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____		Hydric Soil Present? <u>No</u>
Remarks: Redox concentrations were observed; however, no hydric soil indicators were met.		